RECEIVED

FEB 28 5 19 PM '00

POSTAL RATE COMMITTION OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

BEFORE THE POSTAL RATE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20268–0001

POSTAL RATE AND FEE CHANGES, 2000

Docket No. R2000-1

OBJECTION OF UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE
TO INTERROGATORIES OF THE AMERICAN LIBRARY ASSOCIATION
(ALA/USPS-T37—1, 3, 5, AND 6)
(February 28, 2000)

The Postal Service hereby objects to interrogatories ALA/USPS-T37—1 and 3, 5, and 6, filed February 16, 2000.

Interrogatories ALA/USPS-T37—1 and 3. Interrogatory 1 asks witness Kiefer to confirm that the Commission cannot accept the Postal Service's proposed rates under applicable Revenue Forgone Reform Act provisions. Interrogatory 3 asks witness Kiefer whether he contends that the record provides "an adequate basis for the Commission to recommend rates for Library mail" if there is no legislative change regarding preferred rates. Interrogatory 3 also asks witness Kiefer either to show the rates that the Postal Service "believes could be lawfully recommended by the Commission" or to explain how the Postal Service's filing must be adjusted in order for the Commission to "lawfully recommend rates under the existing statutory constraints."

These questions essentially ask witness Kiefer to comment upon (i) the Commission's authority to make rate recommendations under the Revenue Forgone Reform Act and (ii) the sufficiency of record evidence in this case to support an alternative rate proposal. These interrogatories are patently objectionable on grounds that they request legal opinions. To require a response to these interrogatories would

be clearly contrary to well-established Commission precedent. *See, e.g.*, P.O. Ruling No. R97-1/39. The Postal Service notes, moreover, that witness Kiefer is today providing a response to question 1 of Presiding Officer's Information Request No. 2, which asks him to provide purely factual information, *i.e.*, the rates the Postal Service would propose in the absence of anticipated legislative changes to the Revenue Forgone Reform Act. This should provide an understanding of what the Library Mail rates could be in the absence of anticipated legislative changes, without having witness Kiefer comment on topics that should be reserved exclusively for legal argument.

Interrogatories ALA/USPS-T37-5 and 6. The Postal Service also partially objects to interrogatories ALA/USPS-T37-5 and 6. Interrogatory ALA/USPS-T37-5 requests that each characteristic of Library Mail that has been studied since Docket No. R97-1 as a possible cause of the subclass cost increases be identified and that "all documents relating to each such study, investigation or analysis" be produced. Interrogatory ALA/USPS-T37-6 requests "all memoranda, correspondence or other communications" by in-house or outside cost analysts since July 1, 1998, concerning possible cause of the cost increases for Library Mail. The Postal Service intends to conduct a good-faith search for responsive information and provide all documents responsive to these interrogatories, unless an applicable privilege applies. The requests as phrased, however, are overbroad. "All documents relating to" any studies, investigations or analyses or "all memoranda, correspondence or other communications" could cover any email or Post-It note which simply referred to or forwarded another document pertaining to the subject. To even attempt to conduct a search for all such documents would be extremely burdensome at the least; it is more

likely that such a search would be impossible. Moreover, it is possible that some documents located in such a search may consist of materials protected by either the attorney-client privilege or the attorney work product privilege doctrine, or both.

ALA will obtain materials in answer to these interrogatories that the Postal Service believes constitute if not all, then virtually all, of the responsive materials. The Postal Service should not be required to commence any further burdensome searches to satisfy what is nothing more than a fishing expedition on the part of ALA.

Respectfully submitted,

UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE

By its attorneys:

Daniel J. Foucheaux, Jr. Chief Counsel, Ratemaking

Scott L. Reiter Susan M. Duchek

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have this day served the foregoing document upon all participants of record in this proceeding in accordance with section 12 of the Rules of Practice.

Scott L. Reiter

475 L'Enfant Plaza West, S.W. Washington, D.C. 20260–1137 (202) 268–2999; Fax –5402 February 28, 2000