

BEFORE THE
POSTAL RATE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20268-0001

RECEIVED

MAR 2 3 34 PM '00

POSTAL RATE COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY


POSTAL RATE AND FEE CHANGES, 2000)

Docket No. R2000-1

COALITION OF RELIGIOUS PRESS ASSOCIATIONS
FIRST INTERROGATORIES
TO POSTAL SERVICE WITNESS JENNIFER J. XIE (CRPA/USPS-T1-1-3)
(February 22, 2000)

Pursuant to sections 25 and 26 of the Postal Rate Commission's Rules of Practice, the Coalition of Religious Press Associations (CRPA) hereby submits interrogatories. If necessary, please redirect any interrogatory to a more appropriate Postal Service witness.


Respectfully submitted,



Dr. John Stapert
Coalition of Religious Press Associations
18653 N. 41st Place
Phoenix, Arizona 85050-3759
(480) 922-8693

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have this day served the above-named document upon all parties of record in this proceeding in accordance with Section 12 of the Rules of Practice.



Dr. John Stapert

February 22, 2000

CRPA/USPS-T-1-1

Please refer to Table 3 (page 18) of your testimony.

Why is no lower limit provided for the 95 % confidence range for 3C Nonprofit ECR?

CRPA/USPS-T-1-2

Please refer again to Table 3 (page 18) of your testimony.

a. The total shown for each of the three columns appears to be the arithmetic sum of the numbers in the column; please confirm or disconfirm.

b. If you disconfirm, please indicate how the respective totals were calculated.

CRPA/USPS-T-1-3

Referring yet again to Table 3 (page 18) of your testimony, when a value is omitted from one of the columns, as is the case for the Lower 95 % C.L. for 3C non-profit ECR, does this not distort the meaning of the sum for that column?