RECEIVED

## BEFORE THE POSTAL RATE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20268–0001

JAN 28 5 19 PM '00 POSTAL RATE COMMISSION OFFICE OF THE SPORFFARY

POSTAL RATE AND FEE CHANGES, 2000

Docket No. R2000-1

NOTICE OF UNTIED STATES POSTAL SERVICE OF FILING OF ERRATA TO TESTIMONY OF WITNESS BOZZO (USPS-T-15)
(January 28, 2000)

The United States Postal Service hereby provides notice that it is today filing errata to the testimony of witness Bozzo (USPS-T-15). The changes are as follows:

Page 107, Table 3 -- all numbers in the columns entitled "Productivity," Minimum Obs," and "Lag Length (Regression N)" for the categories "MANF," "MANP," "Priority," and "1cancMPP" change.

Page 119, Table 6 -- the numbers in the columns entitled "BCS" and "OCR" for the category "N observations" change.

Page 131, Table 10 -- all numbers in the "Between" columns under both "Manual Letters" and "Manual Flats" change.

All changes are peripheral to the proposed variabilities presented in the testimony. Copies of the revised pages are attached.

Respectfully submitted,

UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE

By its attorneys:

Daniel J. Foucheaux, Jr. Chief Counsel, Ratemaking

Susan M. Duchek

475 L'Enfant Plaza West, S.W. Washington, D.C. 20260–1137 (202) 268–2990 Fax –5402

1 Table 3. Summary of Effect of Sample Selection Rules on Sample Size

Cost Pool	Non- missing	Threshold	Productivity	Minimum Obs	Lag Length (Regression N)
BCS	6885	6883	6780	6694	5391
			98.5%	97.2%	78.3%
OCR	6644	6639	6495	6394	5089
			97.8%	96.2%	76.6%
FSM	5442	5442	5424	5339	4357
			99.7%	98.1%	80.1%
LSM	5156	5150	5127	5014	3889
			99.4%	97.2%	75.4%
MANF	6914	6914	6420	6156	4879
			92.9%	89.0%	70.6%
MANL	6914	6914	6824	6780	5499
			98.7%	98.1%	79.5%
MANP	5835	5625	4713	3915	3024
			80.8%	67.1%	51.8%
Priority	5717	5644	4995	4195	3241
			87.4%	73.4%	56.7%
SPBS	2244	2239	2213	1966	1569
;			98.6%	87.6%	69.9%
1CancMPP	6746	6718	6599	6503	5235
			97.8%	96.4%	77.6%

Percentages are of non-missing observations.

## 2 VI.E.2. MODS TPF edits

- 3 Since TPH is defined as TPF less rejects, in theory TPF should always
- 4 exceed TPH. However, a number of observations have recorded TPH higher

Table 6. Principal results for letter and flat sorting operations, USPS Base Year method\_\_\_\_

1 2

Cost Pool	BCS	OCR	FSM	LSM	Manual Flats	Manual Letters
Output Elasticity or Volume- Variability Factor	.895	.751	.817	.954	.772	.735
	(.030)	(.038)	(.026)	(.022)	(.027)	(.024)
Deliveries Elasticity	.250	.333	.223	.039	.313	.462
	(.046)	(.062)	(.037)	(.045)	(.043)	(.040)
Wage Elasticity	826	605	613	138	232	- 682
	(.052)	(.071)	(.041)	(.077)	(.060)	(.051)
Capital Elasticity	.024	003	.050	.010	.054	.036
	(.019)	(.027)	(.014)	(.022)	(.020)	(.017)
Manual Ratio Elasticity	.071	007	047	055	032	193
	(.015)	(.020)	(.011)	(.018)	(.028)	(.021)
Auto- correlation coefficient	.642	.701	.623	.558	.674	.693
Adjusted R- squared	.985	.970	.993	.991	.987	.990
N observations	5391	5089	4357	3889	4879	5499
N sites	297	289	235	273	277	299

Elasticities evaluated using arithmetic mean method; standard errors in parentheses.

- 1 handlings could sometimes reduce workhours, implied by the between model is
- 2 infinitesimal at most. Therefore, I find that the results of the between model,
- 3 even augmented with additional control variables, are completely unreliable. The
- 4 fixed-effects model, in contrast, produces elasticities in a much narrower range,
- 5 and with far more reasonable values at the extremes of the distributions. Table
- 6 10 provides a comparison of results for the manual letter and manual flat
- 7 operations (similar results obtain for other cost pools; see LR-I-107 for additional
- 8 results).

9 Table 10. Comparison of Selected Diagnostic Statistics for the Fixed-10 Effects and Between Models. Manual Letters, and Manual Flats cost pools

	Manual		Manual Flats		
	Fixed-Effects	Between	Fixed-Effects	Between	
Output Elasticity (arithmetic mean method)	.735	.906	.772	.963	
Standard error	.024	.074	.027	.084	
Number of "significant" slope coefficients (90% confidence level)	27	6	21	6	
Median elasticity	.699	.888	.740	.959	
Interquartile range of elasticities	.099	.289	.062	.590	
Minimum elasticity	.266	0.002	.494	-1.594	
Maximum elasticity	1.197	1.559	1.067	5.169	

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that I have this day served the foregoing document upon all participants of record in this proceeding in accordance with section 12 of the Rules of Practice.

Susan M. Duchek

475 L'Enfant Plaza West, S.W. Washington, D.C. 20260–1137 January 28, 2000