

**BEFORE THE
POSTAL RATE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, DC 20268-0001**

RECEIVED
JAN 27 11 58 AM '00
POSTAL RATE COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

POSTAL RATE AND FEE CHANGES, 2000

Docket No. R2000-1

**DOUGLAS F. CARLSON
INTERROGATORIES TO UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE
WITNESS SCOTT J. DAVIS
(DFC/USPS-T30-5-7)**

January 21, 2000

Pursuant to sections 25 and 26 of the *Rules of Practice*, I hereby submit interrogatories to United States Postal Service witness Scott J. Davis.

If the witness is unable to provide a complete, responsive answer to a question, I request that the witness redirect the question to a witness who can provide a complete, responsive answer. In the alternative, I request that the question be redirected to the Postal Service for an institutional response.

The instructions contained in my interrogatories to witness Mayo (DFC/USPS-T39-1-9) are incorporated herein by reference.

Respectfully submitted,



DOUGLAS F. CARLSON

Dated: January 21, 2000

DFC/USPS-T30-5. Please explain all differences, including differences in methodology, between the cost study for certified mail conducted for Docket No. R2000-1 and the cost study conducted for Docket No. R97-1.

DFC/USPS-T30-6. Please provide an analysis of why the costs for certified mail have increased substantially since Docket No. R97-1.

DFC/USPS-T30-7. Why does the new methodology for estimating costs for certified mail necessarily provide a better estimate of costs than the old methodology?

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have this day served the foregoing document upon the required participants of record in accordance with section 12 of the *Rules of Practice*.



DOUGLAS F. CARLSON

January 21, 2000
Emeryville, California