#### BEFORE THE POSTAL RATE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20268-0001

The second s

# RECEIVED FEB 18 5 01 PM '00

POSTAL RETUCTION HIRL OR OFFICE OF THE SECHEVARY

POSTAL RATE AND FEE CHANGES, 2000

Docket No. R2000-1

## NOTICE OF UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE OF FILING OF ERRATA TO TESTIMONY OF WITNESS DAVIS (USPS-T-30) [ERRATUM] (February 18, 2000)

The United States Postal Service hereby provides notice of the filing of errata to the testimony of witness Davis (USPS-T-30). The errata do not affect any of witness Davis' cost study results. A summary of the changes is attached, along with the revised pages.

Respectfully submitted,

UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE

By its attorneys:

Daniel J. Foucheaux, Jr. Chief Counsel, Ratemaking

Rubi

David H. Rubin

475 L'Enfant Plaza West, S.W. Washington, D.C. 20260–1137 (202) 268–2986; Fax –6187 February 18, 2000

# Summary of revisions to USPS-T-30 (witness Davis)

Page 6 - lines 1-2: change to "...are entered by mailers into collection boxes, or at a business mail entry unit, or otherwise do not receive a window acceptance scan."

Page 12 - line 12: change "p. 72" to "p. 74".

#### REVISED February 18, 2000, page 6

are entered by mailers into collection boxes, or at a business mail entry unit, or
otherwise do not receive a window acceptance scan.

3 Retail delivery confirmation customers can receive delivery confirmation information through either the Internet or the corporate call management (CCM) 4 system. Within the CCM system, information is provided two ways: (1) the 5 interactive voice response (IVR) system and (2) customer service agents. The 6 Postal Service has recently purchased its own IVR system, which eliminates 7 certain base charges from the previous vendor-provided system. This testimony 8 has also applied to the call center costs a higher proportion of customers placing 9 10 calls to the call center.

#### 11 C. Results

Table 1 presents the total test year volume variable costs for Priority Mail base 12 electronic service, Priority Mail retail service, Standard (B) electronic service, and 13 Standard (B) retail service. For each service type, the volume variable costs are 14 presented by cost category and in total. For Priority Mail delivery confirmation 15 service, this testimony also presents the cost net of Priority Mail electronic 16 service cost. This cost difference is provided since the Priority Mail delivery 17 confirmation fees exclude the underlying costs of Priority Mail electronic service. 18 19 Instead, these underlying costs are included in the costs of the Priority Mail 20 subclass.

#### REVISED February 18, 2000, page 12

## t V. ACCOUNTABLE MAIL COST UPDATES

#### 2 A. Overview

This testimony updates the costs of various accountable mail and related services, including certificate of mailing, insured mail, bulk insurance, restricted delivery, and return receipts. Also, the impact of electronic signature capture on cost is estimated for the following services: certified mail, COD, insured mail, registered mail, return receipt and return receipt for merchandise.

#### 8 B. Methodology

9 Updated wage rates and piggyback factors, which reflect indirect attributable 10 cost, have been applied for each of the accountable mail cost updates. The 11 return receipt cost study updates the labor times for clerk and carrier review activities, using the results of a new special study (see USPS-LR-I-108, p. 74). 12 13 An additional update involves the weighting factor applied to the cost of return receipts which require a record of the address of delivery. This weighting factor 14 is based on the 2.72 percent of total mail volume that is undeliverable as 15 addressed (see USPS-LR-I-110, Table 4-2). For return receipts after mailing, I 16 17 have updated the retrieval time to reflect the cost savings from electronic 18 signature capture. For return receipt for merchandise, a new methodology is 19 used which bases such costs on the costs of certified mail, since the operations are similar. 20

# CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

and the second s

I hereby certify that I have this day served the foregoing document upon all participants of record in this proceeding in accordance with section 12 of the Rules of Practice.

Divid H. Rubin

÷

David H. Rubin

475 L'Enfant Plaza West, S.W. Washington, D.C. 20260–1137 February 18, 2000

ì.

• • • • • • •