

BEFORE THE
POSTAL RATE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, DC 20268-0001

RECEIVED
USPS-T-4
JUL 10 3 14 PM '97
POSTAL RATE COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

POSTAL RATE AND
FEE CHANGES,
1997

DOCKET NO. R-97-1

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF
RALPH J. MODEN
ON BEHALF OF
UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
1	
2	
3	Autobiographical Sketch 1
4	I. Purpose of Testimony 3
5	II. Letter and Flat Processing Operations 4
6	A. Letter Mail Processing 4
7	1. Growth in Barcoded Letters 4
8	2. Equipment 5
9	a. Barcode Application 5
10	b. Barcode Sorting 7
11	3. Utilization of Barcodes 7
12	a. Status of Delivery Point Sequencing 8
13	4. Description of Future System 9
14	a. Equipment 9
15	b. Operating Concepts 9
16	B. Flat Mail Processing 10
17	1. Growth in Barcoded Flats 10
18	2. Equipment 10
19	3. Utilization of Barcodes 10
20	a. Costing of Barcoded versus Non-Barcoded 11
21	4. Description of Future System 13
22	a. Equipment 13
23	b. Operating Concepts 13
24	III. An Operations Perspective on MODS Based Costing 15
25	A. System Description 15
26	B. Use of MODS Data 16
27	C. MODS Based Cost Pools 17
28	D. The Productivity Information Reporting System (PIRS) 18
29	E. Changes in Productivity 19

1	1. Volume Increases Improve Productivity	19
2	2. Seasonal Effects	20
3	3. Automated Sorting Operations	20
4	4. Manual Sorting Operations	21
5	5. Support Operations	21
6	F. Similar Operations at Non-MODS Facilities	22

1 Direct Testimony
2 of
3 Ralph J. Moden
4 Autobiographical Sketch
5

6 My name is Ralph J. Moden. I am the Manager, Operational Requirements
7 within Operations Support. My office serves as the focal point for operations
8 planning (processing and delivery). We manage the coordination of operations
9 planning to ensure integration across functional areas. We evaluate the impact of
10 programs and plans outside of Operations. We have specific responsibility for the
11 development and integration of operational and customer requirements. We also
12 have responsibility for maintenance of the Corporate Automation Plan, and
13 Operations Models.

14 I joined the Postal Service in 1975. For three years I held various field
15 positions in Mail Processing and Delivery. I came to the Mail Processing
16 Department at Headquarters in 1978 and held various staff level positions until
17 being promoted in 1983 to Manager, Operations Systems within the Information
18 Resource Management Department. In that position, I was responsible for
19 development of information requirements and systems for the Operations Group. I
20 served as Special Assistant to the Deputy Postmaster General from June 1986 to
21 June 1987.

22 I was promoted to General Manager of Distribution Operations in 1987. In
23 that position, I was responsible for development of national policies and procedures
24 relating to the distribution activities within processing and distribution centers. In
25 1991, I was promoted to Manager, Customer Mail Preparation where I was the
26 Postal Service's primary interface with industry on issues pertaining to preparation
27 requirements for mail entry and discount qualification. In 1993, I became Manager
28 of Future Product Requirements within Marketing. I was responsible for ensuring
29 that new product development plans considered operational capabilities and
30 requirements. I held that position until assuming my current responsibilities in 1995.

1 I have appeared before the Postal Rate Commission on two previous
2 occasions. First in R-90 where I presented testimony in support of the Postal
3 Service's rate proposals based upon automated operations in the mail processing
4 and delivery functions. My second appearance before the PRC was in MC 93-2
5 where I testified regarding mailer and vendor readiness for conversion to Delivery
6 Point Barcoding.

7 I have a Bachelor of Science Degree in Business Administration from George
8 Mason University and a Masters of Business Administration Degree from the
9 University of Maryland at College Park.

1 I. Purpose of Testimony

2 The purpose of my testimony is to support various elements of the Postal
3 Service's rates proposals. In Chapter Two, I provide an overview of the current and
4 test year processing operations, the Postal Service will be employing in the coming
5 years to improve operating efficiencies. In Chapter Three, I provide an operations
6 perspective on the new Management Operating Data System (MODS) based
7 costing methodology in support of witnesses Degen and Bradley.

8 Specifically, I address the following:

- 9 1. The types and capabilities of automation equipment employed in the operations
10 analyzed in pertinent Postal Service testimony.
- 11 2. The equipment deployments planned through the test year and beyond.
- 12 3. The progress made in utilization of the barcoded mailstream.
- 13 4. The design/structure, purpose, and usage of MODS.
- 14 5. The reasonableness of the MODS-based cost pools and volume variabilities.
- 15 6. The Productivity Information Reporting System (PIRS) used to collect workhour
16 and workload information in the Bulk Mail Centers (BMCs).
- 17 7. The appropriateness of applying the MODS-based cost pools to non-MODS
18 facilities.

1 II. Letter and Flat Processing Operations

2 In this part of my testimony, I will provide an overview of our operations as
3 they relate to the processing of letters and flats. Specifically, I will focus on how we
4 are processing more mail in automated operations. Since we process letters and
5 flats as two distinct mailstreams, I will treat each one as a separate topic. Each
6 topic includes four sections:

- 7 1. The volume of barcoded mail has increased significantly over the past three
8 years. In the first section, I will highlight the growth that we have realized in
9 barcoded letters and flats.
- 10 2. We have been aggressive in deploying equipment to automate the processing
11 of mail. In the second section, I will review the equipment that we are currently
12 using to process barcoded mail.
- 13 3. The combination of our equipment deployments along with the growth in
14 barcoded mail has allowed us to achieve savings in the automation program.
15 In the third section, I provide an update on how we are utilizing barcodes
16 including our progress with Delivery Point Sequencing (DPS).
- 17 4. In the final section, I will describe the future operating environment and
18 highlight equipment modifications and additional deployments that will support
19 our automation program. I will also describe our distribution concepts.

20 A. Letter Mail Processing

21 1. Growth in Barcoded Letters

22 Barcoded letter mail has grown dramatically. Barcoded letters, as a
23 percentage share of all letter mail, has increased by about 10 points in each of the
24 past two years. In Fiscal Year 1995, 60.4 percent of all letters were barcoded; in
25 Fiscal Year 1996, 70.1 percent of all letters were barcoded; and through AP 9,
26 Fiscal Year 1997, the percentage share is at 80.5 percent.

27 The growth in barcoded letters has already allowed us to remove over
28 seventy five percent of the Letter Sorting Machines (LSMs) from our automated
29 plants. At one time, the majority of letter mail was processed on LSMs. However,
30 as the Postal Service's automation program has evolved, the LSM's value to

1 operations has diminished. When the LSM operated at full capacity, 12 employees
2 were needed to staff keying consoles and an additional five employees were
3 needed to sweep and load the machine. Letters were processed at a rate of 50 to
4 60 per minute, depending on the sort plan. The cost of processing mail on the LSM
5 was relatively high and the equivalent volume of mail can now be processed by two
6 employees on an Optical Character Reader (OCR). We will continue to remove
7 LSMs as we approach our goal of barcoding 88 percent of all letters in FY1998.

8 Customer rate incentives for prebarcoding have produced substantial growth
9 in prebarcoded letters. Through AP 9, Fiscal Year 1997, mailer applied barcodes
10 comprise 57.5 percent of the total letter mail barcodes. The remaining 42.5 percent
11 of all barcoded letters are barcoded by the Postal Service with 19.1 percent applied
12 by a Multiline Optical Character Reader (MLOCR) and 23.4 percent applied by
13 Remote Bar Coding (RBCS). The mailer share of total barcoded letters exceeds our
14 original projections.¹

15 2. Equipment

16 The following is an update on the equipment that we use to apply and/or sort
17 barcodes.

18 a. Barcode Application

- 19 • Multiline Optical Character Reader (MLOCR) — Mail that is not prebarcoded
20 receives its first chance to obtain a postal applied barcode on this piece of
21 equipment. The last 38, of a total 875, MLOCRs were deployed in Fiscal Year
22 1996. No additional deployments are planned, but several enhancements that
23 are currently being, or have recently been, made to the MLOCR include a new
24 Grayscale Camera, a co-directory lookup, and a co-processor. The new
25 Grayscale Camera facilitates better image capture and recognition while the co-

¹ In 1989, the Postal Service projected, that in a "full-up" automated letter environment, the first 40 percent of the barcodes would be applied by mailers, the second 40 percent of the barcodes would be applied by USPS' Optical Character Readers (OCRs), and the remaining 20 percent would be applied by USPS Remote Bar Coding Systems (RBCSs).

- 1 directory and co-processor augment the address matching process. These
2 enhancements should help to improve the overall encode rate of the OCR and
3 reduce the amount of mail that obtains a barcode through Remote Bar Coding.
- 4 • Low Cost MLOCR — This machine is a result of a modification to a Delivery
5 Barcode Sorter (DBCS) that enables it to function as a MLOCR. As of June,
6 1997, the first 46, of a scheduled 100 machines, have been deployed to the field.
7 Deployment is scheduled to continue through the remainder of Fiscal Year 1997
8 and will be targeted to sites as a replacement for outdated Single Line OCRs
9 (SLOCRs) that are not able to read more than one line of an address. The
10 SLOCRs cannot apply a Delivery Point Bar Code (DPBC) and are therefore
11 incompatible with our overall automation objectives.
 - 12 • Remote Bar Coding System (RBCS) — RBCS has three distinct components:
13 the Input Sub System (ISS), the Image Processing Sub System (IPSS), and the
14 Output Sub System (OSS). The ISS, which consists of a retrofitted MLOCR
15 (MLOCR-ISS) and/or a retrofitted Advanced Facer Canceller System (AFCS-
16 ISS)², is used to “lift images” of mailpieces that are not OCR readable. A
17 fluorescent ID tag is sprayed on the back of the mailpiece and an image of the
18 mailpiece is forwarded to the IPSS. While in the IPSS, the image may be
19 resolved through the use of a Remote Computer Reader (RCR)³ or may be
20 forwarded on to a Remote Encoding Center (REC) where an operator keys the
21 address information into a computer. Once the address is resolved to the
22 DPBC level, the mailpiece is fed back through the OSS, which consists of a
23 retrofitted DBCS (DBCS-OSS) and/or a retrofitted Mail Processing Barcode
24 Sorter (MPBCS-OSS), where the delivery point information is matched to the

² The AFCS faces, cancels, and sorts letter mail by mail type. AFCSs that have received the ISS modification can also lift images of non-readable mailpieces.

³ RCR is an off-line optical character recognition device that is part of RBCS. It uses advanced recognition techniques and the additional time available because it operates off-line to resolve script images and other difficult to read addresses. RCR reduces keying work by 25 percent.

1 flourescent ID tag and a barcode is applied. RBCS is currently deployed to 238
2 plants and is scheduled to be deployed to an additional 12 for a total of 250
3 sites. There are 55 RECs.

4 b. Barcode Sorting

- 5 • Delivery Bar Code Sorter (DBCS) — This machine is primarily used for
6 processing barcoded mail on an incoming secondary sort plan such as Delivery
7 Point Sequencing. The DBCS comes in multiple configurations; most machines
8 have either 190 or 206 bins. The DBCS can sequence 5-digit presort barcoded
9 letter mail in the order of delivery in two passes. The DBCSs are in the final
10 phase of deployment. As of May 1997, we have deployed over 3,900 units and
11 will have nearly 4,800 operational when deployment is completed later this year.
- 12 • Carrier Sequence Bar Code Sorter (CSBCS) — This machine is located
13 in many of the larger delivery units and is used principally for Delivery Point
14 Sequencing. The CSBCS can sequence carrier route presorted mail in the order
15 of delivery in three passes — the mail is processed on this machine to one
16 carrier route at a time due to the limited number of bins (i.e., two machine
17 configurations — 13 and 17 bins). The deployment of 3,732 CSBCSs was
18 completed in March 1997.
- 19 • Mail Processing Bar Code Sorter (MPBCS) — This machine has 96 bins and is
20 used primarily for outgoing primary and incoming primary processing. There are
21 1,369 MPBCSs deployed.

22 3. Utilization of Barcodes

23 The strong growth in customer-prebarcoded letters combined with a
24 steady deployment schedule of automated equipment has yielded positive results.
25 As of Accounting Period 9, 1997, Processing & Distribution plants processed 87
26 percent of their total incoming secondary letter volume in automated operations, an
27 eight point increase over the same period last year (SPLY).⁴ Another relevant

⁴ This is determined at the incoming secondary level by dividing the number of piece handlings in automated operations by the piece handlings in all incoming secondary operations.

1 indicator of utilization is that 72 percent of the total letter barcodes received
2 automated secondary processing in the plants.⁵ This percentage is representative
3 of only the volumes that are processed in the plants, so the overall percentage of
4 barcodes that received automated secondary processing is actually higher when
5 CSBCS processing is considered. As mentioned earlier, CSBCSs are located at
6 delivery units and the volume of automated secondary processed on them is not
7 reflected in the plant totals.

8 a. Status of Delivery Point Sequencing

9 A considerable portion of the barcoded mail processed in secondary
10 operations received Delivery Point Sequencing (DPS). As of Accounting Period 5,
11 1995, 58,710 routes in 3,020 delivery zones were receiving DPS mail.⁶ As of
12 Accounting Period 9, 1997, the number of routes has more than doubled to 133,462
13 in 7,122 zones, and the average volume of DPS mail is over 109 million pieces a
14 day.

15 Our delivery units have worked closely with the plants to increase the amount
16 of DPS mail. They have worked together to identify and capture bundles of non-
17 barcoded Enhanced Carrier Route (ECR) Basic letters in order to barcode them at
18 the plant. By doing so, they have been able to incorporate these pieces into the
19 carriers' DPS mail, thus eliminating the need for manual casing. As barcoding non-
20 barcoded ECR basic letters has become a common practice and as the number of
21 DPS zones has increased, the value of ECR Basic letters has diminished. This mail
22 is processed on the OCR to the 5-digit level, so the carrier route sortation provides
23 no value. As we continue to increase the number of DPS zones, the value of this
24 mail will diminish even more. As a result, the Postal Service is proposing that the

⁵ This is determined by dividing the number of piece handlings in automated incoming secondary operations by the total destinating 9- and 11-digit barcoded letters.

⁶ Docket No.MC95-1, Testimony of Jeff W. Lewis, USPS-T-4.

1 pricing of the ECR Basic letter tier be changed to better reflect its reduced value to
2 operations.

3 The quality of the DPS sort has improved dramatically as evidenced by the
4 fact that over 96 percent of the routes receiving sequenced mail take it directly to
5 the street instead of using office time to case the mail.⁷ Through Accounting Period
6 9,1997, overall city carrier workhours are 1.1 percent below SPLY and 0.2 percent
7 below the planned budget. As further evidence of the success of DPS processing,
8 the number of career city carriers is 5,280 below SPLY.

9 4. Description of Future System

10 a. Equipment

11 The Postal Service is nearing completion of equipment deployments that
12 support the automated letters program. As a result, there are no major new
13 equipment deployments planned in the near term. However, scheduled
14 deployments of existing equipment and technologies will continue in order to
15 broaden our existing automation capabilities. As I mentioned earlier, we will expand
16 the number of DBCSs from over 3,900 to nearly 4,800; the number of Low Cost
17 OCRs from 46 to 100; and the number of RBCS sites from 238 to 250. Similarly, we
18 will continue to look for possible enhancements to our existing equipment in order to
19 leverage evolving technologies for additional operating efficiencies.

20 b. Operating Concepts

21 We will continue to strive to maximize the benefits of automated letter
22 processing. Our corporate objective is that barcoded letters destinating at
23 automated plants will be sorted to DPS for zones having 10 or more city routes
24 and/or rural routes with city style addressing. Zones having five to nine routes will
25 receive automated sortation to the route level. Some zones with fewer than 10
26 routes may also receive DPS as a result of local decisions. By the end of Fiscal
27 Year 1998, we anticipate that there will be 154,000 routes on DPS. The increase in

⁷ Carriers take the DPS mail directly to the street once the quality of the sort for their routes is certified at 98 percent correct or better for three consecutive days.

1 the number of routes on DPS, along with additional barcoded volume, will enable us
2 to better manage our costs and improve the level of service to our customers.

3 B. Flat Mail Processing

4 1. Growth in Barcoded Flats

5 The percentage of flat mail that is barcoded has grown significantly with much
6 of the increase occurring since the implementation of Classification Reform in July of
7 1996. Our indicator of barcoded growth is the percentage of all non-carrier route
8 flats that are barcoded. Only the non-carrier route flats are used as the base since
9 we only process flats to the carrier route level and no sequencing is performed. In
10 Fiscal Year 1995, 37.4 percent of all non-carrier route flats were barcoded; in Fiscal
11 Year 1996, 43.2 percent of all non-carrier route flats were barcoded; and through AP
12 9, Fiscal Year 1997, the percentage is 58.8 percent.

13 2. Equipment

- 14 • Multi-Position Flat Sorting Machine (MPFSM 881) —This machine is the primary
15 piece of equipment that is used for processing flats. There are 812 machines
16 deployed and each machine has four consoles that can be used for manual
17 keying or inducting barcoded flats. Flats are manually fed into the machine and
18 are sorted to 100 separations.
- 19 • Multi-Position Flat Sorting Machine (MPFSM 1000) —This machine is the newest
20 generation of flat sorter and is intended to process nearly all of the flats that are
21 non-machinable on the FSM 881. It is estimated that this machine will ultimately
22 process about 25 percent of all non-carrier routed flats; currently, these flats are
23 processed manually. The FSM 1000 has four keying stations and 100 bins, but is
24 not presently equipped with barcode readers. Phase one deployment of 100
25 FSM 1000s was completed in June, 1997. Phase two deployment of an
26 additional 240 FSM 1000s will start in July of this year.

27 3. Utilization of Barcodes

28 Through AP 9, Fiscal Year 1997, Processing & Distribution plants processed
29 28 percent of their total incoming secondary flat volume using barcode readers on
30 flat sorters, a six point increase over the same period last year (SPLY). Keying

1 operations on the flat sorter accounted for an additional 24 percent of their total
2 incoming secondary flat volume. The net result was that 52 percent of the total
3 incoming secondary volume was processed on the flat sorter.⁸ This percentage is
4 somewhat understated since it includes both the machinable and non-machinable
5 flats. The percentage is higher when only the machinable mailbase is considered.
6 Another relevant indicator of utilization is the achievement of 21 percent of the total
7 flat barcodes processed in incoming secondary operations using barcode readers.⁹

8 The utilization of flats barcodes, when compared to letter barcode utilization,
9 may seem low. However, it is important to recognize that there are significant
10 differences in the two automation programs. Letter barcodes can be applied by both
11 the Postal Service and mailers, but flats barcodes can be applied only by mailers.
12 While mailer barcode participation in letters has exceeded expectations, the
13 participation in flats barcoding has been below expectations although, as indicated
14 earlier, there has been recent significant growth in the volume of barcoded flats.
15 The relatively small proportion of barcoded flats volume has made it difficult to
16 identify and capture all of the barcoded flats. Likewise, the deployment of letter mail
17 processing equipment is near completion while future flats processing equipment is
18 still under evaluation and testing. These deployments will continue well past Fiscal
19 Year 1998 and should help to improve barcode utilization.

20 a. Costing of Barcoded versus Non-barcoded

21 I have been advised that there are a couple of peculiar outputs from the cost
22 models that do not reflect the aforementioned value of barcoding to operations. In
23 both Periodicals and Standard (A) Nonprofit flats, the cost model outputs do not
24 appear to adequately reflect the inherent differences in processing efficiencies

⁸ This is determined at the incoming secondary level by dividing the number of piece handlings in flat sorter operations by the piece handlings in all incoming secondary operations.

⁹ This is determined by dividing the number of piece handlings processed by FSM barcode readers in automated incoming secondary operations by the total destinating 9- and 11-digit barcoded flats.

1 between barcoded and non-barcoded mail. This circumstance is enigmatic, and we
2 are determined to identify the factors that may have led to these results.

3 Our preliminary assessment of this matter in Periodicals shows that the
4 unique preparation requirements and options that apply only to that class of mail
5 may have had some impact. One way in which the requirements are unique is that
6 mailers have the option to prepare a less than required sack when they deem it
7 appropriate for service reasons. For instance, a mailer may elect to prepare a 5-
8 digit sack with only six pieces instead of placing the mail in a 3-digit sack.¹⁰ This
9 behavior creates a shift of mail to the 5-digit level. It is probable that this behavior
10 occurs more frequently in non-barcoded flats than in barcoded flats because of the
11 difference in rate eligibility requirements within the Periodical class as described
12 below.

13 For barcoded mail, the 3/5 flat rate eligibility requirement is six or more pieces
14 sorted to 5-digit or unique 3-digit packages, placed into 5-digit, 3-digit, ADC, and
15 mixed ADC sacks. For non-barcoded mail, the 3/5 flat rate eligibility requirement is
16 six or more pieces sorted to 5-digit or unique 3-digit packages, placed into 5-digit
17 and unique 3-digit sacks only. Because of these sacking differences, the non-
18 barcoded packages, of six or more pieces, have significantly less opportunity to
19 qualify for the 3/5 rate. There is, therefore, a financial incentive to shift the non-
20 barcoded flats into a 5-digit sack, when there is less than required volume (i.e., 24
21 pieces), in order to obtain the lower 3/5 rate. Consequently, a significant portion of
22 the non-barcoded packages shift to the 5-digit sack and bypass a handling that
23 would have been received had the packages remained in a lower level (e.g., 3-digit)
24 sack. This shift results in reduced handlings for these packages. In contrast, the
25 barcoded packages can obtain the same 3/5 rate by being placed in any level (e.g.,
26 ADC) sack.

27 It is probable that the aforementioned circumstances have had an impact on
28 the output of our Periodicals cost models. They are not however intended to be

¹⁰ The Domestic Mail Manual (DMM) allows the preparation of a less than required 24 piece sack when the publisher believes it will improve service.

1 inclusive of all of the factors that could have created this situation. We will therefore,
 2 be looking at both Periodicals and Standard (A) Nonprofit mail over the coming
 3 months to determine the causes and develop solutions which we expect to have in
 4 place in time for implementation of the new rates.

5 4. Description of Future System

6 a. Equipment

- 7 • OCR for FSM 881s — All 812 FSM 881 flat sorters will be retrofitted with OCR
 8 capabilities including full address recognition. The retrofit will enable the FSM
 9 881 to process non-barcoded mailpieces that are manually keyed at present.
 10 The OCR will read and sort the mailpiece, but will not spray a barcode. The
 11 modification was approved by the Board of Governors in May 1997; deployment
 12 is scheduled to begin in early Fiscal Year 1998 and continue through the
 13 remainder of calendar year 1998.

14 There are two additional modifications that are being evaluated for
 15 possible deployment over the next couple of years.

- 16 • HSFF on FSM 881s — A High Speed Flats Feeder (HSFF) for the FSM 881
 17 would provide automated feeding at the end of the flat sorter thus eliminating the
 18 need for manual induction. The HSFF is currently under review and is being field
 19 tested at one site.
- 20 • BCR for FSM 1000s — Adding a barcode reader to the FSM 1000 will allow us to
 21 process both barcoded and keyed mail. We are currently field testing the
 22 modification at one site and expect to have results later this year. If we see
 23 positive results from the test, deployment could begin in Fiscal Year 1998,
 24 assuming approval by the Board of Governors.

25 b. Operating Concepts

26 Our distribution concept for flats is somewhat similar to the concept for letters
 27 as the main emphasis is placed on automated zones with 10 or more routes.
 28 However, unlike letters, barcoded flats to those zones will be sorted only to carrier
 29 route level using barcode readers and/or OCR technology. In addition, some zones
 30 with fewer than 10 routes may also receive carrier route processing of automated

1 flats as a result of local decisions. There are no plans to sequence flats in delivery
2 order.

3 Prior to this fiscal year, forecasts indicated that the volume of barcoded flats
4 generated by customers would not result in the attainment of a fully automated flats
5 mailstream. Accordingly, the Postal Service started development and testing of the
6 flat mail OCR. As indicated earlier, we have realized a significant increase in the
7 level of growth in barcoded flats since the implementation of Classification Reform.
8 This growth in customer barcodes combined with the equipment deployments, that
9 are planned or being considered, will enhance our ability to process more mail on
10 flat sorters.

11 The flat mail OCR (FMOCR) will also help us improve our overall barcode
12 utilization by reducing the number of barcodes that are keyed on the flat sorter.
13 Barcoded pieces sometimes get keyed on the flat sorter as a result of poor
14 segregation. The FMOCR should help to improve flat barcode utilization because it
15 works in conjunction with the barcode reader to determine if a flat has a barcode. If
16 a barcode is found on the flat, the piece is sorted based on the barcode. If a
17 barcode is not found, the piece is sorted based on the address information read by
18 the FMOCR. As a result, we achieve better barcode utilization by not keying pieces
19 that have barcodes.

20 The additional equipment deployments and modifications, that I have
21 highlighted, should help us significantly increase the amount of flats that we can
22 process on the flat sorter thus improving our overall efficiency.

1 III. An Operations Perspective on MODS Based Costing

2 This portion of the testimony relates the workroom floor to "volume
3 variabilities", as developed by witness Bradley. It interprets his mathematically
4 derived results in terms of work flow, data collection, equipment, staffing and the
5 mail base.

6 The Management Operating Data System (MODS) is used by the Postal
7 Service to collect workload and workhour data on activities performed in mail
8 processing plants. Section A briefly describes this system, Section B discusses how
9 MODS is used by the Postal Service, and Section C describes how it was applied to
10 form cost pools to estimate mail processing costs.

11 The Productivity Information Reporting System (PIRS) is used by the Postal
12 Service to collect workload and workhour data on the Bulk Mail Centers (BMCs).
13 Section D briefly describes the distinction between the mail processing plants and
14 the BMCs, and how those distinctions are reflected in PIRS.

15 Productivity, defined as pieces processed per hour in a mail processing
16 operation, is a familiar measure in operations that is closely related to volume
17 variability. Section E discusses why greater volume at a specified operation and
18 plant generally results in better productivity; seasonal effects on productivity;
19 productivity in automated and manual sorting operations; productivity in support
20 operations; and how the increase in automated processing has affected manual
21 productivity.

22 Section F briefly discusses why volume variability results derived for cost
23 pools at large facilities using MODS are also appropriate for similar cost pools at
24 smaller facilities.

25 A. System Description

26 MODS has been used by postal management for over 25 years to plan and
27 control activities within postal facilities. The system collects workload and workhour
28 data and accumulates it centrally. The information is reported to all levels of
29 management.

1 MODS uses standard three-digit operation numbers to designate all activities
2 performed in plants. Some of these numbers designate a combination of mail type,
3 processing function performed and the technology used to perform it. For example,
4 Operation 831 is an Outgoing Primary Sort performed on letters using a Multiline
5 Optical Character Reader (MLOCR). In other cases, operation numbers designate a
6 general function. For example, "Platform Load/Unload" is the series 210-229 with
7 individual numbers defined locally to accommodate the facility's arrangement of
8 docks, loading bays, and related platform functions. MODS records and reports
9 actual workhours by operation.

10 Piece-handlings are the usual measure of workload in Mail Processing since
11 its fundamental purpose is to sort each individual piece of mail to an appropriate
12 destination, and most Mail Processing workhours are expended in support of that
13 purpose. Mail volume is measured for each piece-handling operation by machine
14 meter, machine printouts, actual piece counts, or, if these methods are not feasible,
15 by weight, feet, or containers, which are then converted to pieces within MODS
16 using national conversion factors. For many activities such as administration or
17 maintenance, there is no associated mail volume. In other instances where mail is
18 handled in bulk or workhours are expended to support piece-handling operations
19 (e.g. platform, pouching, etc.) only the workhours are recorded.

20 For additional details on MODS, refer to Handbook M-32: Management
21 Operating Data System (MODS), USPS-LR-H-147.

22 B. Use of MODS Data

23 MODS data are used by postal management at both the local and national
24 levels. Local operations management uses MODS to plan and control activities by
25 comparing actual versus projected workhours and workload. Calculations used to
26 develop local staffing plans and work schedules depend on MODS data.

27 Operations management at the Area and National level uses MODS to study
28 trends in productivity, equipment utilization, and similar planning related factors.
29 MODS data is used in the calculations for both operational decisions such as

1 equipment deployments and financial analyses such as workhour requirements and
2 budgeting.

3 Engineering uses MODS to monitor equipment performance and to provide
4 the basic data used in equipment investment decisions.

5 C. MODS Based Cost Pools

6 The grouping of MODS operations into cost pools based on similarity of
7 function and equipment is discussed by witnesses Bradley and Degan. For example,
8 optical character reading operations are grouped in one cost pool and manual letter
9 sorting operations in another.

10 The cost pools generally mimic the layout of the workroom floor because
11 equipment of the same type is normally grouped together to facilitate maintenance
12 and management control of the mail flow. As the worknight progresses from sorting
13 originating mail early in the evening to preparing mail for local delivery as the
14 morning nears, the function of, say, the barcode letter sorters will change from
15 sorting mail to destinations throughout the country to sorting mail for each carrier
16 route in local post offices. However, the basic functionality of barcode sorter
17 operations (i.e. individual piece distribution using a barcode representation of an
18 address) does not change. Also, while in the haste to meet dispatch schedules, mail
19 volume or workhours may occasionally be assigned to the wrong barcode sorting
20 function, there is little chance of data integrity problems at the cost pool level. For
21 example, while an individual clerk may remain clocked into an operation after the
22 sorting scheme has changed to another operation, the clerk's hours are still assigned
23 to the correct cost pool.

24 USPS-LR-H-146 Part I defines cost pools in terms of MODS operations.
25 However, for convenience the most significant cost pools are described here. These
26 cost pools represent six major varieties of mail sorting activities and three main
27 support activities in a mail processing plant. The last three of the six sorting activities
28 described here use the automated processing equipment described above in
29 Chapter 2. The sorting activities are:

- 1 1. Manual Letter operations sort individual letters by hand into a rectangular
2 case containing "pigeonholes" by destination.
- 3 2. Manual Flat operations sort larger than letter size mail pieces into larger
4 cases in the same manner.
- 5 3. In Letter Sorting Machine operations the operator(s) reads the Zip code or
6 the address and depresses an appropriate key combination to tell the
7 machine into which bin to sort the letter. These operations are being
8 phased out due to continuing improvements in automated processing.
- 9 4. Flat Sorting Machine operations include both mechanized (i.e., operator
10 keyed similar to the letter sorting machine process described above) and
11 automated (i.e., barcode sort) capabilities.
- 12 5. Optical Character Readers read the address, apply a barcode, and do an
13 initial sort on the letters. If they are unable to read an address, they send
14 an image of the piece to the Remote Barcoding System (RBCS) to
15 decipher the address and assign a barcode.
- 16 6. Barcode Sorters sort letters using the barcodes imprinted on them.

17 The three main support activities are:

- 18 1. Opening Units are the operational areas within a plant where pouches,
19 sacks, pallets, and other containers of mail are opened and the contents
20 prepared for distribution.
- 21 2. Pouching Operations place sorted mail in containers for dispatch.
- 22 3. Platform Operations load and unload mail from trucks.

23
24 D. The Productivity Information Reporting System (PIRS)

25 The PIR system records workload and workhour information for the National
26 Bulk Mail System consisting of 21 Bulk Mail Centers (BMCs). In contrast to the piece
27 distribution emphasized in the mail processing plants covered by MODS, the 21
28 BMCs act as hubs and transfer points oriented to processing containers of mail and
29 parcels. Each BMC serves as the central processing and transfer point for a
30 designated geographic area; receiving, processing, and distributing originating and

1 destinating volumes of Standard and Periodical Letter and Flat Mail, and Standard
2 Parcels. BMCs are highly mechanized facilities with the work oriented around parcel
3 and sack sorters, sortation of pallets and similar containers, manual sortation of non-
4 machineable parcels, and support activities such as the platform. Workload for each
5 operation is measured in the natural handling unit for the operation (e.g. sacks for a
6 sack sorter). However, to compute comparative productivities across BMCs in PIRS,
7 workload in each operation is converted to an equivalent parcel sorting workload
8 using standard conversion factors for each operation.

9 E. Changes in Productivity

10 Even at the level of an individual facility and cost pool, productivities have
11 changed significantly over the long period, FY 88 to FY 96, covered by the cost
12 study. This section provides an operations perspective on major factors that affect
13 productivity.

14 1. Volume Increases Improve Productivity

15 A sustained increase in volume at a cost pool in a single facility will generally
16 result in some increase in productivity. There are several reasons for this:

- 17 a. Most activities have some associated work such as obtaining mail,
18 positioning rolling stock, or changing schemes that does not change
19 proportionately with changes in volume, but is driven more by the operating
20 schedule for the activity.
- 21 b. Mail volumes are inherently difficult to forecast so the staffing plan in an
22 operation will match the workload only roughly on any given night. As mail
23 volume increases it becomes easier to avoid briefly running short of mail in
24 the middle of an operation.
- 25 c. In human-paced operations such as manual sorting, experience suggests
26 that people work faster when there is a steady inventory of mail waiting to
27 be processed. As volumes increase, it is easier to maintain such an
28 inventory.

2. Seasonal Effects

Operational analyses compare current productivity to the same period in previous years because the volume and characteristics of the mail vary significantly with the mailing seasons. The largest seasonal effect is certainly the holiday mail each November and December. The beneficial effect on productivity of a greater mail volume is overwhelmed by detrimental changes in mail characteristics and the temporary surge in staffing requirements. There is a large increase in letters and packages with illegible handwriting and incomplete addresses. Many of the letters use colored envelopes which are difficult for the OCRs and many of the packages are poorly wrapped. To process the workload in manual operations, temporary clerks are needed who are significantly less productive than the regular staff.

3. Automated Sorting Operations

The volume in automated operations has grown substantially. In the last few years, a large portion of the growth has been due to mailer barcoding with added impetus from the recent Classification Reform.

In letter OCR operations, the shift of readable mail to pre-barcoded mail, leaving less readable mail for the OCR, has resulted in increased OCR rejects despite engineering improvements in the equipment read capability. Recent engineering improvements such as the Grayscale Camera, co-directory, and co-processor described earlier should help to improve the reject rate. Nonetheless, the reject rate in the OCR cost pool has grown. This has effected productivity since credit is not given for rejects in the calculation.

Each facility is unique. Its mailbase is determined by the mailing pattern of the community it serves and the location of major mailers. Its operating schedule is determined by the distance to neighboring post offices and airline schedules. The physical plant is often one-of-a-kind, and work practices, negotiated in local labor agreements, can differ significantly. Because of these factors there is an adjustment period when automated equipment is installed at a facility. A performance history is developed and staffing adjustments are planned. Under terms of the local and national labor agreements, each staffing change, including schedule adjustments,

1 requires a seniority based bidding process which cascades through the facility as
2 each successful applicant's old position must be bid under the same procedure. If
3 knowledge of a sorting scheme is required, a training period is provided for the
4 applicant to acquire the skill or revert to another position. This adjustment period can
5 delay achievement of the optimal productivity.

6 4. Manual Sorting Operations

7 The increased proportion of mail processed in automation has affected the
8 operating strategy in this area. More of the manual mail is mail rejected by
9 automation, and many of these rejects arrive in manual operations close to a
10 dispatch time as automated operations sweep their stackers, including the reject
11 stacker, before a scheme change. Manual cases become the method-of-last-resort,
12 especially late in the evening as rejects from automated operations appear in
13 quantity. To meet service commitments, manual cases must be staffed to handle
14 these late surges.

15 These effects are compounded in some operations by low and even erratic
16 volumes. Manual Parcel operations frequently suffer from these problems but must
17 be staffed for service reasons whether there is much mail or not. Likewise, there is a
18 higher degree of "overhead" activities in manual parcel operations due to the
19 requirement to prepare the operation for start-up (i.e., hang sacks), as well as the
20 associated non-distribution activities of "dropping," labeling and handling the sacks
21 when full or at dispatch time. In other words, those activities must take place
22 whether there is one or twenty parcels in a sack.

23 Priority mail can be affected by all of these issues as well. In particular, the
24 time sensitive nature of this product has a significant influence on local staffing
25 decisions.

26 5. Support Operations

27 Adding a sophisticated automated processing stream to existing mechanized
28 and manual streams also required an increase in workhours for non-distribution
29 activities, such as moving mail between operations, to handle the complex mail flows
30 that resulted. Most support activities occur in the Allied Labor Operations – Platform,

1 Pouching, and Opening Units – described earlier. These activities are largely manual
2 and are affected by many of the same factors that affect manual sorting.

3 Other operations such as the cancellation / mail preparation operations are
4 critical support activities for mail sorting. The cancellation / mail preparation activity
5 accepts the originating mail collected locally, orients this mail, separates the metered
6 mail, cancels the stamped mail, and divides the mail into functional processing
7 categories: non-machineable mail for hand-cancellation and manual sorting,
8 barcoded mail to go directly to the BCSs, and mail for the OCRs and RBCS. The first
9 evidence of the night's volumes and arrival times are seen in these operations and
10 they are critical to the success of the night's processing. Outgoing sorting activities
11 cannot work at capacity until the cancellation / mail preparation activities get the mail
12 to them. These operations act as a gateway through which mail for subsequent
13 sorting operations must pass. It is critical to the success of the outgoing distribution
14 operations that mail be processed through the cancellation operations as
15 expeditiously as possible.

16 F. Similar Operations at Non-MODS Facilities

17 In smaller facilities not covered by MODS, sorting schemes are often simpler,
18 the workroom floor is smaller, clerks have greater personal knowledge of the local
19 delivery area, and their very size makes it easier to keep a steady flow of mail to
20 operations such as manual letters and flats. Nonetheless, the equipment and
21 mailflows are similar to those at facilities reporting to MODS, and the factors
22 accounting for volume variability would thus be much the same regardless of facility
23 size.