BEFORE THE POSTAL RATE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20268-0001

RECEIVED MAR 2 4 42 PM 100 POSTAL ALLA STORE FOR OFFICE DE PARE STORE FORM

POSTAL RATE AND FEE CHANGES, 2000

Docket Np. R2000-1

ERRATUM TO RESPONSE OF UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE WITNESS KIEFER TO PRESIDING OFFICER'S INFORMATION REQUEST NO. 2, QUESTION 1

The Postal Service hereby provides an erratum to the response of witness Kiefer to Presiding Officer's Information Request No. 2, Question 1. The original response referred to the rates requested by the Postal Service, but did not explicitly indicated that the response concerned only Library Mail. Although other witnesses addressed the other relevant subclasses, for purposes of clarity, witness Kiefer is hereby adding the word "Library Mail" to his response. A revised page and the witness's declaration is attached.

Respectfully submitted,

UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE

By its attorneys:

Daniel J. Foucheaux, Jr. Chief Counsel, Ratemaking

Scott L. Reiter

475 L'Enfant Plaza West, S.W. Washington, D.C. 20260–1137 (202) 268–2999; Fax –5402 March 2, 2000

л

RESPONSE OF WITNESS KIEFER TO PRESIDING OFFICER'S INFORMATION REQUEST NO. 2 QUESTION 1

1. The Postal Service request includes proposed rates that have been developed to reflect the assumption that legislation will be enacted. Specifically, the rates proposed for Regular Periodicals, Nonprofit Periodicals and Classroom Publications; the rates for Standard A Nonprofit ECR; and the rates for Library Mail are all dependent on this assumption. In the absence of the passage of legislation, rates for mail in these subclasses would have to reflect existing applicable law, including the restrictions imposed by the Revenue Forgone Act of 1993.

Please provide the test year rates that the Postal Service would propose for these subclasses of mail if it is assumed that no new legislation is enacted. For purposes of this answer the Postal Service is to develop rates that reflect retention of the regular rate mark ups justified in the January 12, 2000 Request. Include exhibits tracing the development of these rates to cost and volume data contained in that Request.

RESPONSE:

2

The Postal Service would propose the Library Mail rates contained in its January 12,

2000 Request if no new legislation were enacted.

DECLARATION

I, James M. Kiefer, declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing answers are true and correct, to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief.

James M Kuf

Dated: 3-2-00

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have this day served the foregoing document upon all participants of record in this proceeding in accordance with section 12 of the Rules of Practice.

Scott L. Reiter

475 L'Enfant Plaza West, S.W. Washington, D.C. 20260–1137 March 2, 2000