**Acronyms**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ANPR</td>
<td>Advanced Notice of Proposed Rulemaking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACD</td>
<td>Annual Compliance Determination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACR</td>
<td>Annual Compliance Report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C.F.R.</td>
<td>Code of Federal Regulations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAG</td>
<td>Cost Ascertainment Group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHIR</td>
<td>Chairman’s Information Request</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CIR</td>
<td>Commission Information Request</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPI</td>
<td>Consumer Price Index</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CY</td>
<td>Calendar Year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEVS</td>
<td>Federal Employee Viewpoint Survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FOIA</td>
<td>Freedom of Information Act</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FSS</td>
<td>Flats Sequencing System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY</td>
<td>Fiscal Year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IMb</td>
<td>Intelligent Mail barcode</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IOCS</td>
<td>In-Office Cost System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCS</td>
<td>Mail Classification Schedule</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPR</td>
<td>Notice of Proposed Rulemaking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSA</td>
<td>Negotiated Service Agreement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAEA</td>
<td>Postal Accountability and Enhancement Act</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RRM</td>
<td>Return Receipt for Merchandise</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPM</td>
<td>Service Performance Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPR</td>
<td>Special Purpose Route</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TACS</td>
<td>Time and Attendance Collection System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UPU</td>
<td>Universal Postal Union</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USO</td>
<td>Universal Service Obligation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Guiding Principles

The Commission is committed to and operates by the principles of:

- **Openness**
  - Public participation

- **Integrity**
  - Fairness and impartiality
  - Timely and rigorous analysis

- **Merit**
  - Commitment to excellence
  - Collegiality and multi-disciplinary approaches

- **Adaptability**
  - Proactive response to the rapidly changing postal environment

---

Mission Statement

Ensure transparency and accountability of the United States Postal Service and foster a vital and efficient universal mail system.

Vision Statement

To be an independent regulator respected for effectively engaging postal stakeholders to promote a robust universal mail system through objective, accurate, and timely regulatory analyses and decisions.

We will look to achieve our vision by:

- Taking a multi-disciplinary and integrated approach to work
- Monitoring the environment and anticipating changes to enhance agility
- Utilizing rigorous evaluative methods
- Optimizing stakeholder engagement through an appropriate and clearly-defined public involvement process
- Developing staff expertise to ensure that the Commission is a center for excellence in postal regulatory matters
- Ensuring that the Commission is an employer of choice
- Ensuring efficient stewardship of resources
Letter from the Chairman

January 2022

On behalf of the Postal Regulatory Commission, I am pleased to submit the Commission’s Annual Report to the President and Congress. This report reflects the agency’s primary activities over the past year. It includes information required under the Postal Accountability and Enhancement Act of 2006 (PAEA) on the operations of the Commission, including the extent to which regulations are achieving the objectives outlined in the PAEA, and an annual estimate of the cost of the Universal Service Obligation and the value of the postal monopoly.

The Commission is charged with reviewing and approving Postal Service rates, reviewing formal and informal complaints, examining proposals for new products and services, and ensuring the Postal Service complies with Title 39.

In Fiscal Year 2021, the Commission issued critical rulemakings, notices, advisory opinions, and reports central to our role as regulator and the sustainability of the Postal Service. Notably, the Commission:

- Considered two Postal Service requests to 1) change service standards nationwide for First-Class Package Service, and 2) revise service standards for First-Class Mail and end-to-end Periodicals, as part of the Postal Service’s high profile ten-year strategic plan. The Commission issued two advisory opinions clarifying the complex issues associated with the Postal Service’s planned changes and examined the costs and benefits associated with the Postal Service’s plans.
- Presided over proceedings of proposed rate adjustments for Market Dominant and Competitive products and related classification changes.
- Produced the Annual Compliance Determination, overseeing service and financial compliance by the Postal Service, as well as several other important annual reports including the Financial Analysis of the Postal Service financial results and 10-K Statement for FY 2020, and Analysis of the Postal Service’s FY 2020 Annual Performance Report and FY 2021 Performance Plan.
- Adopted final rules to modify a decade-long rate-making system for Market Dominant mail classes which had failed to meet the goals for the system established by the PAEA. The Commission prevailed in court against a challenge by mailers to its determination.

As agency chair, it is one of my priorities to ensure that the Commission continues to expand our technology capabilities to support the use, visualization, and dissemination of data in support of the Commission’s mission: to ensure transparency and accountability of the postal system and ensure a vital and efficient universal postal system. For example, in FY 2021, the Commission developed a plan to hire its first Chief Data Officer and establish a Data Governance Board to make data available to the public in machine-readable formats and manage data as a strategic asset to the nation. The Commission also began reorganizing its staff to launch a small data analytics group to examine the postal network, address system bottlenecks, and conduct specialized studies of postal costs. In addition, the Commission continued exploring ways to better visualize its data in order to make data more accessible and understandable for a broader range of stakeholders.

The activities described in this report reflect the work and dedication of the agency’s staff and demonstrate our continued commitment to work with the President, Congress, the Postal Service, mailers, and the public as critical decisions are made to sustain a vibrant postal system.

Respectfully,

Michael M. Kubayanda
Chairman
The activities and accomplishments highlighted in the Commission's *Annual Report to the President and Congress* demonstrate the agency's efficient use of limited resources to achieve its mission of ensuring transparency and accountability of Postal Service operations, as well as fostering a vital and efficient universal mail system. Notably, the Commission issued final rules adopting changes to the regulations governing the system for regulating rates and classes for Market Dominant products. This rulemaking was the Commission’s top priority in FY 2021 and one of its Strategic Objectives. The rules are designed to address the systemic deficiencies in the ratemaking system identified by the Commission by helping the Postal Service to improve pricing efficiency; maintain financial health and target primary drivers of net losses; and set reasonable rates. The rules were recently upheld in their entirety by the D.C. Circuit Court following a challenge by mailers and others to the Commission’s determination.

The Commission also adjudicated two major nature of service cases and issued advisory opinions on the Postal Service's proposals to revise service standards for 38.5 percent of First-Class Mail and 7 percent of end-to-end Periodicals, as well as 36 percent of First-Class Package Service. The Commission's findings and recommendations will help the Postal Service better implement these proposed service standard changes.

In Fiscal Year 2021 and early FY 2022, the Commission engaged in other proceedings, including:

**Rulemakings**
- Initiated a proceeding to solicit public input on performance incentive mechanisms that would help the Postal Service maximize incentives to increase efficiency and reduce costs, maintain high-quality service standards, and assure financial stability (including retained earnings)
- Issued a supplemental Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPR) to initiate the Commission’s third 5-year review of the institutional costs appropriate share requirement in 39 U.S.C. § 3633(b)
- Issued an NPR seeking public input on proposed regulations related to the letter monopoly

**Rate Adjustments**
The Commission expeditiously evaluated the Postal Service’s proposed rate and classification adjustments for Market Dominant and Competitive products to ensure compliance with the PAEA and the Commission’s regulations.
- Reviewed and approved two large scale rate adjustments for most Market Dominant products, along with related mail
classification changes (MCS). In the second proposed rate adjustment, the Commission applied the new rules governing the system for regulating rates and classes for Market Dominant products

- Approved proposed rate adjustments for domestic and international Competitive products, along with related MCS changes
- Approved the Postal Service’s proposals for a time-limited rate adjustment for Competitive domestic products and a classification change for Priority Mail Express
- Reviewed and approved 136 Competitive NSAs and 279 non-published rates contracts

Reports Required by the PAEA

The Commission published four significant reports in FY 2021:

- Annual Report to the President and Congress describing the Commission’s operations, accomplishments, and activities as the Postal Service’s regulator
- Annual Compliance Determination reviewing the Postal Service’s compliance with statutory pricing and service requirements
- Analysis of Postal Service Financial Results and 10-K Statement for the Fiscal Year 2020 providing an in-depth analysis of the Postal Service’s financial health
- Review of Postal Service FY 2020 Performance Report and FY 2021 Performance Plan evaluating whether the Postal Service met its performance goals

Public Inquiry Dockets

The Commission explored matters important to postal stakeholders in four public inquiry dockets related to service performance, the value of the postal and mailbox monopolies, the cost of the Universal Service Obligation, and exemptions to the letter monopoly.

In other proceedings, the Commission:

- Considered proposed changes to the Market Dominant and Competitive product lists and the MCS, including the Postal Service’s request to transfer Bound Printed Matter Parcels to the Competitive product list
- Considered nine proposals to change analytical principles and issued final orders for seven of these proposals
- Estimated the cost of the Universal Service Obligation and the value of the postal monopoly
- Adjudicated three complaint cases, oversaw three market tests, and considered two Post Office closing appeals
- Provided views to the Secretary of State on three proposals for the 27th Universal Postal Union Congress
- Responded to more than 14,000 inquiries from the public related to proposed operational changes announced by the Postal Service—a 120 percent increase from FY 2020
- Processed 27 Freedom of Information Act requests
- Opened and reviewed 303 new dockets and processed a total of 4,353 filings
The Commission is an independent establishment of the Executive Branch of the United States Government. It has exercised regulatory oversight over the Postal Service since its creation by the Postal Reorganization Act of 1970, with expanded responsibilities under the PAEA of 2006.

The Commission is composed of five Commissioners, each of whom is appointed by the President, by and with the advice and consent of the Senate, for a term of six years. A Commissioner may continue to serve after the expiration of his or her term until a successor is confirmed, except that a Commissioner may not continue to serve for more than 1 year after the date on which his or her term would have otherwise expired. Not more than 3 of the Commissioners may be adherents of the same political party.
Commission Leadership

Michael M. Kubayanda | CHAIRMAN

President Joseph R. Biden, Jr. designated Michael M. Kubayanda chairman of the Commission in January 2021. Chairman Kubayanda was reappointed to the Commission on December 9, 2021, for a second term expiring November 22, 2026, following his renomination by President Biden and second unanimous confirmation by the United States Senate. He was named vice chairman in August 2019 and served in that position through the end of 2020. Prior to joining the Commission, Chairman Kubayanda served as a board member and privacy officer for a digital health startup. He previously worked with the Office of Inspector General (OIG) of the U.S. Postal Service, ending as director of government relations, a role in which he worked with OIG officials to support the work of inspectors general in data analytics. In the OIG’s research group, he oversaw research on technical issues and authored reports addressing postal economics, intellectual property, and public-private partnerships, while serving as an advisor to colleagues on issues such as privacy, knowledge management, and innovation. Prior to his work with the OIG, he served on the staff of the House Committee on Oversight and Government Reform.

Ashley E. Poling | VICE CHAIRWOMAN

Ashley Jay Elizabeth Poling was sworn in as commissioner for a first term on August 8, 2019, following her nomination by President Donald J. Trump and confirmation by the United States Senate. Prior to joining the Commission, Ms. Poling served as the director of governmental affairs and senior counsel to Ranking Member Gary C. Peters (D-MI) on the Senate Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs Committee where she advised Senator Peters on policy issues, negotiated with stakeholders to advance bipartisan legislation, and implemented strategies to advance Senator Peters’ governmental affairs priorities. Ms. Poling also served as senior policy counsel to Senator Heidi Heitkamp (D-ND) and as counsel to Senator Jon Tester (D-MT) on their respective Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs Subcommittees, where she focused on postal reform and federal workforce issues. Ms. Poling’s term expires on November 22, 2024.
Mark Acton | COMMISSIONER

Commissioner Mark Acton was reappointed to the Commission by President Barack H. Obama on December 12, 2016, for a third term of continued public service extending until October 14, 2022. Commissioner Acton was confirmed by the United States Senate on December 10, 2016. Commissioner Acton was nominated by President Barack H. Obama on May 12, 2011, for a second term of office through October 14, 2016, and was confirmed by the United States Senate on September 26, 2011. President George W. Bush first nominated Mr. Acton as a postal rate commissioner on November 7, 2005, and he was confirmed by the Senate on August 3, 2006. Prior to that appointment, Mr. Acton served as special assistant to the chairman of the Postal Rate Commission and assisted in managing all aspects of agency operations.

Ann C. Fisher | COMMISSIONER

Ann C. Fisher was sworn in as a commissioner on August 8, 2019, for a first term, following her nomination by President Donald J. Trump and confirmation by the United States Senate. Her experience with postal issues spans close to 3 decades. Commissioner Fisher spent 12 years on Capitol Hill in various roles, including deputy staff director to former Chairman Susan Collins (R-ME) of the Senate Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs. In this capacity, she served as the key Republican Senate aide responsible for overseeing the development of the Postal Accountability and Enhancement Act. Fisher also managed postal and federal employee issues for Senator Thad Cochran (R-MS) on the Senate Subcommittee on International Security and Proliferation. She began her career in the Senate serving as an economist on the Senate Small Business Committee for then-Chairman Larry Pressler (R-SD). Fisher additionally served as a government relations manager at the U.S. Postal Service headquarters in Washington under former Postmaster General William Henderson. Immediately prior to her designation as commissioner, Fisher was director of public affairs and government relations at the PRC. Commissioner Fisher’s term expires October 14, 2024.
Commissioner Robert G. Taub was chairman of the Commission for more than 6 years. President Barack H. Obama designated him as agency head in December 2014, and he continued in that role until January 2021. President Obama appointed him to both terms on the Commission following unanimous confirmations by the United States Senate in 2011 and 2016. His current term expires on October 14, 2022. Commissioner Taub has 40 years of experience in public service at the local, state, and federal levels. When President Obama first appointed him to the Commission in October 2011, Commissioner Taub was the special assistant to Secretary of the Army John M. McHugh. As an Army senior executive, he was one of the principal civilian advisors to Secretary McHugh, helping him lead a workforce of more than 1.2 million people, and manage an annual budget exceeding $200 billion. Commissioner Taub was awarded the Army’s Decoration for Distinguished Civilian Service. Before his appointment to the Army, Mr. Taub served as Chief of Staff to U.S. Representative John M. McHugh (R-NY) for the preceding decade. As chief of staff, he oversaw the day-to-day operations of Representative McHugh’s staff and offices in Washington, D.C. and Northern New York State. In a variety of leadership roles on the U.S. House Oversight & Government Reform Committee for 12 years, Mr. Taub also worked closely with Congressman McHugh on matters relating to the nation’s postal and delivery sector. He crafted Representative McHugh’s legislation for modernizing America’s postal laws for the first time since 1970, culminating in passage of the Postal Accountability and Enhancement Act in 2006. Mr. Taub also helped Representative McHugh conduct hearings and investigations into postal operations that ultimately led to the enactment of a dozen other postal laws.
Staff and Office Structure

Commission staff has expertise in law, economics, finance, statistics, and cost accounting.

The Commission is organized into four operating offices:

- **Accountability and Compliance**
  The Office of Accountability and Compliance is responsible for technical analysis and formulating policy recommendations for the Commission on domestic and international matters.

- **General Counsel.**
  The Office of the General Counsel ensures the Commission fulfills its statutory and regulatory obligations by providing legal guidance on matters involving the Commission's responsibilities.

- **Public Affairs and Government Relations**
  The Office of Public Affairs and Government Relations facilitates prompt and responsive communications with the public, Congress, Federal agencies, the Postal Service, and the media.

- **Secretary and Administration**
  The Office of the Secretary and Administration records the Commission's official actions; manages the Commission's records, human resources, budget and accounting, and information technology; and provides other support services.

The Commission maintains an independent Office of the Inspector General. It conducts, supervises, and coordinates audits and investigations relating to Commission programs and operations, and identifies and reports fraud and abuse in these programs and operations.

Figure II-1 displays the Commission's current organizational structure.
Commission Strategic Plan

The Commission’s FY 2017-2022 Strategic Plan is the framework that guides the Commission’s work and is a fundamental beacon for the Commission’s efforts toward continuous improvement, efficiency, and effectiveness. The Strategic Plan outlines the agency’s vision to promote a robust universal mail system through objective, accurate, and timely regulatory analyses and decisions.

The Commission focuses its activities on the following four strategic goals:

**Goal 1:** Deliver accurate and objective analyses and decisions to ensure transparency and accountability of the Postal Service

**Goal 2:** Actively engage with Congress and stakeholders in support of a dynamic postal system

**Goal 3:** Provide an optimal internal infrastructure to support the management of priorities, workload, and emerging requirements

**Goal 4:** Recruit, develop, and retain a diverse, high-performing workforce

The Commission regularly tracks individual department and agency progress in meeting the four goals. This Strategic Plan also steers our commitment of Commission resources, ensuring that we utilize our small budget and personnel complement to achieve our Strategic Goals.

Strategic Goal 1 focuses on delivering accurate and objective analyses and decisions to ensure compliance, transparency, and accountability of the Postal Service. These principles are the bedrock of the Commission’s work, and it conducts thorough and accurate analysis of Postal Service reporting in order to ensure that the Postal Service is compliant with the law and is held accountable for non-compliance. To further this goal, the Commission conducted extensive regulatory work as detailed in Chapter III.

Strategic Goal 2 focuses on active engagement with Congress and stakeholders in support of a dynamic postal system. The Commission achieves this goal by clearly communicating complex analyses and decisions to address the needs of diverse stakeholders. As set forth in Chapter V, the Commission’s Office of Public Affairs and Government Relations (PAGR) assists the public by handling thousands of consumer comments and inquiries related to a host of postal issues. Also, in response to the impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic on Postal Service operations, PAGR has increased communication and active engagement about the postal system with the U.S. Congress, the Administration, the Postal Service, other government agencies, as well as with various media outlets.

Strategic Goal 3 focuses on providing an optimal internal infrastructure, including IT infrastructure, administrative infrastructure, and physical infrastructure, to support management of priorities, workload, and emerging requirements. The Commission is able to fulfill its mission and provide effective oversight of the Postal Service when it operates in an efficient, responsive, and transparent matter. In pursuit of this goal, the Commission embarked on an aggressive IT modernization program which included transition to the cloud, a complete security assessment and authorization, and enhanced infrastructure and technology tools. The Commission continued its focus on enhancing its security posture in order to support its achievement of Strategic Goals 1 and 2 to ensure the continuity of the Commission’s mission in light of a global pandemic.

Strategic Goal 4 is a cross-cutting goal that focuses on the recruitment, development, and retention of a diverse, high-performing workforce. As payroll is 80 percent of the
Commission’s budget, this heightens the significance which the Commission attaches to this goal. Loss of experienced and trained staff is a risk area and threatens the work of the Commission given the unique postal expertise required to carry out its mission. In the past fiscal year, the Commission has increased its hiring and successfully onboarded seven full-time employees to fill mission-critical vacancies. Four of these new employees work in the Office of Accountability and Compliance and Office of the General Counsel, which separately supports Strategic Goal 1.

To assist in measuring the effectiveness of its Strategic Plan efforts, the Commission participates annually in the Federal Employee Viewpoint Survey (FEVS). In FY 2020, the Commission achieved a 94 percent response rate, an eighteen percentage point increase over the FY 2019 response rate. This response rate is fifty percentage points higher than the government-wide average response rate of 44 percent. In addition to response rate, one prime indicator of agency success provided by the FEVS is the Employee Engagement Index, which ranks employees’ perceptions of the leadership within their agency, their supervisors, and the overall work experience. The Commission’s Employee Engagement Index—88 percent—continues to be among the higher ratings across the government.

Commission staff also report 100 percent satisfaction with the Commission’s work-life programs and telework programs and high levels of global satisfaction compared to peers across the Federal government. The Commission uses FEVS to develop actionable plans to address issues raised in confidential feedback from employees.

The Commission applies its Strategic Plan to prioritize and enhance the effectiveness of operations by aligning its limited resources to accomplish its mission and meet its statutory responsibilities and stated Strategic Goals. The Commission’s largest challenge in fully achieving its Strategic Plan goals remains its lack of sufficient funds. As the regulator of the Postal Service, the Commission needs the ability to remain flexible and nimble in order to adjust its operations to match the regulatory oversight requirements of the Postal Service in the fast-paced and evolving delivery sector. Given the nature of the Commission’s work—responding to changes in the postal sector environment, while ensuring its statutorily-mandated responsibilities are conducted in an efficient and effective manner—even small cuts to the Commission’s budget significantly impact its ability to meet its Strategic Goals. Despite these budgetary challenges, the Commission was able to efficiently and effectively make significant progress in achieving its Strategic Goals and saw major accomplishments related to each Strategic Goal in 2020.

In FY 2022, the Commission will be revising its Strategic Plan and issuing a new and updated plan for the agency. The Commission’s current Strategic Plan, in its entirety, can be viewed or downloaded at www.prc.gov.
The Postal Accountability and Enhancement Act (PAEA)\(^1\) requires the Commission to submit an Annual Report to the President and the Congress (Annual Report) that includes an analysis “concerning the operations of the Commission under [Title 39], including the extent to which regulations are achieving the objectives under sections 3622 and 3633” of Title 39 of the United States Code (U.S.C.).\(^2\) These sections contain laws related to Market Dominant and Competitive products, respectively.\(^3\) Market Dominant products are those products over which the Postal Service “exercises sufficient market power that it can effectively set the price[s] of such product[s] substantially above costs, raise prices significantly, decrease quality, or decrease output, without risk of losing a significant level of business to other firms offering similar products.”\(^4\) Competitive products consist of all other products.\(^5\)

The Annual Report must analyze the extent to which regulations are achieving the objectives under section 3622, which relate to Market Dominant products. The modern system for regulating rates and classes for Market Dominant products (Market Dominant Rate System) must be designed to achieve the following objectives in 39 U.S.C. § 3622(b):

1. Maximize incentives to reduce costs and increase efficiency
2. Create predictability and stability in rates
4. Allow the Postal Service pricing flexibility
5. Assure adequate revenues, including retained earnings, to maintain financial stability
6. Reduce the administrative burden and increase the transparency of the ratemaking
7. Enhance mail security and deter terrorism
8. Establish and maintain a just and reasonable schedule for rates and classifications without prohibiting the Postal Service from making changes of unequal magnitude within, between, or among classes of mail
9. Allocate the total institutional costs of the Postal Service appropriately between Market Dominant and Competitive products\(^6\)

The Commission established regulations governing the Market Dominant Rate System in 2007 shortly after the PAEA was enacted.\(^7\) In FY 2021, the Commission completed its review of the Market Dominant Rate System to determine if it was achieving the objectives established by Congress in 39 U.S.C. § 3622(b), taking into account the factors of 39 U.S.C. § 3622(c). The Commission’s review is discussed below under the “Statutory Review of Market Dominant Rate System” section.
The Annual Report must also analyze the extent to which regulations are achieving the objectives under 39 U.S.C. § 3633 relating to Competitive products.⁸ The Commission’s regulations in 39 C.F.R. part 3035 support the requirements of section 3633, which are discussed below under the “Rate Adjustments - Competitive Products” section. This chapter also describes the Commission's major orders, reports, and proceedings during FY 2021 and early FY 2022.

Rulemakings Amending Commission Regulations

Statutory Review of Market Dominant Rate System

When enacting the PAEA, Congress intended that the Market Dominant Rate System achieve the nine objectives in 39 U.S.C. § 3622(b), which are listed at the beginning of this chapter. Congress also required that the Commission review the Market Dominant Rate System 10 years after the PAEA was enacted “to determine if the system is achieving the objectives in [39 U.S.C. § 3622] (b), taking into account the factors in [39 U.S.C. § 3622] (c).”⁹ In accordance with this statutory mandate, the Commission established Docket No. RM2017-3 and issued an Advanced Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (ANPR) to establish a framework for its review and provide notice and an opportunity for public comments.¹⁰ On December 1, 2017, the Commission issued Order No. 4257 containing its findings and determination of its review of the Market Dominant Rate System.¹¹

In Order No. 4257, the Commission identified three principal areas of the Market Dominant Rate System that encapsulate the nine objectives: (1) the structure of the ratemaking system, (2) the Postal Service's financial health, and (3) service.¹² The Commission evaluated each principal area to determine whether the PAEA’s goals were achieved during the PAEA era.¹³ The Commission found that while some goals of these principal areas were achieved, the Market Dominant Rate System as a whole did not achieve the objectives taking into account the factors of the PAEA.¹⁴ The Commission identified key issues with the Market Dominant Rate System, including:

- The Market Dominant Rate System did not increase pricing efficiency because the Postal Service did not set workshare discounts as close as practicable to their avoided costs,¹⁵ and multiple products failed to cover their attributable costs¹⁶
- The Market Dominant Rate System did not maximize incentives to reduce costs and increase operational efficiency as intended by the PAEA¹⁷
- The Market Dominant Rate System did not maintain financial stability, including retained earnings, in the medium and long-term time frames because the Postal Service suffered a net loss each year during the PAEA era, and the Postal Service lacked sufficient net income to generate retained earnings¹⁸
- The Market Dominant Rate System did not achieve reasonable rates because products and classes that failed to cover their attributable costs threatened the Postal Service’s financial integrity¹⁹
- The Market Dominant Rate System did not effectively encourage the maintenance of high-quality service standards because the Postal Service reduced the high-quality service standards initially promulgated in 2007²⁰

In accordance with 39 U.S.C. § 3622(d)(3), if the Commission determines that the Market Dominant Rate System has not achieved the
objectives, taking into account the factors of the PAEA, the Commission may, by regulation, make modifications or adopt an alternative system as necessary to achieve the objectives. As a result of its findings and determination in Order No. 4257, the Commission concurrently issued a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPR) that included proposed changes to the Market Dominant Rate System. The Commission subsequently issued a revised NPR to reflect comments received on the initial NPR, as well as to improve and strengthen the initial proposed rules.

After considering comments received on the revised NPR, the Commission issued final rules adopting changes to the regulations governing the Market Dominant Rate System. The modifications adopted by the Commission were designed to achieve all of the PAEA’s objectives applied in conjunction with each other. Figure III-1 provides examples of how the three major aspects of the final rules were designed to address the systemic deficiencies identified by the Commission to have frustrated the former Market Dominant Rate System’s ability to achieve these objectives:

Figure III-1: Systemic Deficiencies Addressed by the Final Rules

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Systemic Deficiency Addressed</th>
<th>Final Rules</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maintain financial health and target primary drivers of net losses</td>
<td>Provide the Postal Service with additional rate adjustment authority for non-compensatory classes[^a]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintain reasonable rates and promote pricing efficiency</td>
<td>Require minimum rate increases for each non-compensatory product in a compensatory class[^b]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improve pricing efficiency</td>
<td>Prohibit reducing rates for all non-compensatory products[^c]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

[^a]: Non-compensatory classes refer to classes for which the attributable costs of all products exceed the revenues of all products. Order No. 5763 at 189, 285.

[^b]: This rule applies only to a product for which both of the following two criteria apply: (1) the product’s attributable costs exceed revenue; and (2) the product is included within classes of mail for which the overall class revenue exceeds overall class attributable cost. Order No. 5763 at 181, 187.

[^c]: This rule applies to each product for which attributable costs exceed revenue, regardless whether the product is included within a class of mail that is compensatory overall. Order No. 5763 at 320, Attachment at 15, 21.

Source: Order No. 5337 at 62, 182; Order No. 5763 at 19, 182
First, to address obstacles to the Postal Service’s ability to maintain financial health and target primary drivers of net losses, the final rules modified the former price cap by providing the Postal Service two new forms of Market Dominant rate adjustment authority in addition to the rate authority provided by the percentage change in the Consumer Price Index for All Urban Customers (CPI-U). These principled adjustments to the Market Dominant Rate System were designed to allow the Postal Service the opportunity to generate revenue to address two sources of costs largely outside the Postal Service’s direct control: (1) declines in mail density; and (2) statutorily-mandated amortization payments for particular retirement costs. The density-based rate authority, which is designed to address consequences of declines in the volume of mail per delivery point, provided additional Market Dominant rate adjustment authority equal to the density-driven portion of increases in average cost-per-piece, as calculated under the Commission’s formula.  

The retirement-based rate authority, which is designed to address consequences of declines in the volume of mail per delivery point, provided additional Market Dominant rate adjustment authority equal to the density-driven portion of increases in average cost-per-piece, as calculated under the Commission’s formula.  

The retirement-based rate authority will be phased in over 5 years.

Second, to address the failure of the Market Dominant Rate System to maintain reasonable rates and promote pricing efficiency, the final rules provided additional rate adjustment authority for non-compensatory classes and imposed new requirements for non-compensatory products. As a starting point, the final rules prohibited the Postal Service from reducing rates for non-compensatory products. Additionally for each non-compensatory product included in a compensatory class, the final rules required a minimum rate increases of at least 2 percentage points above the average percentage increase for the class. These restrictions are designed to stop the trend of declining cost coverage for these products and move cost coverage toward 100 percent. For each non-compensatory mail class, the final rules provided the Postal Service with the option to use additional rate authority of 2 percentage points per class and per fiscal year, which is aimed at narrowing the cost coverage gap of those classes over time.

Third, to improve pricing efficiency, the final rules enhanced the Commission’s regulation of workshare discounts to phase out two practices impeding pricing efficiency: workshare discounts that are set either substantially below avoided costs or substantially above avoided costs. The final rules prohibited the Postal Service from changing workshare discounts that are equal to avoided costs; reducing workshare discounts that are below avoided costs; and increasing workshare discounts that are above avoided costs. The Postal Service may propose to set a workshare discount below or above avoided costs only under certain circumstances. The Postal Service may also request a waiver in advance of a rate adjustment filing that, if granted by the Commission, would exempt a workshare discount from some of the requirements.

The final rules made other changes to the Market Dominant Rate System that include:

- Extending the minimum notice period for rate adjustment filings from 45 to 90 days
- Discontinuing the Commission’s practice of addressing the objectives and factors of 39 U.S.C. § 3622(b) and (c) in individual rate
adjustment proceedings

- Adding reporting requirements intended to facilitate the tracking of costs and monitoring of the Postal Service’s efforts to reduce costs
- Providing for a holistic review of the effects of the Commission’s rule changes after 5 years, retaining the flexibility to review and adjust certain components of the system sooner than 5 years if necessary

Taken together, the changes adopted in the final rules were designed to remedy the deficiencies in the existing Market Dominant Rate System identified in Order No. 4257.

Mailers and the Postal Service filed petitions for review of Order No. 5763, which adopted the final rules, before the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit (D.C. Circuit). On November 12, 2021, the D.C. Circuit denied the petitions for review, finding that the Commission acted within the scope of its statutory authority, and the changes adopted in the final rules were not arbitrary and capricious. The D.C. Circuit’s opinion is discussed below in the “Court of Appeals Cases” section.

Additionally “to explore ways to enhance the regulatory system to promote longer-term financial stability, increased efficiency and cost reductions, while maintaining high-quality service standards...,” the Commission announced its intent and subsequently initiated a new separate rulemaking in Docket No. RM2021-2 (Performance Incentive Mechanism), which is described further below.

Performance Incentive Mechanism

Based on its findings in its order adopting final rules changing the Market Dominant Rate System that further study would produce useful information concerning certain issues, the Commission initiated Docket No. RM2021-2 by issuing an ANPR under 39 U.S.C. § 3622(d) (3) seeking input from the public about what additional regulations promulgated by the Commission may be necessary to achieve the PAEA’s objectives over the longer term. Specifically, the Commission sought input on performance incentive mechanisms (PIMs) that would maximize incentives to increase efficiency and reduce costs, maintain high-quality service standards, and assure financial stability (including retained earnings). Regulators use PIMs to set a target for acceptable performance by a regulated entity in a specific area and attach financial benefits and/or consequences to ensure compliance.

The Commission invited comments on ideas for PIMs that would:

- Connect direct financial consequences with increasing efficiency, reducing costs, and maintaining high-quality service standards
- Incentivize the Postal Service to increase efficiency and reduce costs using an operational efficiency-based requirement such as total factor productivity
- Maintain high-quality service standards and hold the Postal Service accountable for consistently achieving those standards

On June 24, 2021, the Postal Service filed a motion asking the Commission to hold this rulemaking in abeyance until the D.C. Circuit resolves appeals of the Commission’s final rules adopting changes to the regulations governing the Market Dominant Rate System. The Postal Service asserted that the outcome of these appellate proceedings “would affect the basis for evaluating any further pricing authority, including the propriety (and contents) of a [PIM].” The Postal Service’s motion was supported by the Public Representative and the Association for Postal Commerce, who both shared the same concerns. On July 2, 2021, the Commission
granted the Postal Service’s motion and held this rulemaking in abeyance until further notice.\textsuperscript{44} This proceeding is currently pending before the Commission.

**Institutional Cost Contribution Requirement**

The PAEA requires Competitive products to “collectively cover what the Commission determines to be an appropriate share of the [Postal Service’s] institutional costs...”\textsuperscript{45} The “appropriate share” is the minimum amount that Competitive products as a whole must contribute to institutional costs annually.\textsuperscript{46} At least every 5 years, the Commission must review the appropriate share requirement to decide whether any changes are necessary.\textsuperscript{47} When making this determination, the Commission must consider, among other factors, “the degree to which any costs are uniquely or disproportionately associated with any [C]ompetitive products.”\textsuperscript{48}

In FY 2020, the Commission issued Order No. 4963 codifying a new methodology to calculate the appropriate share: a dynamic formula-based approach that measures aspects of the competitive market and updates the appropriate share annually based on market changes.\textsuperscript{49} United Parcel Service, Inc. (UPS) appealed this order to the D.C. Circuit, which subsequently remanded the case to the Commission to address particular issues identified in the court’s decision.\textsuperscript{50}

On November 18, 2021, the Commission issued a supplemental NPR that addressed issues identified by the court and initiated its third 5-year review of the appropriate share requirement.\textsuperscript{51} The Commission proposed to calculate the appropriate share using the same dynamic formula-based approach that the Commission previously adopted in Order No. 4963.\textsuperscript{52} The Commission explained how the formula operates and how it accounts for the prevailing competitive conditions in the market and other relevant circumstances that the Commission has historically considered qualitatively when evaluating the appropriate share requirement.\textsuperscript{53} This proceeding is currently pending before the Commission.

**Letter Monopoly Exemptions**

On February 27, 2020, the Commission issued an ANPR seeking information from the public about what regulations the Commission may need to promulgate to carry out the requirements of 39 U.S.C. § 601, which relates to the letter monopoly.\textsuperscript{54} The letter monopoly is the Postal Service’s exclusive right to carry and deliver most addressed, paper-based correspondence, with some exemptions.\textsuperscript{55} These exemptions are codified in 39 U.S.C. § 601, which specifies instances when the letter monopoly does not apply to a mailpiece.\textsuperscript{56}

39 U.S.C. § 601(c) directs the Commission to promulgate “[a]ny regulations necessary to carry out [section 601]...”\textsuperscript{57} The Commission issued this ANPR to explore potential options for issuing these regulations and sought comments on 14 specific issues.\textsuperscript{58} Two Chairman’s Information Requests (CHIRs) were issued and 11 comments were received.\textsuperscript{59}

Based on these comments, the Commission issued an order on July 2, 2021 holding this rulemaking in abeyance.\textsuperscript{60} It found that before promulgating regulations on section 601(c), it was necessary to gather more information from the public by initiating a public inquiry docket seeking comments to better inform next steps.\textsuperscript{61} The Commission announced its intent to issue an order governing proceedings in Docket No. RM2020-4 after completing the public inquiry docket.\textsuperscript{62}

That same day, the Commission issued another order initiating the related public inquiry in
This proceeding is described in the “Public Inquiries” section below. Based on the comments received in this proceeding and in Docket No. PI2021-2, the Commission issued an NPR proposing new regulations necessary to carry out 39 U.S.C. § 601.

First, the Commission proposed a provision stating that certain Postal Service regulations related to the letter monopoly fall within the scope of the proposed rules and are subject to Commission interpretation.

Second, the proposed regulations explicitly state that the Postal Service no longer has the authority to issue regulations interpreting, suspending, or otherwise defining the scope of the letter monopoly. Third, the proposed regulations allow interested persons to seek interpretation of statutory language or Postal Service regulations by either filing a rulemaking petition with the Commission or requesting an advisory opinion from the Commission’s General Counsel. This proceeding is currently pending before the Commission.

Rate Adjustments

One of the Commission’s major statutory responsibilities is to ensure that the Postal Service’s proposed rate and classification adjustments for Market Dominant and Competitive products comply with applicable statutory and regulatory requirements. There are two types of postal rates: (1) rates of general applicability, and (2) rates not of general applicability. Rates of general applicability are “available to all mailers equally on the same terms and conditions.” These rates are available to the general public; examples include Forever Stamps and Priority Mail Flat Rate boxes. All customers pay the same rate for a product, although some products are only available to businesses and not individuals.

Rates not of general applicability are offered by the Postal Service to specific mailers through negotiated service agreements (NSAs). NSAs are written contracts between the Postal Service and a mailer that are effective for a defined period of time. They provide for customer-specific rates, fees, and/or terms of service according to the terms and conditions of the contract.

In FY 2021, the Commission reviewed the Postal Service’s proposed changes to rates for both Market Dominant and Competitive products, along with corresponding classification changes. Each is discussed below.

Market Dominant Products

RATES OF GENERAL APPLICABILITY

Market Dominant products with rates of general applicability are divided into five mail classes as shown in Figure III-2.
In FY 2021, there were two large-scale Market Dominant rate adjustment proceedings. As previously discussed, the Commission also issued final rules adopting changes to the regulations governing the Market Dominant Rate System. As described below, the Commission evaluated the first proposed rate adjustment based on the requirements of the former rules and the second proposed rate adjustment based on the requirements of the new rules.

Rate adjustments under former rules
The former rules governing rates of general applicability for Market Dominant products allowed the Postal Service to adjust rates if the following statutory requirements were met:

- Rate adjustments for each Market Dominant mail class must not exceed the price cap, an annual limitation based on the CPI-U \(^{72}\)
- Workshare discounts must not exceed the Postal Service’s avoided costs unless a statutory exception applies \(^{73}\)
- Preferred rates must be set consistent with statutory requirements \(^{74}\)

On October 9, 2020, the Postal Service filed a notice proposing rate adjustments and related MCS changes for Market Dominant domestic and international products. \(^{75}\) The Postal Service proposed increasing rates for each Market Dominant mail class by between 1.456 percent (Periodicals) and 1.831 percent (First-Class Mail). \(^{76}\)

The Commission reviewed the proposed rate adjustments and MCS changes for compliance with the former rules. After analyzing the record and considering comments received, the Commission issued an order approving the proposed rate adjustments and related MCS changes. \(^{77}\)

The Commission concluded that the proposed rate adjustments:

- Did not violate price cap limitations specified by 39 U.S.C. § 3622(d)
- Were consistent with or justified by an exception to the workshare discount limitations in 39 U.S.C. § 3622(e)
- Were consistent with the pricing requirements for preferred rates in 39 U.S.C. § 3626, and did not implicate the pricing requirements in sections 3627 and 3629 \(^{78}\)

The new rates and related MCS changes became effective on January 24, 2021. \(^{79}\)

Rate adjustments under new rules
The new rules differ from the former rules...
in several key respects. First, the new rules provide the Postal Service additional rate adjustment authority. Under the former rules, Market Dominant rate increases for each class were generally capped at the rate of inflation as measured by the CPI-U. The new rules modified the price cap to include additional rate adjustment authority to allow the Postal Service the opportunity to generate revenue to address costs related to declines in mail density and statutorily-mandated amortization payments for particular retirement costs.

Second, the new rules provide additional rate adjustment authority for non-compensatory classes and impose new requirements for non-compensatory products. The new rules prohibit the Postal Service from reducing rates for any non-compensatory product. If a non-compensatory product is part of a mail class that covers its attributable costs, the rates for each non-compensatory product must increase by at least 2 percentage points more than the percentage increase for that class. For non-compensatory classes, the new rules provide the Postal Service with an optional 2 percentage points of additional rate authority.

Third, the new regulations contain new requirements for workshare discounts:

- If a workshare discount equals the cost avoided by the Postal Service, then the size of the discount cannot change.
- If a workshare discount exceeds the costs avoided by the Postal Service, then the size of the discount cannot increase.
- If a workshare discount is less than the costs avoided by the Postal Service, then the size of the discount cannot decrease.

The new regulations also set specific limitations for setting workshare discounts that do not equal avoided costs. The Postal Service may not set a workshare discount that exceeds its avoided costs unless the discount is new, is at least 20 percent less than the existing discount, or relates to a subclass of mail consisting exclusively of mail matter of educational, cultural, scientific, or informational value. The Postal Service may not set a workshare discount that is less than its avoided costs unless the discount is new, is at least 20 percent more than the existing discount, or produces a passthrough of at least 85 percent. If none of these exceptions applies, the Postal Service must apply for a waiver at least 60 days before the next rate adjustment filing.

On May 28, 2021, the Postal Service filed a second notice proposing rate adjustments and related MCS changes for Market Dominant products, which the Commission evaluated for compliance with the new rules. The proposed rate adjustments were the first to be filed and reviewed under the Commission’s new rules governing the Market Dominant Rate System. After analyzing the record and considering comments received, the Commission issued an order concluding that the proposed rate adjustments, including workshare discounts, were consistent with the new regulations in 39 C.F.R. part 3030 and applicable Commission directives and orders. It found that the proposed rate adjustments were consistent with the pricing requirements in 39 U.S.C. § 3626, and the planned MCS changes, as revised in the order, were consistent with applicable law. The new rates and related MCS changes became effective on August 29, 2021.

Application for workshare discount waiver

Before filing the second notice of rate adjustment in Docket No. R2021-2, the Postal Service applied for a waiver to set the workshare discount for First-Class Mail Letters sorted to the 5-Digit level below the rule’s 85 percent passthrough requirement. It asserted that increasing this workshare discount to comply with the
Commission’s regulations “could decrease the efficiency of its processing operations.” It noted that this increase would be nearly 17 percent higher than the same discount in FY 2020 and thus represent “a dramatic change following years of relative pricing stability.” It also stated that this increase “could lead to unpredictable changes among the relative proportions of mail volumes sorted to 5-Digit, Auto AADC, and Mixed AADC.” For these reasons, the Postal Service sought a waiver to set the workshare discount below its avoided costs.

The Commission initiated a proceeding to consider the application for waiver and received dozens of comments recommending that the Commission deny the application. After reviewing the record and considering comments received, the Commission issued an order denying the waiver application because the Postal Service failed to provide the information required by 39 C.F.R. § 3030.286. First, the Postal Service provided high-level generalizations instead of specific and detailed explanations with supporting analysis and discussion of assumptions relied upon. Second, the Postal Service asserted that increasing the workshare discount “could decrease the efficiency of its processing operations,” but did not include the explanations required by 39 C.F.R. § 3030.286(c)(5). Third, the Commission intended for the Postal Service to apply for a waiver only under “exceptional circumstances” that did not apply in this case. In the second rate case, the Commission verified that the workshare discount for First-Class Mail Automation 5-Digit Letters complied with the new workshare rules.

**RATES NOT OF GENERAL APPLICABILITY**

For Market Dominant products, the Postal Service sets rates not of general applicability by entering into NSAs with mailers or groups of mailers. The Commission reviews these NSAs to ensure they comply with 39 U.S.C. § 3622(c)(10) and the Commission’s regulations in 39 C.F.R. part 3040, subpart G. No Market Dominant NSAs were filed in FY 2021.

**Competitive Products**

When the Postal Service decides to change rates or classes of general applicability, it must file a notice with the Commission describing the proposed changes at least 30 days before the new rates or classes become effective. For proposed changes to rates or classes not of general applicability, the Postal Service must provide 15 days advance notice. The notice of proposed rate or class adjustment must include an explanation and justification for the proposed changes, the effective date of the new rates or classes, and either a schedule of the changed rates or the record of proceedings regarding the changes.

The Commission then initiates a proceeding to consider the proposed rate or class adjustments, appoints a Public Representative, and provides an opportunity for comment. It reviews proposed rate adjustments for Competitive products to ensure they comply with three statutory requirements in 39 U.S.C. § 3633(a):

1. Market Dominant products must not subsidize Competitive products
2. Revenue for each Competitive product must cover its attributable costs, which are “the direct and indirect postal costs attributable to such product through reliably identified causal relationships”
3. All Competitive products must collectively cover what the Commission determines to be an appropriate share of the Postal Service’s institutional costs

Competitive rate adjustments must also comply with the Commission’s rules in 39 C.F.R. part 3035. In FY 2021, the Commission reviewed the Postal Service’s proposed changes to both rates.
and classes of general applicability and rates not of general applicability for Competitive products. Each is discussed below.

**RATES OR CLASSES OF GENERAL APPLICABILITY**

Competitive products with rates of general applicability are grouped into domestic and international products, as shown in Figure III-3:

![Figure III-3: Domestic and International Competitive Products Rates of General Applicability](image)

In FY 2021, the Postal Service filed notices proposing changes in rates of general applicability for several domestic and international Competitive products, along with proposed changes to the MCS.\(^{114}\) After reviewing the notices, the CHIR response, and the comments received, the Commission approved the proposed rate adjustments and corresponding MCS changes, finding that they complied with 39 U.S.C. § 3633(a) and the Commission’s regulations in 39 C.F.R. part 3035.\(^{115}\) The new rates and MCS changes became effective on January 24, 2021.\(^{116}\)

On August 10, 2021, the Postal Service also filed a notice proposing time-limited changes in rates of general applicability for Competitive domestic products.\(^{117}\) The Postal Service proposed temporarily increasing rates effective October 3, 2021, and rates would roll back to current levels on December 26, 2021.\(^{118}\) After reviewing the notice and the comments received, the Commission approved the proposed time-limited rate adjustments and corresponding MCS changes, finding that they complied with 39 U.S.C. § 3633(a) and the Commission’s regulations in 39 C.F.R. part 3035.\(^{119}\)

Additionally, the Commission considered a proposed Postal Service classification change for Priority Mail Express.\(^{120}\) Specifically, the Postal...
Service proposed to change the MCS to eliminate the 10:30 am delivery option and associated fee because of the Postal Service’s decision to reposition Priority Mail Express as an end-of-day guarantee. The Postal Service also stated its intent to make conforming changes to the DMM to make 6:00 pm a uniform delivery commitment time. After reviewing the notice and comments received, the Commission approved the proposed classification changes, finding that they complied with 39 U.S.C. §§ 3632 and 3633 and 39 C.F.R. part 3035.

The Postal Service also stated its intent to make conforming changes to the DMM to make 6:00 pm a uniform delivery commitment time. After reviewing the notice and comments received, the Commission approved the proposed classification changes, finding that they complied with 39 U.S.C. §§ 3632 and 3633 and 39 C.F.R. part 3035.

**Table III-1: Competitive NSAs Approved by the Commission**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Competitive NSAs</th>
<th>FY 2016</th>
<th>FY 2017</th>
<th>FY 2018</th>
<th>FY 2019</th>
<th>FY 2020</th>
<th>FY 2021</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Domestic</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>226</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>187</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>267</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>307</td>
<td>315</td>
<td>284</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*This table shows approved NSAs the Postal Service filed as new products or as functionally equivalent to the baseline agreement of existing products. This table does not include NSA modifications or amendments.*

*FY 2020 totals differ from those reported in the FY 2020 Annual Report because 8 international mail NSAs were inadvertently categorized as domestic. See FY 2020 Annual Report at 32.*

Rates not of general applicability

**Negotiated Service Agreements**

For Competitive products, the Postal Service sets rates not of general applicability by entering into NSAs with specific mailers. These NSAs require prior Commission review for compliance with 39 U.S.C. § 3633(a) and 39 C.F.R. part 3035. In FY 2021, the Commission reviewed and approved 136 Competitive NSAs: 131 domestic and 5 international. Table III-1 shows the number of NSAs the Commission approved between FY 2016 and FY 2021.

The Commission updates NSA statistics monthly on its website.

Products with non-published rates enable the Postal Service to enter into contracts featuring negotiated rates without prior Commission approval of the rates specific to each contract. The Commission reviews rates for the product as a whole for compliance with statutory standards, rather than the rates for each contract before implementation. These non-published rate contracts must comply with applicable filing and regulatory requirements, including pre-approved pricing formulas, minimum cost coverage, and documentation. The absence of prior review of specific contract rates streamlines the approval process, providing the Postal Service with additional flexibility.

In FY 2021, the Postal Service implemented 279 NSAs with non-published rates. Table III-2 shows the number of non-published rate NSAs implemented by the Postal Service between FY 2016 and FY 2021.
### Table III-2: Non-Published Rate Contracts Implemented by the Postal Service

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Non-Published Rate</th>
<th>FY 2021</th>
<th>FY 2020</th>
<th>FY 2019</th>
<th>FY 2018</th>
<th>FY 2017</th>
<th>FY 2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Global Expedited Package Services Non-Published Rates 1-15</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>326</td>
<td>474</td>
<td>393</td>
<td>244</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Priority Mail – Non-Published Rates 1 and 2</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>207</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>279</strong></td>
<td><strong>505</strong></td>
<td><strong>442</strong></td>
<td><strong>619</strong></td>
<td><strong>514</strong></td>
<td><strong>451</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


**Inbound Letter Post**

Under the Universal Postal Union (UPU) Convention, by June 1, 2021, the Postal Service may submit self-declared rates for Inbound Letter Post Small Packets and Bulky Letters that would become effective on January 1, 2022.\(^{125}\) On May 14, 2021, the Postal Service filed a notice with the Commission proposing specific per-item and per-kilogram self-declared rates for Inbound Letter Post Small Packets and Bulky Letters, which would become effective on January 1, 2022.\(^{126}\) It asserted that the proposed rates comply with 39 U.S.C. § 3633.\(^{127}\)

Based on its review of the notice, the supporting data filed under seal, the CHIR response, and the comments received, the Commission concluded that the new self-declared rates complied with 39 U.S.C. § 3633(a) and 39 C.F.R. part 3035.\(^{128}\) The Commission also issued a Final Determination unsealing the self-declared rates for Inbound Letter Post Small Packets and Bulky Letters on July 13, 2021.\(^{129}\)

**Inbound Parcel Post (at UPU rates)**

Inbound Parcel Post (at UPU rates) is a competitive product for the acceptance and delivery of inbound parcels weighing up to 70 pounds from foreign postal operators at air rates, surface rates, and e-commerce parcel rates.\(^{130}\)

Rates for Inbound Parcel Post (at UPU rates) are rates not of general applicability because they are only available to foreign postal operators. Under the Regulations of the UPU Convention, the Postal Service and other foreign postal operators may qualify for semi-annual increases to their “base” rates for inbound air parcels if they provide certain value added services.\(^{131}\) These rate increases are applied to the base rates effective January 1 and July 1 of each year.\(^{132}\)

On December 11, 2020, the Postal Service filed a notice announcing its intention to change rates not of general applicability for Inbound Parcel Post (at UPU rates) effective January 1, 2021.\(^{133}\) The Commission analyzed the proposed rates pursuant to 39 U.S.C. § 3633(a) and issued an order acknowledging revised rates for this product.\(^{134}\)

**Inbound Express Mail Service 2**

On August 14, 2020, the Postal Service proposed a change in rates not of general applicability for Inbound Express Mail Service 2 (EMS) effective January 1, 2022.\(^{135}\) Inbound EMS 2 covers Express Mail International documents and merchandise received from foreign postal operators for delivery in the Postal Service’s domestic delivery area.\(^{136}\) After analyzing the filings and considering the comments received,
Annual Reports

Besides the Annual Report to Congress, the Commission issues three other reports each year that analyze information from the Postal Service’s Annual Compliance Report (ACR). The ACR analyzes costs, revenues, rates, and quality of service for Market Dominant and Competitive products. The ACR also includes information about mail volumes, service performance, and customer satisfaction for Market Dominant products, as well as information on workshare discounts and market tests. The PAEA requires the Postal Service to prepare and submit the ACR to the Commission within 90 days after the fiscal year ends on September 30.

Each year, the Commission analyzes the ACR and issues three related reports. The ACD assesses the Postal Service’s compliance with statutory pricing and service requirements. The Financial Analysis Report analyzes the Postal Service’s overall financial position. The Analysis of Postal Service Performance Goals and Performance Plan evaluates whether the Postal Service met its performance goals and makes related recommendations. In FY 2021, each report was issued in Docket No. ACR2020 and is described below.

Annual Compliance Determination

The ACD is an important tool for enhancing transparency and accountability by determining whether the Postal Service complied with statutory pricing and service requirements in a given fiscal year. After receiving the ACR, the Commission has 90 days to solicit public comment and determine whether: (1) any rates or fees in effect during the fiscal year did not comply with applicable laws; and (2) the Postal Service met its service standards in effect during the fiscal year. The Commission publishes its analysis of the ACR in the ACD.

The Commission issued the FY 2020 ACD on March 29, 2021 and made several principal findings and directives. For Market Dominant products, the Commission identified key issues related to non-compensatory products and classes, flat-shaped products, and service performance. For each key issue, the Commission’s principal findings, recommendations, and directives are summarized below.

First, with respect to non-compensatory products and classes, ten Market Dominant products, the Commission approved the proposed rates, finding that they complied with the relevant statutory and regulatory requirements of 39 U.S.C. § 3633(a) and 39 C.F.R. § 3035.105.
products and two of the five Market Dominant classes (Periodicals and Package Services) did not generate sufficient revenue to cover their attributable costs in FY 2020.\textsuperscript{149}

The ten Market Dominant products that did not generate sufficient revenue to cover their attributable costs in FY 2020 were:

- Both Periodicals products (In-County and Outside County)
- Three USPS Marketing Mail products (Flats, Parcels, and Carrier Route)
- Two Package Service products (Media Mail/Library Mail and Bound Printed Matter Parcels)
- Two Special Services products (Money Orders and International Ancillary Services)
- Inbound Letter Post\textsuperscript{150}

For each of the non-compensatory products and classes identified above, the Commission analyzed financial trends observed over multiple fiscal years to develop specific recommendations and directives aimed at improving cost coverage.\textsuperscript{151} Key directives included requiring the Postal Service to propose above average price increases for all three non-compensatory USPS Marketing Mail products (Flats, Parcels, and Carrier Route) in the next Market Dominant rate adjustment, to continue to update the Periodicals Pricing Report analyzing how pricing impacted financial results and pricing efficiency, and to explore and report concerning opportunities to reduce unit costs.\textsuperscript{152}

Second, with respect to flat-shaped products, the Commission found that operations, financial, and service performance challenges persisted in FY 2020.\textsuperscript{153} Specifically for these products, unit costs and contribution losses continued to grow while service performance targets were also not met.\textsuperscript{154} Collectively, these products had a cumulative negative contribution of more than $1 billion in FY 2020, which highlights the urgency and importance of addressing these issues.\textsuperscript{155} The Commission expressed continued concerns related to the Postal Service’s lack of specific plans to reduce costs and improve service as well as the inability of the Postal Service to determine how its operational initiatives and/or changes will impact cost and service.\textsuperscript{156} The Commission made several recommendations for data collection and analysis for ongoing and future Postal Service initiatives designed to reduce flats costs, improve flats service performance, and/or improve flats operations.\textsuperscript{157}

Third, with respect to service performance, the Commission evaluated each Market Dominant product by comparing the percentage of mailpieces that achieve the stated service standard with targets set by the Postal Service.\textsuperscript{158} It found that most products failed to meet their annual service performance targets in FY 2020.\textsuperscript{159} Figure III-4 below shows whether each Market Dominant product achieved their annual service performance targets for FY 2020.
The Commission considered the significant internal and external factors that impacted service performance in FY 2020, including the COVID-19 pandemic, operational changes and corporate reorganization undertaken by the Postal Service, and efforts to prioritize delivery of Political Mail, Election Mail, and Census Mail. The Commission determined that in FY 2020, the Postal Service was out of compliance with regard to service performance for First-Class Mail Single-Piece Letters/Postcards, First-Class Mail Outbound Single-Piece International, Outside County Periodicals, and In-County Periodicals. It directed the Postal Service to take corrective action, including continued reporting of specific information developed from internal Postal Service metrics. These requirements include reporting monthly service performance data for products found out of compliance. The Commission developed directives that elicit information regarding the steps that the Postal Service will take to restore service performance for its products in FY 2021. Additionally, the Commission directed the Postal Service to file a pre-implementation service performance impact analysis for initiatives that the Postal Service plans to implement on or before the next ACD is issued, if the initiative would have a reasonably foreseeable impact on service performance results.

In addition to these key issues, the Commission also identified that seven workshare discounts did not comply with 39 U.S.C. § 3622(e) because they exceeded the Postal Service’s avoided costs. The Commission directed the Postal Service to either align the non-compliant workshare discounts with their avoided cost in the next Market Dominant rate adjustment or provide support for an applicable statutory exception.

For Competitive products, the Commission determined that every domestic product
with rates of general applicability covered its attributable cost. However, revenues for five Competitive products did not cover their attributable costs and, therefore, did not comply with 39 U.S.C. § 3633(a)(2). The Commission directed the Postal Service to take corrective action, including requiring new quarterly reporting on outbound international NSAs to ensure ongoing compliance and appropriate oversight for these contracts.

Financial Analysis

The Commission issued its Financial Analysis of the United States Postal Service Financial Results and 10-K Statement for FY 2020. The report provided comprehensive analysis of the Postal Service’s financial status primarily using information reported in its FY 2020 Form 10-K, including comparisons with FY 2019 results and its FY 2020 Integrated Financial Plan. The Commission’s analysis concluded that while liquidity improved, in large part as a result of the CARES Act, the Postal Service remains financially unstable, its financial condition has declined during the past 4 years, and the gap between its current assets and current liabilities has increased significantly during the last decade.

The Commission found that at the end of FY 2020:

- The Postal Service’s total net loss was $9.2 billion
- Cash and cash equivalents (excluding restricted cash) totaled $14.4 billion, an increase of $5.6 billion and the highest cash balance since FY 2006
- Operating revenue was $73.1 billion, which was $2.0 billion more than FY 2019
- Net operating expenses were $76.6 billion, which were $2.4 billion more than FY 2019
- Approximately 77 percent of total expenses were personnel-related

Analysis of Performance Goals

Each year, the Commission must evaluate whether the Postal Service met the performance goals established in the Postal Service’s annual performance report and performance plan. The Commission may also provide the Postal Service with recommendations related to protecting or promoting public policy objectives in Title 39.


Also, the Commission found that the Postal Service either did not meet or only partially met each performance goal in FY 2020. The Commission provided related observations and recommendations for each performance goal to help the Postal Service meet the performance goal and better assess its performance in future years.
Nature of Service Cases

The Postal Service must request an advisory opinion from the Commission for proposed changes in the nature of postal services which will generally affect service on a nationwide or substantially nationwide basis. This request must be submitted at least 90 days before the effective date of the proposed change. The Commission evaluates requests for an advisory opinion for consistency with the policies of Title 39. These proceedings involve a hearing on the record with direct testimony and an opportunity for cross-examination. By law, the Commission's final opinion is advisory in nature. The law does not give the Commission authority to veto service changes. As a result, the Postal Service is not required to implement or take any further action with regard to the Commission's opinion.

In FY 2021, the Commission issued two advisory opinions on proposed changes to the service standards for: (1) First-Class Mail and end-to-end Periodicals; and (2) First-Class Package Service. Each proceeding is described below.

First-Class Mail and End-to-End Periodicals

On July 20, 2021, the Commission issued an advisory opinion in Docket No. N2021-1 on proposed changes to the service standards for First-Class Mail and end-to-end Periodicals. The Postal Service proposed to lengthen the service standards by up to 2 additional days for 38.5 percent of First-Class Mail and 7 percent of Periodicals mail. The Postal Service claimed that this would improve service capabilities, help it achieve its service standards, and reduce mail transportation costs.

In its advisory opinion, the Commission analyzed the estimated impact of the proposal on service performance, the Postal Service's financial condition, the transportation network, customer satisfaction, and mail volume. The Commission found that, as an initial matter, the proposal appeared to target mail that consistently fails to meet service performance goals and has the most opportunity for improvement. However, the Commission also found that it was unclear how the proposal would address the trend of lower on-time service performance caused by processing point failures.

In addition, the Commission found that the Postal Service's computation of the estimated cost savings raised potential issues related to incomplete data and untenable underlying assumptions. Moreover, the Commission found that the amount of estimated annual cost savings, even if fully realized, would not substantially affect the Postal Service's current financial condition.

Regarding the transportation network, the Commission found that the model, if successfully implemented, would improve the capacity utilization of the network. However, the mismatch between the baseline modeled network and the actual current network limited the Commission's confidence in the efficiency gains estimated through the Postal Service's modeling process.

The Commission also found that customer satisfaction depends on the Postal Service's ability to achieve its service standards reliably. The Commission stated that although the Postal Service performed outreach explaining the proposed changes and effects, the Postal Service did not show that it adapted its proposal based on the concerns or issues raised by its customers and stakeholders.

In evaluating the proposal's impact on mail volume, the Commission found that the Postal Service could not estimate with any statistical confidence the proposal's impact on demand and volume because the econometric analysis submitted by the Postal Service did not address
the causal relationship between delivery times and mail volume.\textsuperscript{195}

Finally, the Commission found that the proposed changes were not facially inconsistent with applicable statutory requirements.\textsuperscript{196} However, the Commission also found that the Postal Service did not demonstrate that its implementation of the proposed changes would comport with those requirements.\textsuperscript{197}

Based on these findings, the Commission recommended that the Postal Service: (1) communicate realistic performance targets; (2) monitor implementation to balance savings and service; (3) monitor implementation to drive transportation efficiency; (4) gauge customer satisfaction specifically for its proposed changes; (5) allow transparency into ongoing feedback and consider changes due to that feedback; and (6) limit the use of econometric demand analyses for purposes in which it does not provide meaningful results.\textsuperscript{198}

\section*{First-Class Package Service}

On September 29, 2021, the Commission issued an advisory opinion in Docket N2021-2 on the Postal Service's proposal to revise service standards for First-Class Package Service.\textsuperscript{199} The Postal Service proposed to lengthen the service standards by 1 to 2 additional days for approximately 31.2 percent of First-Class Package Service volume and shorten the service standards by 1 day for approximately 4.8 percent of First-Class Package Service volume.\textsuperscript{200} The advisory opinion was the first that the Commission had issued for a Competitive product, over which the Commission has more limited oversight than Market Dominant products.

The Postal Service’s stated goals in its proposal were to “provide more reliable and consistent service performance, improve its ability to function according to its operating plans and optimize its surface transportation network, and create cost savings by allowing more time to transport [First-Class Package Service] at a lower expense.”\textsuperscript{201} The Commission found that, while the Postal Service's stated goals for the proposal appeared reasonable, it had not demonstrated it could meet several factors necessary for successful implementation of the proposal.\textsuperscript{202} The Postal Service also did not establish a timeframe for when it planned to realize the full impact of its proposed changes, nor did it estimate a date by which it would meet its service performance targets.\textsuperscript{203} The Postal Service also did not include any interim service performance targets in its proposal.\textsuperscript{204} The Commission's analysis revealed that the Postal Service's cost savings estimates may have been inflated, and the implementation of the proposed changes would not substantially affect the Postal Service's overall financial condition.\textsuperscript{205}

The Commission also determined that flaws in the Postal Service's transportation model may have diminished its reliability, as the surface network impact projections and estimated cost changes presented were potentially inaccurate.\textsuperscript{206} Further, the Postal Service failed to demonstrate that it was operationally capable of running the complex surface network modeled to support the proposed service standard changes.\textsuperscript{207} Finally, the Commission cautioned that implementing processing and transportation changes prior to peak season might present challenges due to the continuation of the COVID-19 pandemic emergency and resulting stress on the logistics industry.\textsuperscript{208}

The Commission provided several recommendations for the Postal Service to consider prior to implementing its proposal. First, the Commission urged the Postal Service to set realistic interim service performance targets to monitor progress towards its longer term target of 95 percent on time delivery for
Changes to Product Lists and the Mail Classification Schedule

Product Lists

The Postal Service and mail users may ask the Commission to change the Market Dominant and Competitive product lists by adding new products, removing current products, or transferring products between the lists. The Commission reviews requests to change the product lists for compliance with 39 U.S.C. § 3642 and the Commission’s regulations in 39 C.F.R. part 3040.

First, a product may not be classified as Competitive if the Postal Service exercises sufficient market power so that it can do any of the following without risk of losing a significant level of business to other firms offering similar products:

- Set the product’s price substantially above costs
- Raise prices significantly
- Decrease quality
- Decrease output

Second, a product covered by the postal monopoly may not be transferred from the Market Dominant product list. Third, the Commission must consider concerns of the private sector, product users, and small businesses.

When adding a product to the Competitive product list, the proposed product must also meet the financial requirements of 39 U.S.C. § 3633, which are discussed in the “Rate Adjustments – Competitive Products” section above. In FY 2021, the Commission considered two major requests to change the Competitive products list, which are each described below.

TRANSFER OF BOUND PRINTED MATTER PARCELS TO COMPETITIVE PRODUCT LIST

Bound Printed Matter (BPM) Parcels is currently a parcel-shaped Market Dominant product in the Package Services mail class. On March 26, 2021, the Postal Service filed a request asking the Commission to transfer BPM Parcels from the Market Dominant to Competitive product list. The newly proposed Competitive offering would be called Parcel Select Bound Printed Matter, to be included as a subcategory of the existing Competitive product referred to as Parcel First-Class Package Service.
The Postal Service asserted that the Parcel Select Bound Printed Matter product complies with requirements under 39 U.S.C. § 3642 and the Commission’s regulations. It stated that mailers use BPM Parcels to ship inexpensive “light- to moderate-weight packages containing books, catalogs, and similar printed matter to individuals and businesses” by ground delivery. It noted that BPM Parcels is an alternative to more general-purpose ground shipping products offered by competitors.

The Postal Service asserted that it does not exercise monopoly power over BPM Parcels because of “the existence of robust competition and large customers that are also competitors with their own end-to-end delivery networks.” It stated that the Private Express Statutes do not apply to the BPM Parcels product because the statutory definition of a “letter” excludes bound books, catalogs, telephone directories, and other content shipped using this product.

The Postal Service also described customer views and the likely effect of the proposed transfer on small businesses. It stated that “[t]ransferring BPM Parcels to the [C]ompetitive product list will not violate any of the three standards in 39 U.S.C. § 3633.”

Six CHIRs and one Commission Information Request were issued, and the Commission is currently considering hundreds of comments received. This proceeding is pending before the Commission.

OUTBOUND INTERNATIONAL NEGOTIATED SERVICE AGREEMENTS

On September 18, 2020, the Postal Service filed a request to add a new product named International Competitive Multi-Service Commercial Contracts 1 to the Competitive product list. The Postal Service proposed changes to the filing process so as to allow the addition of outbound international NSAs to the Competitive product list without prior Commission review. Under this proposed process, the Postal Service would file almost all future customized outbound international NSAs within the new product, which would be approved without further Commission action, unless the Commission determined that further review was necessary. The Postal Service asserted that the proposed changes to the filing process would reduce the administrative burden associated with filing these NSAs.

The Commission reviewed the request along with a CHIR response and comments received. Based on its review, the Commission denied the request for two reasons. First, it stated that there was no basis to preliminarily determine that the NSAs added within the new product would comply with statutory requirements. It noted that the proposed filing process lacked sufficient safeguards such as a contract template or financial model with a fixed price rate.

Second, the Commission stated that the NSAs proposed for inclusion within the new product would not be functionally equivalent because they would not necessarily share similar cost and market characteristics. It noted that approving changes to the filing process similar to those proposed by the Postal Service would require “a consistent financial model applicable to all agreements that fall under a product or grouping, and a demonstration of the shared cost and market characteristics of agreements within the product or grouping.”

Mail Classification Schedule

The Market Dominant and Competitive product lists are published in the MCS, which also includes rates, fees, and descriptions for each product. The Postal Service may propose changes to the MCS by filing a request, which
Public Inquiries

Public inquiry dockets are established by the Commission to provide a venue to explore issues of general interest. Four public inquiry dockets were before the Commission in FY 2021 that dealt with matters related to service performance, the value of the postal and mailbox monopolies, the cost of the Universal Service Obligation, and exemptions to the letter monopoly.

Changes to Service Performance Measurement Systems

Service performance results measure how often the Postal Service meets its service standards, which are the stated days-to-delivery for different types of mail. Service performance for Market Dominant products is measured using Service Performance Measurement (SPM) systems. In FY 2018, the Commission approved the Postal Service’s request to implement new internal SPM systems for several Market Dominant products, including products within domestic First-Class Mail, Periodicals, USPS Marketing Mail, and Package Services. The Commission’s regulations allow the Postal Service to propose changes to the SPM systems by filing a notice with the Commission.

On August 31, 2021, the Postal Service filed a notice with the Commission proposing two material changes to the current SPM system. First, for First-Class Mail, the Postal Service would add reporting for 3-Day, 4-Day, and 5-Day service standards. Second, the Postal Service would replace certain references to external SPM in its SPM Plan with internal SPM consistent with Order No. 5576. After thoroughly reviewing the record and considering comments received, the Commission approved the proposed changes to the SPM systems because they adequately aligned the SPM Plan with Order No. 5576 and the service standard changes described in Docket No. N2021-1. With respect to suggestions raised by commenters concerning issues outside the scope of docket such as reporting requirements, the Commission plans to initiate a rulemaking docket in the future to consider ways to improve the accuracy, clarity, and effectiveness of the 39 C.F.R. part 3050.

Value of Postal and Mailbox Monopolies

In the Annual Report, the Commission estimates the value of the Postal Service’s combined letter and mailbox monopolies, which together are referred to as the postal monopoly. The Annual Report includes a separate estimate of the value of the mailbox monopoly alone. The letter monopoly is the Postal Service’s exclusive right to carry and deliver most addressed, paper-based correspondence. The mailbox monopoly is the Postal Service’s exclusive right to deliver to and collect from mailboxes.

On October 1, 2019, the Commission initiated a public inquiry docket to evaluate the
methodology for estimating the value of the postal and mailbox monopolies. It explained that the current methodology estimates the hypothetical lost profit to the Postal Service if potential competitors were allowed to enter and compete in the Postal Service’s letter and mailbox monopolies. The Commission sought comments and suggestions for changing and enhancing the current estimation methodology “to account specifically for recent Postal Service data changes, and for any other aspects of the monopolies estimation methodology.”

On February 10, 2021, the Commission filed a non-public library reference with supporting workpapers that explained the Commission’s current methodology for estimating the value of the postal and mailbox monopolies. It explained that interested persons would have access to this analysis when preparing comments in this proceeding. The Commission sought comments “on any or all aspects of existing and potential methodology changes.” In total, eight CHIRs were issued, and six sets of initial comments followed by three sets of additional comments were received. This proceeding is pending before the Commission.

Universal Service Obligation Valuation Methodology

On December 10, 2020, the Commission initiated a public inquiry docket to consider potential changes to the Commission’s methodology for estimating the cost of the Universal Service Obligation (USO Cost). The Commission last considered this methodology in its 2008 report on the USO and postal monopoly. In this report, the Commission explained that the Postal Service’s overarching USO is set forth in 39 U.S.C. § 101(a), which requires the Postal Service to “provide postal services to bind the Nation together through the personal, educational, literary, and business correspondence of the people. It shall provide prompt, reliable, and efficient services to patrons in all areas and shall render postal services to all communities.”

In the USO Report, the Commission estimated the USO Cost, which it updates each year in the Annual Report based on the methodological approach adopted in the USO Report. This methodology was based on economic, technical, legislative, and societal considerations in 2008, which have potentially changed since then. Thus, the Commission established Docket No. PI2021-1 to revisit the assumptions underlying this methodology to ensure that the Commission’s estimated USO Cost reflects the current environment in which the Postal Service operates.

The Commission sought public comment on any and all aspects of the methodology for
estimating the USO Cost, including suggestions for revising any outdated assumptions and input on what data or analytical methods would be necessary to incorporate suggested changes into the methodology.\textsuperscript{267} It identified frequency of delivery and maintaining small Post Offices as two USO Cost components with valuation assumptions that appear ripe for revisiting.\textsuperscript{268} On January 12, 2021, the Postal Service filed a motion asking that the Commission provide a detailed explanation of the current methodology for estimating the USO Cost, including workpapers showing underlying calculations from the most recent estimate, to facilitate more meaningful comments.\textsuperscript{269} The Commission issued an order granting the motion and stated it will issue a library reference to include in this proceeding as soon as practicable.\textsuperscript{270}

To facilitate potentially updating or improving selected inputs into the Commission’s calculation of the USO Cost, two sets of CHIRs were issued, and responses were filed by the Postal Service. This proceeding is currently pending before the Commission.

**Exemptions to Letter Monopoly**

On July 2, 2021, the Commission issued an order initiating Docket No. P12021-2 to determine whether the Commission needs to promulgate regulations to implement statutory exemptions to the letter monopoly in 39 U.S.C. § 601.\textsuperscript{271} This public inquiry was related to the Commission’s current rulemaking regarding letter monopoly exemptions in Docket No. RM2020-4.\textsuperscript{272} The purpose of this public inquiry was to focus the Commission’s inquiry on two issues. First, the Commission wanted to determine whether it should adopt Postal Service regulations administering certain sections of section 601.\textsuperscript{273} It explained that before the PAEA was enacted, the Postal Service issued regulations concerning the restrictions on the private carriage of letters.\textsuperscript{274} However, some of these regulations were modified and superseded when the PAEA was adopted.\textsuperscript{275} The Commission stated that it is interested in exploring whether: (1) certain Postal Service regulations implement current statutory exemptions in section 601; and (2) the Commission should adopt these and other regulations to clarify these statutory exemptions.\textsuperscript{276}

Second, the Commission sought to identify which private carrier services fall within the scope of section 601(b)(3).\textsuperscript{277} Specifically, the Commission sought comments on what services were “described by regulations of the United States Postal Service...that purport to permit private carriage by suspension of the operation of this section’ as of July 1, 2005.”\textsuperscript{278} The Commission also asked for suggestions regarding what regulations may be necessary to enumerate in clear terms all instances where private carrier services fall within the scope of section 601(b)(3).\textsuperscript{279}

The Commission reviewed comments filed in this proceeding and Docket No. RM2020-4.\textsuperscript{280} Based on its review, the Commission concluded that it received adequate input from the public to propose regulations in Docket No. RM2020-4. Accordingly, the Commission closed this public inquiry docket on November 24, 2021.\textsuperscript{282}
Proposals to Change Analytical Principles

Analytical principles are economic, mathematical, or statistical theories or assumptions the Postal Service applies when producing reports it submits to the Commission each year, such as the ACR. In these reports, the Postal Service must use only those analytical principles previously accepted by the Commission and applied in the most recent ACD, unless a different analytical principle has been subsequently approved through a Commission proceeding.

The Postal Service applies analytical principles when calculating costs attributable to postal products. Attributable costs are incurred by the Postal Service when it engages in such activities as sorting and delivering mail. Costs like these must be attributed to products. The Commission uses attributable costs and cost estimates to evaluate the Postal Service's overall financial performance and compliance with the PAEA.

To add or change an analytical principle, any interested person, including the Postal Service and the Public Representative, may submit a petition asking the Commission to initiate a proceeding to consider proposed changes. Petitions are filed with the Commission as numbered proposals, such as Proposal One and Proposal Two. Proposals must be designed to improve the quality, accuracy, or completeness of data or data analysis in the reports the Postal Service submits each year to the Commission.

During FY 2021, the Commission considered nine Postal Service proposals and one proposal filed by UPS. The Commission issued final orders for seven of the proposals in FY 2021 or early FY 2022. Major proposals are described below.

**Docket No. RM2020-2 (Proposal Ten)**
Proposal Ten presented a new methodology for estimating the variability of Postmaster costs. A Postmaster is a manager in charge of a Post Office. Postmaster compensation and pay grades are calculated based on the number of Work Service Credits (WSCs), which are earned for the amount of revenue generated by the Post Office the Postmaster is managing. Postmasters may also earn WSCs by performing non-revenue activities such as serving PO Boxes and delivery routes, sorting mail, and performing administrative functions.

The Postal Service took the position that the current methodology for attributing Postmaster costs to products is outdated because it is based upon a 1984 study. To address this issue, Proposal Ten sought to update and improve the variabilities for calculating attributable Postmaster costs based upon a new study of Postmaster costs “that relies upon operational Postmaster data and reflects the current structure of Postmaster activities and compensation.”

After reviewing the proposal and comments it had received, the Commission rejected Proposal Ten. It found that “the Postal Service failed to show that either the current methodology was significantly inaccurate or that Proposal Ten would [significantly improve the] methodology used to calculate attributable postmaster costs.” The Commission identified four problems with Proposal Ten, including the Postal Service’s selection of a 10 percent WSC growth rate. The Commission described potential changes that could address the issues identified with Proposal Ten.

**Docket No. RM2020-9 (UPS Proposal One)**
In UPS Proposal One, UPS asked the Commission to change how the Postal Service accounts for peak season costs in its reports filed with the Commission. It asserted that “current costing models approved by the Commission fail to account for peak-season cost increases.”
To address this potential issue, UPS Proposal One would have required the Postal Service to:

- Attribute “unexplained peak-season costs” to Competitive products as a group under the incremental cost test applied under 39 U.S.C. 3633(a)(1)
- Closely analyze the seasonality effects and revise the cost models to account for these effects
- Provide more data about peak-season operations to create an improved costing methodology
- Develop a new methodological approach that address peak-season costs, as well as deficiencies in allocating incremental costs to Competitive products

The Commission held a video technical conference to consider issues raised by UPS Proposal One. After considering comments it had received, the Commission rejected UPS Proposal One. The Commission concluded that UPS Proposal One violates statutory requirements and does not provide any improvement to the current methodology. It found that UPS’s proposed multi-step approach for measuring “unexplained” peak-season costs contained numerous errors, and its application did not produce any reliable estimates of peak-season costs. It concluded that the Postal Service’s peak-season costs are currently reflected in the Postal Service’s costing models and should not be re-classified as incremental costs attributable to Competitive products.

The Commission nevertheless found that it is appropriate and timely for the Postal Service to accelerate studies on peak-season costs. In that connection, the Commission noted that it has recently established a proceeding, discussed below, “to consider possible improvements to the quality, accuracy, or completeness of data provided by the Postal Service.”

Docket No. RM2020-13 (Proposal Six)

Proposal Six would establish a new methodology for estimating variabilities for three mail processing cost pools representing automated letter and flat sorting operations. The cost pools included in Proposal Six involve labor expenses associated with the automated distribution of letters and flats, which totaled $2.3 billion in FY 2019. The current methodology partitioned these mail processing cost pools into activities assumed to be 100 percent volume-variable, and other activities assumed to be non-volume-variable. It was based on “a general assumption that mail processing costs should vary in proportion with the volume of mail or number of articles processed.”

The current methodology has been used since Docket No. R71-1, and its origins predate the Postal Reorganization Act and the development of the automated mail processing technologies described in Proposal Six. The Postal Service stated that the former Postal Rate Commission previously declined to adopt any empirical models for mail processing variability because of data and econometric issues. However, the Postal Service explained that several factors merit reexamining variabilities for automated letter and flat sorting operations, including volume changes, the reliability of automated counts of mailpiece handlings, and the availability of machine utilization data.

The new methodology “is based on econometric analysis of workhour and workload data collected by the Postal Service on an ongoing basis.” The proposed variabilities estimated using the new methodology would employ monthly Management Operating Data System (MODS) datasets compiled into a multi-year panel dataset. The Postal Service asserted that the proposed variabilities would reduce FY 2019 volume-variable labor costs for the three mail processing cost pools by 8.3 percent.
The proposed methodology would enable the Postal Service to reevaluate and update these variabilities because the underlying data are produced in the course of Postal Service operations and are already included in the ACR. This proceeding is currently pending before the Commission.

**Docket No. RM2021-1 (Proposal Seven)**

On November 9, 2020, the Postal Service filed Proposal Seven, which sought to update and improve the variabilities for certain types of purchased highway transportation to reflect recent operational changes. The Postal Service explains that it made two major operational changes to its highway transportation network. First, it has increasingly relied on additional highway transportation during peak season. Second, it introduced Dynamic Route Optimization (DRO) contracts, a new type of contract that changes both the way highway transportation capacities are specified and how contractors are paid. The Postal Service states that it filed Proposal Seven consistent with the Commission's past recommendation to update variabilities after completing any major structural reorganization.

The Commission approved Proposal Seven with one minor change and stated that as modified, Proposal Seven improves the accuracy and completeness of the Postal Service's data. The Commission found that “the updated econometric estimates of variabilities for specific types of purchased highway transportation are an improvement over those estimated variabilities produced by the current methodology” and that Proposal Seven was responsive to the Commission's past recommendation. The Commission, however, re-estimated peak-season variabilities, after removing additional anomalous observations from the analysis dataset.

**Docket No. RM2021-7 (Proposal Four)**

In Proposal Four, the Postal Service sought to replace the current methodology used to attribute Special Purpose Routes (SPR) delivery costs to products. Proposal Four would replace the current methodology, which relies on manual data collection sampling, with a new system that uses scan data from databases that record tracking information and employee time and attendance. City carrier costs are developed for two route groups: (1) regular letter routes; and (2) SPRs, which are “street delivery activities generally located in dense urban areas that primarily include delivering parcels and collecting mail from designated collection points.”

The Commission approved Proposal Four because it would improve both the completeness and accuracy of the data used to distribute SPR costs. It found that “Proposal Four improves the completeness of the data used to develop distribution [keys] for SPR city carrier cost pools by more comprehensively sampling routes that deliver infrequently or irregularly.” It stated that Proposal Four’s proposed sample size of 26,000 carrier-days is an improvement because it greatly exceeds the current sample size of...
1,000 manually-tested route-days. Thus, Proposal Four would likely generate more precise estimates of small-volume product costs because they would be sampled more frequently. The Commission also found that Proposal Four improves the accuracy of the data used to develop distribution keys for SPR city carrier cost pools because “the greater availability of data would allow the Postal Service to develop separate distribution keys for peak and non-peak periods, as well as to separate estimates by carrier subcategory.” It stated that Proposal Four would allow the Postal Service to reduce data collection costs because the new system would not incur labor costs for manual data collection. The Postal Service estimated that the new system would save $200,000 per year.

Other Proposals
In FY 2021, the Commission also approved a Postal Service proposal to implement a change that would simplify calculating and reporting of workshare discounts for drop-shipped USPS Marketing Mail carrier route flats. Other rulemaking dockets under consideration by the Commission during FY 2021 include:

- Postal Service petitions to make changes in the Revenue, Pieces, and Weight reporting methodology in terms of sample design, size and estimation procedures
- Proposed changes in the assignment of cost pools in the First-Class Mail cost avoidance model by reviewing the assignment of cost pools as fixed or proportional
- Initiation of certain improvements in the treatment of surface and air transportation costs in the International Cost and Revenue Analysis
- Changes to the First-Class Mail Letters cost model to disaggregate metered mail by machinability status – machinable and non-machinable as required by Commission in its order approving Market Dominant rate adjustments under the new rules governing the Market Dominant Rate System

Docket No. RM2022-1 (Priorities for Future Data Collection and Analytical Work)
On October 8, 2021, the Commission established a proceeding to set priorities for future data collection and analytical work. The purpose of this proceeding is “to consider possible improvements to the quality, accuracy, or completeness of data” the Postal Service provides in its reports filed with the Commission. The Commission explained that at a time when the Postal Service remains under considerable financial pressure, having accurate estimates of product costs is necessary to understand the net revenue consequences of the rates and discounts that the Postal Service selects. Setting priorities for future data collection and analytical work will help ensure accurate cost estimates and identify analytical principles that need to be changed. For example, in UPS Proposal One, the Commission found that accelerating studies on peak-season costs is appropriate and timely.

The Commission stated it will develop an inventory of data collection and analysis needs, comprehensively evaluate these needs, and devise a plan for meeting these needs. It sought comments proposing areas that need further research and identifying improvements in data collection and analysis that should be implemented in the near term and long term. For near term proposals, comments were requested on which research topics should be prioritized, and what time frame would be feasible for completing the research. This proceeding is currently pending before the Commission.
Other Proceedings

Several other proceedings were before the Commission in FY 2021 and early FY 2022: three complaint cases, three market tests, and two Post Office closing appeals. Each proceeding is described below.

Complaints

A complaint may be filed with the Commission by any interested person who believes the Postal Service is not complying with certain requirements of Title 39. Within 90 days after a complaint is filed, the Commission will issue an order that either: (1) finds the complaint raises at least one material issue of fact or law, and begin proceedings on the complaint; or (2) dismisses the complaint. In FY 2021 and early FY 2022, there were three complaints filed with the Commission.

COMPLAINT REGARDING 10-YEAR STRATEGIC PLAN

On March 23, 2021, the Postal Service published a 10-Year Strategic Plan announcing potential changes intended to achieve financial stability and service excellence. On October 7, 2021, 20 states and commonwealths (the States) filed a complaint alleging that the Postal Service’s failure to submit its 10-Year Strategic Plan to the Commission for an advisory opinion violates 39 U.S.C. § 3661(b). Under section 3661(b) before making a change in the nature of postal services that will generally affect service on a nationwide or substantially nationwide basis, the Postal Service must seek an advisory opinion from the Commission within a reasonable time before the proposed change becomes effective.

The States alleged that the Postal Service should have sought an advisory opinion from the Commission under section 3661(b) before adopting the 10-Year Strategic Plan because it adopts changes in the nature of postal services with nationwide effect. They asserted that the Postal Service’s failure to request an advisory opinion harms the States and their residents because the lack of input from the Commission and public on the 10-Year Strategic Plan “removes a critical safeguard designed to ensure Postal Service accountability.” The States asked that the Commission order the Postal Service to request an advisory opinion on the entire 10-Year Strategic Plan to “ensure the Commission’s review of these significant changes and provide the States and the broader public the opportunity to comment on them.”

On October 27, 2021, the Postal Service filed a Motion to Dismiss the States’ complaint for failing to raise a material issue of fact or law that falls within the scope of the Commission’s jurisdiction under 39 U.S.C. § 3662. It noted that the 10-Year Strategic Plan itself does not create any changes in the nature of postal services and instead announces anticipated strategies the Postal Service expects to pursue during the next several years. It stated that it has sought or will seek an advisory opinion for the strategies in the 10-Year Strategic Plan that will make changes falling within the scope of section 3661. The Postal Service asserted that the 10-Year Strategic Plan in its entirety does not fall within section 3661(b)’s scope, that section 3661(b) does not require the Commission to immediately review all initiatives anticipated in the 10-Year Strategic Plan, and that dismissing the complaint would be consistent with policies underlying section 3661(b).

The States opposed the Postal Service’s Motion to Dismiss on November 10, 2021. They asserted that the 10-Year Strategic Plan describes a series of strategies that would implement fundamental changes to postal services and operations and thus fall within the scope of section 3661(b). The States asserted the claim that changes in the 10-Year Strategic Plan likely implicate section 3661(b) is colorable because the 10-Year Strategic Plan describes changes in the “nature
of postal services” that will affect service on a substantially nationwide basis.\textsuperscript{366}

On December 17, 2021, the Commission issued an order granting the Motion to Dismiss the States’ complaint.\textsuperscript{367} It found that “39 U.S.C. § 3661(b) does not require the Postal Service to request an advisory opinion on the entirety of the 10-Year Strategic Plan” for several reasons.\textsuperscript{368} First, the Commission determined that the 10-Year Strategic Plan by itself is not a change impacting service and thus did not fall within the scope of section 3661(b).\textsuperscript{369} Second, the Commission noted that the Postal Service has not yet announced or determined the effective dates for most of the initiatives in the 10-Year Strategic Plan.\textsuperscript{370} It stated that section 3661(b) and the Commission’s regulations “grant the Postal Service substantial discretion regarding the timing of a request for an advisory opinion and certainly do not require a request to be made before an initiative’s effective date has been established.”\textsuperscript{371} In addition, the Commission discussed various other factors that supported dismissal of the States’ complaint, including the Postal Service’s discretion with regard to the scope of its requests for advisory opinions and the fact that the Commission had conducted proceedings reviewing specific initiatives contained in the 10-Year Strategic Plan.\textsuperscript{372} The Commission addressed and rejected the remaining arguments in opposition to dismissal of the States’ complaint and concluded that the complaint failed to raise any material issues of fact or law and thus should be dismissed.\textsuperscript{373}

COMPLAINT OF CHRISTOPHER S. SEARCY

On August 5, 2021, Christopher S. Searcy filed a complaint alleging that the Postal Service unlawfully suspended mail service to his mailbox due to risk to mail carriers caused by dogs.\textsuperscript{374} The Commission’s rules set forth procedures for resolving certain complaints through the rate or service inquiry process by forwarding the complaint to the Postal Service for investigation.\textsuperscript{375} On August 9, 2021, the Commission issued an order referring the complaint to the Postal Service for investigation pursuant to the Commission’s rules for rate or service inquiries.\textsuperscript{376} It directed the Postal Service to advise the Commission in writing about the resolution of the complaint.\textsuperscript{377} The Postal Service subsequently filed a written status report stating that Mr. Searcy has not responded to written correspondence regarding attempts to resolve the complaint.\textsuperscript{378} On October 27, 2021, noting that it appeared unlikely that Mr. Searcy would respond before the expiration of section 3662(b)(1)’s 90-day deadline for Commission action, the Commission issued an order dismissing the complaint.\textsuperscript{379} It stated that the complaint neither explained how the Postal Service’s conduct violated 39 U.S.C. § 403(c) nor identified any material issues of fact or law at issue.\textsuperscript{380} For the reasons discussed above, the Commission dismissed the Complaint without prejudice.

COMPLAINT OF HAPPY TRAILS

On April 23, 2021, Happy Trails Community Association, Inc. (Happy Trails) filed a complaint asserting that the Postal Service violated section 403(c).\textsuperscript{382} Members of Happy Trails owned lots within a community that the Postal Service classified as a Transient Development under the Postal Operations Manual.\textsuperscript{383} Consequently, Happy Trails members were not eligible for individual mail delivery and forwarding service.\textsuperscript{384} In its complaint, Happy Trails claimed that the Postal Service’s classification decision constituted undue or unreasonable discrimination because as a result of that classification, the Postal Service would not provide individual mail delivery and forwarding service to Happy Trails members.\textsuperscript{385} The Postal Service filed a motion to dismiss the complaint.\textsuperscript{386} On June 17, 2021, Happy Trails filed a notice to voluntarily dismiss its complaint.
with prejudice because it had settled with the Postal Service to resolve this matter. The Commission then issued an order closing Docket No. C2021-1 because the issues raised in the complaint were resolved.

Market Tests and Post Office Closing Appeals

MARKET TESTS

The PAEA permits the Postal Service to conduct market tests of experimental products, which allows the Postal Service to offer products and services for a limited time period without being subject to the applicable requirements of 39 U.S.C. §§ 3622, 3633, or 3642, or the regulations promulgated under those sections. Before initiating a market test, the Postal Service must provide at least 30 days advance notice to the Commission through a filing containing certain information.

There were three active market tests in FY 2021:

- Commercial PO Box Redirect Service redirects automated letters during mail processing from the Commercial PO Box listed on the mailpiece to a second Commercial PO Box
- Extended Mail Forwarding provides customers who submit a permanent change-of-address request the option to extend forwarding of all First-Class Mail, First-Class Package Service Commercial, and Priority Mail mailpieces beyond the 1-year forwarding period
- Plus One is an advertising card that will be mailed as an add-on mailpiece with a USPS Marketing Mail Letters marriage mail envelope containing multiple advertising mailpieces

Market tests are conducted over a 24 month period unless the Commission grants the Postal Service’s request to extend the market test by up to 12 months. On June 4, 2021, the Commission authorized extending the Plus One market test for another 12 months, finding that the Postal Service complied with applicable statutory and regulatory requirements. On November 10, 2021, the Postal Service provided notice of its request to convert the Plus One experimental product into a permanent offering on the MCS.

POST OFFICE CLOSING APPEALS

The PAEA permits any person served by a Post Office to appeal its closing or consolidation to the Commission. In FY 2021, the Commission considered appeals of the Postal Service’s decision to close two contractor-operated retail facilities. In both cases, the Postal Service filed a motion to dismiss the appeal for lack of jurisdiction. The Commission granted both motions and dismissed the appeals with prejudice. It noted that its jurisdiction to consider appeals of contractor-operated facilities is limited to facilities that are the “sole source” of postal services for the community. Because the contractor-operated facilities at issue were not the “sole source” of postal services in their community, the Commission dismissed both appeals with prejudice.
A person adversely affected or aggrieved by the Commission’s final order or decision may appeal the order or decision to the D.C. Circuit within 30 days after it becomes final. Mailers and the Postal Service filed petitions for review of Order No. 5763, which adopted the final rules modifying the regulations governing the Market Dominant Rate System. On November 12, 2021, the D.C. Circuit issued its opinion denying the Mailers’ and the Postal Service’s petitions for review and rejecting all arguments to overturn the final rules. The court concluded that “the Commission acted within its authority under the [PAEA], and that its predictive judgments and economic conclusions satisfy the Administrative Procedure Act’s requirements of reasoned decision-making.” It found that the scope of the Commission’s authority, when acting under 39 U.S.C. § 3622(d) (3), is broader than the scope of its general authority to revise regulations governing the Market Dominant Rate System periodically. Responding to arguments that the final rules were arbitrary and capricious, the D.C. Circuit noted that its review of the Commission’s technical policy judgments was deferential considering that the PAEA expressly entrusted the Commission with striking a balance between multiple, sometimes competing, objectives. The court concluded that “the Commission articulated a rational connection between the statutory objectives and the decision it made[]” and “Mailers offer[ed] no basis for the court to conclude that the decision was arbitrary and capricious in meeting the statutory objectives.” The D.C. Circuit also found that the Commission adequately justified its decisions to adopt the density-based rate authority and not to reopen the record to consider the effects of the COVID-19 pandemic.

Additionally, the D.C. Circuit rejected the arguments advanced by the Postal Service. It stated “[t]he Commission’s decision not to implement a rate reset at this time was thus reasonable and reasonably explained.” The court found reasonable both the Commission’s selection of a Market Dominant Rate System that balanced the competing objectives of the PAEA and the Commission’s decision to address the Postal Service’s financial problem incrementally, rather than in a single rulemaking. For these reasons, the court denied the petitions for review.

The D.C. Circuit also considered an appeal of a Commission order dismissing a Post Office closing appeal. On February 10, 2021, the Commission dismissed a petition for review filed by the City of Spanish Fort, Alabama appealing the Postal Service’s decision to close the Spanish Fort community Post Office. The City of Spanish Fort appealed the Commission’s decision to the D.C. Circuit, which dismissed the appeal.

The Secretary of State is responsible for formulating, coordinating, and overseeing international postal policy, as well as concluding postal treaties such as those involving the UPU. Headquartered in Bern, Switzerland, the UPU is an international treaty organization responsible for facilitating high-quality universal mail service at affordable rates. Although the State Department has primary authority over international postal policy, it must request the Commission’s views on whether any treaty, convention, or amendment that establishes a rate or classification for a Market Dominant product is consistent with the Market Dominant Rate System. The State Department must ensure that each treaty, convention, or amendment concluded is consistent with the Commission’s views unless there is a foreign policy or national security concern.
Pursuant to 39 U.S.C. § 407(c)(1), the Secretary of State requested that the Commission provide its views on the “consistency of proposals to amend rates or classifications for [M]arket [D]ominant products or services within the Universal Postal Convention that will be considered at the upcoming 27th Universal Postal Union (UPU) Congress with the standards and criteria established by the Commission under 39 U.S.C. § 3622[,]” which was initially scheduled to occur in August 2020.  

Pursuant to section 407(c)(1) and its regulations, the Commission established Docket No. IM2020-1 in FY 2020 for the purpose of “developing its views on whether certain proposals for the upcoming UPU Congress are consistent with the standards and criteria for modern rate regulation established by the Commission under 39 U.S.C. 3622” and set a deadline for public comment. The Commission subsequently posted proposals and a background document for public comment. Because the UPU later postponed the 27th UPU Congress until August 2021 due to the COVID-19 pandemic, the Commission revised its comment deadline to May 14, 2021. 

On July 9, 2021, the Commission provided its views to the Secretary of State, on three proposals for the 27th UPU Congress that fell within the scope of 39 U.S.C. § 407(c)(1) as they established rates or classification for the Market Dominant Inbound Letter Post Product. The Commission found that one proposal to require tracking for Inbound Letter Post to be consistent with the standards and criteria established by the Commission under 39 U.S.C. § 3622. The Commission also found that two proposals that established rates for the delivery of Inbound Letter Post, known as terminal dues, were inconsistent with the standards and criteria established by the Commission under 39 U.S.C. § 3622 based on a fact-specific balancing of the objectives and factors enumerated therein. 

In FY 2021, the Commission also served in an advisory capacity to the Department of State in the formulation of U.S. government positions on other proposals before the 27th UPU Congress. These proposals related to remuneration for all international mail products and UPU reform towards the achievement of United States international postal policies in 39 U.S.C. § 407(a).
CHAPTER IV | Universal Service Obligation and Postal Monopoly

Background

In this chapter, the Commission provides its annual estimates of the cost of the Universal Service Obligation (USO) and the value of the postal monopoly. In its Report on Universal Postal Service and the Postal Monopoly, the Commission stated that the overarching USO of the Postal Service is set forth in 39 U.S.C. § 101(a), which states that the Postal Service must “provide postal services to bind the Nation together through the personal, educational, literary, and business correspondence of the people. It shall provide prompt, reliable, and efficient services to patrons in all areas and shall render postal services to all communities.” The USO has seven principal attributes: (1) geographic scope, (2) product range, (3) access, (4) delivery, (5) pricing, (6) service quality, and (7) an enforcement mechanism.

The postal monopoly is the Postal Service’s exclusive right to carry and deliver certain types of mail and deposit mail into mailboxes. Unlike the cost of the USO (USO Cost), the Commission is not required to estimate annually the value of the postal monopoly. The Commission provides estimates for both the USO Cost and a provisional value of the postal monopoly to present a balanced perspective.

In 2008, the Commission estimated the USO Cost and the value of the postal monopoly in the USO Report. The Commission updates these estimates each year in the Annual Report. Beginning in FY 2018, the net cost of the Postal Inspection Service was included in the estimate of the USO Cost.

Estimated USO Cost

The PAEA requires the Commission to estimate the costs incurred by the Postal Service in providing three types of public services or activities:

- Postal services to areas of the nation the Postal Service would not otherwise serve
- Free or reduced rates for postal services as required by Title 39
- Other public services or activities the Postal Service would not otherwise provide but for the requirements of law
The USO Cost is the total amount of costs incurred by the Postal Service in providing these public services or activities. Table IV-1 illustrates the estimated USO Cost for the last 5 fiscal years, FY 2016 to FY 2020.\(^ {431}\)

In this chapter, the Commission provides estimates of the costs incurred by the Postal Service in providing the public services or activities under 39 U.S.C. § 3651(b)(1), describes related statutory requirements, and explains the methodologies used to estimate these costs.\(^ {432}\)

On December 10, 2020, the Commission initiated a public inquiry in Docket No. PI2021-1 and requested suggestions for modifications and enhancements to the methodology of estimating the cost of the USO to help the Commission determine whether all of the assumptions underlying the methodology remain valid.\(^ {433}\)

Materials provided by the Postal Service in response to information requests to date have been used to make refinements in the calculation of some elements of the cost of the USO, as described below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table IV-1: Estimated USO Cost ($ Billions)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Postal Services to Areas of the Nation the Postal Service Would Not Otherwise Serve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postal Services to Areas of the Nation the Postal Service Would Not Otherwise Serve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated Revenue Not Received Due to Free or Reduced Rates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Public Services or Activities(^ a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^ a\) The FY 2018, FY 2019, and FY 2020 figures include the net cost of the Postal Inspection Service. The sum of columns may not equal total due to rounding.

### Postal Services to Areas of the Nation the Postal Service Would Not Otherwise Serve

The Commission must estimate the costs incurred by the Postal Service in providing:

- postal services to areas of the Nation where, in the judgment of the Postal Regulatory Commission, the Postal Service either would not provide services at all or would not provide such services in accordance with the requirements of [Title 39] if the Postal Service were not required to provide prompt, reliable, and efficient services to patrons in all areas and all communities, including as required under the first sentence of [39 U.S.C.] section 101(b)[.\(^ {434}\)

The Commission determines these costs by combining the estimated costs of maintaining small Post Offices, the Alaska Air Subsidy, and Group E Post Office (PO) Boxes. Table IV-2 compares the costs of each one from FY 2016 to FY 2020.

As shown in Table IV-2, the estimated total cost of providing postal services to areas of the nation the Postal Service would not otherwise serve increased each year between FY 2016 and FY 2020. This increase is mainly due to the annual increase in clerk costs for maintaining small Post Offices.
CHAPTER IV

Table IV-2: Estimated Costs of Providing Postal Services to Areas of the Nation the Postal Service Would Not Otherwise Serve ($ Millions)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maintaining Small Post Offices*</td>
<td>610</td>
<td>590</td>
<td>551</td>
<td>526</td>
<td>470</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alaska Air Subsidy</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group E Post Office Boxes</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>765</strong></td>
<td><strong>759</strong></td>
<td><strong>706</strong></td>
<td><strong>675</strong></td>
<td><strong>616</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The Maintaining Small Post Offices cost figures are higher than previous Annual Reports due to updates and refinements described in Table IV-3. The sum of columns may not equal total due to rounding.

MAINTAINING SMALL POST OFFICES

The Postal Service maintains small Post Offices, which are generally located in rural or remote areas, as part of its duty “to establish and maintain postal facilities of such character and in such locations, that postal patrons throughout the Nation will, consistent with reasonable economies of postal operations, have ready access to essential postal services.” The Postal Service uses Cost Ascertainment Group (CAG) classifications A to L to categorize Post Offices based on revenue generated. Small Post Offices are those that fall within CAG K and L classifications.

The Commission determines the costs of maintaining small Post Offices by estimating the amount the Postal Service would save if rural carriers on the street provided the same services as those provided at small Post Offices, as well as the amount of revenue lost from existing CAG K and L PO Boxes. The Commission uses the Rural Mail Count to estimate the cost of rural carriers providing retail services and for new delivery service to those who would no longer have a CAG K and L PO Box.

Table IV-2 lists the estimated costs of maintaining small Post Offices incorporate the main categories of employees who may perform functions that were previously performed primarily by Postmasters.

Table IV-3 disaggregates the costs of maintaining small Post Offices by component and illustrates the recent large shifts among these components. The clerks’ and Postmasters’ costs for the 5-year period in Table IV-3 are higher than previous Annual Reports due to two changes in the calculations: cost data from the National Consolidated Trial Balance are used, and clerk piggyback costs are added to clerks’ salary costs. Rural carrier delivery services and PO Box revenues foregone in Table IV-3 are also higher than previous Annual Reports due to the updating of the current number of PO Boxes used to calculate these costs. Additionally, rural carriers providing retail services costs are also lower than figures shown in previous Annual Reports due to the current lower number of estimated retail visits used to calculate costs.
Table IV-3: Estimated Cost Savings from Closing CAG K and L Post Offices
Derivation of Updated Costs of Maintaining Small Post Offices ($ Millions)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CAG K and L Postmasters¹</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postmaster Relief/Leave Replacements</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clerks²</td>
<td>629</td>
<td>607</td>
<td>564</td>
<td>543</td>
<td>447</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Potential Operating Costs Saved</strong> (If CAG K and L Post Offices Closed)</td>
<td><strong>722</strong></td>
<td><strong>698</strong></td>
<td><strong>654</strong></td>
<td><strong>627</strong></td>
<td><strong>569</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cost Savings Adjustments</th>
<th>FY Cost Savings Adjustments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rural Carrier Now Provides Retail Services Cost³</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural Carrier Now Provides Delivery Service Cost⁴</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post Office Boxes Revenue Foregone⁵</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total FY Cost Savings Adjustment</strong></td>
<td><strong>112</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Cost of Maintaining Small Post Offices (Potential Operating Costs Saved Less Cost Savings Adjustments) | 610 | 590 | 551 | 526 | 470 |

Note: The sum of individual row components may not equal totals due to rounding. Estimated costs of Maintaining Small Post Offices for FY 2016 through FY 2019 differ from previous Annual Reports because a more complete data source for Postmasters' and clerks' costs was identified from the USPS National Consolidated Trial Balance (NCTB) data and the development and addition of piggyback costs for clerks. Additionally, estimated costs of Maintaining Small Post Offices inputs differ due to updated inputs for the number of PO Boxes and retail visits incorporated into the FY 2016 through FY 2020 calculations. An upcoming library reference in Docket No. PI2021-1 will detail the sources and methodologies used.

¹ Postmasters' costs include piggyback costs for overhead and other personnel and non-personnel-related costs.
² Clerks' costs include piggyback costs for overhead and other personnel and non-personnel-related costs.
³ The cost savings adjustments are used to reduce the potential operating costs saved as there would presumably be an increase in rural carrier costs and PO Box revenue foregone (if the small Post Offices were to close).
⁴ The estimated fiscal year number of CAG K and L retail transactions was approximated using the most currently available data for FY 2020 and FY 2019. The approximated FY 2019 number of retail visits was used as a proxy for FYs 2016 through 2018 to develop the estimated cost of retail services now provided by rural carriers (if the small Post Offices were to close).
⁵ The estimated fiscal year number of occupied Market Dominant CAG K and L PO Boxes was used to estimate the number of new delivery points (for those CAG K and L PO Boxes customers who would now no longer have delivery to the PO Box if the small Post Offices were to close) for the fiscal years shown.

Sources for this table are based on the following: NCTB data (clerks and postmasters operating costs); Postmaster relief/leave replacements; rural carrier retail unit and delivery service costs; clerk cost by function (for piggyback cost development); piggyback factors; retail visits; and PO Boxes. This information was filed in past Annual Compliance Reports (ACRs) and in Docket No. PI2021-1. See also FY 2020 Annual Report at 49.

National Consolidated Trial Balance Data (clerks and postmasters operating costs): Commission analysis of respective fiscal year ACRs and NCTB data (sub-account 104 and 105 for clerks, sub-account 101 for postmasters) for CAG K and L Post Offices.

Rural Carrier Retail Unit and Delivery Service Costs: Library Reference 40 in Docket No. ACR2015; ACR2017, and ACR2018; and Rural Mail Count updates for FYs 2019 and 2020 provided in CHIR responses in Docket No. PI2020-1.

Clerks Costs by Function (for Piggyback cost development): CAG K and L clerk function proportions are based on the activities of sampled clerks in the In-Office Cost System Post Offices in CAGs K and L. FY 2017 clerk function proportions were provided in Docket No. ACR2017, Responses of the United States Postal Service to Questions 1-16 of Chairman's Information Request No. 21, March 5, 2018. FY 2019 and FY 2020 clerk function proportions were provided in Docket No. PI2021-1, Responses of the United States Postal Service to Questions 1-3 of Chairman's Information Request No. 1, September 24, 2021 (Docket No. PI2021-1, Response to CHIR No. 1).

Piggyback Factors: Library Reference 24 in the respective fiscal year ACRs.

Retail Visits: The number of approximated CAG K and L Post Offices retail transactions inputs for FY 2019 and FY 2020 were provided in Docket No. PI2021-1, Response to CHIR No. 1.

PO Boxes: Id. question 2. Respective fiscal year Market Dominant PO Box unit revenues were developed from Library Reference 4 Market Dominant billing determinants in Docket Nos. ACR2016, ACR2017, ACR2018, ACR2019 and ACR2020.
ALASKA AIR SUBSIDY

Alaska Bypass Service allows mailers to ship goods such as food and other cargo on pallets directly to rural customers in Alaska. Commercial airline carriers deliver goods on pallets to hub airports in either Anchorage or Fairbanks. Smaller airline companies or independent pilots then break down these pallets and deliver the goods to remote communities accessible only by air, which are commonly called bush sites. The shipped goods “bypass” the Postal Service’s network.

With Alaska Bypass Service, the Postal Service pays for the cost of air transportation from hub airports to bush sites. The difference between this cost of air transportation from hub airports to bush sites and the average cost of ground transportation if it were available is called the Alaska Air Subsidy. The Commission previously concluded that the Alaska Air Subsidy is part of the USO. In FY 2020, there was an increase of $1.4 million over the FY 2019 Alaska Air Subsidy cost. The larger $14.1 million increase in the Alaska Air Subsidy cost between FY 2018 and FY 2019 was due to increases in air volumes and fuel costs.

GROUP E POST OFFICE BOXES

Group E PO Boxes are provided free of charge to customers when the Postal Service does not offer carrier delivery to their physical address. To meet its USO delivery obligation, the Postal Service makes Group E PO Boxes available “for the purpose of resolving potential discrimination issues arising from instances in which the Postal Service chooses to provide, or not to provide, customers with a carrier delivery option.” In FY 2011, the Commission approved treating the cost of providing Group E PO Boxes as an institutional cost to more equitably distribute the USO Cost. The Commission also concluded that this treatment was analogous to, and consistent with, the treatment of the Alaska Air Subsidy. Consequently, the Commission included the cost of Group E PO Boxes, which are primarily facility-related, in estimating the USO Cost. In FY 2020, servicing Group E PO Boxes cost approximately $20 million. In FY 2020, the Group E PO Boxes cost was impacted by a new Postal Service facility analysis that decreased measured PO Box space costs.
Free or Reduced Rates

The Commission must estimate the costs incurred by the Postal Service in providing “free or reduced rates for postal services as required by [Title 39].”\(^449\) The Commission estimates these costs by combining preferred rate discounts net of costs and the negative contribution of Periodicals (Periodicals Losses). Table IV-4 shows the estimated revenue not received as a result of preferred rate discounts and Periodicals Losses between FY 2016 and FY 2020.

### Table IV-4: Estimated Revenue Not Received Due to Free or Reduced Rates ($ Millions)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Preferred Rate Discounts Net of Costs*</td>
<td>1,189</td>
<td>1,223</td>
<td>1,172</td>
<td>1,104</td>
<td>1,105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Periodicals Losses</td>
<td>775</td>
<td>671</td>
<td>614</td>
<td>608</td>
<td>536</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,964</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,895</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,786</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,712</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,641</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The FY 2018 through FY 2020 Preferred Rate Discounts Net of Costs figures include In-County Periodicals. At the time of the USO Report, In-County Periodicals had little impact on the results of that analysis and were not included. See USO Report, Appendix F, section 3 (Robert H. Cohen and Charles McBride, “Estimates of the Current Costs of the USO in the U.S.,” at 18-19 n.20). The sum of columns may not equal total due to rounding.

### Preferred Rate Discounts Net Of Costs

39 U.S.C. § 3626 requires the Postal Service to provide reduced rates for preferred rate categories in USPS Marketing Mail, Periodicals, and Library Mail.\(^450\) The Commission determines estimated revenue not received by quantifying the difference in revenue between mail that is statutorily required to receive a discount and the revenue the Postal Service would have received if those mailpieces were not discounted. This increase in revenue is adjusted for potential decreases in costs. If not discounted, rates for these mailpieces would be higher, resulting in a loss of volume and, consequently, lower costs. In FY 2020, preferred rate discounts net of costs were $1.189 billion.

### Periodicals Losses

Periodicals Losses are the annual amount by which Periodicals’ attributable cost exceeds revenue.\(^451\) The PAEA’s price cap does not allow the Postal Service to fully recover Periodicals Losses through rate increases.\(^452\) It is assumed that, if not for the price cap, the Postal Service would raise Periodicals rates to the level necessary to cover attributable cost. Accordingly, the Commission considers these losses to be part of the USO Cost.

Table IV-4 illustrates that Periodicals Losses in FY 2020 increased by the largest dollar amount in the FY 2016-FY 2020 period. Between FY 2019 and FY 2020, Periodicals Losses increased by approximately $100 million. FY 2020 revenue from Periodicals only covered 56.9 percent of the attributable cost of the Periodicals class, a decrease from 64 percent in FY 2019.

The Periodicals class has not covered its attributable cost since the PAEA was enacted.\(^453\) The Commission recently took steps to address this issue by finalizing rules adopting changes to the regulations governing the Market Dominant Rate System.\(^454\) Specifically, for non-compensatory mail classes such as Periodicals, the final rules provide the Postal Service an additional 2 percentage points of rate authority per class per fiscal year.\(^455\) Similar to CPI-based rate authority, if all of this authority is not immediately used it may be banked for use in future years.

Also, in the FY 2020 ACD, the Commission directed the Postal Service to provide an updated version of the Periodicals Pricing Report in its...
FY 2021 ACR and include an analysis of how the pricing in Docket No. R2021-1 impacted the cost, contribution, and revenue of Periodicals in FY 2021, and whether the new pricing improved the efficiency of Periodicals pricing in FY 2021.456

Other Public Services or Activities

The Commission must estimate the costs incurred by the Postal Service in providing "other public services or activities which, in the judgment of the Postal Regulatory Commission, would not otherwise have been provided by the Postal Service but for the requirements of law."457 These costs include the costs of providing Six-Day Delivery (rather than Five-Day Delivery), uniform rates for First-Class Mail and Media Mail/Library Mail, and the net cost of the Postal Inspection Service. Table IV-5 shows the costs of providing these public services or activities from FY 2016 to FY 2020.

Table IV-5: Other Public Services or Activities the Postal Service Would Not Provide But for Legal Requirements ($ Millions)458

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Public Service or Activity</th>
<th>FY 2020</th>
<th>FY 2019</th>
<th>FY 2018</th>
<th>FY 2017</th>
<th>FY 2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Six-Day Delivery</td>
<td>2,518</td>
<td>2,465</td>
<td>2,259</td>
<td>2,204</td>
<td>2,191</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uniform First-Class Mail Rates</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uniform Media Mail/Library Mail Rates</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postal Inspection Service (Net Cost)⁴⁹</td>
<td>503</td>
<td>471</td>
<td>462</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>3,167</td>
<td>3,131</td>
<td>2,916</td>
<td>2,355</td>
<td>2,371</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

⁴ The Commission began including the net cost of the Postal Inspection Service in FY 2018.

Six-Day Delivery

Since 1984, appropriations bills have included a provision requiring the Postal Service to continue providing Six-Day Delivery.459 The cost of providing Six-Day Delivery is measured as the estimated savings the Postal Service would achieve by providing residential delivery service 5 days a week instead of 6 days a week. Table IV-5 shows the cost of Six-Day rather than Five-Day Delivery from FY 2016 to FY 2020.⁴⁶⁰ In FY 2020, the estimated cost of providing Six-Day Delivery was approximately $2.518 billion, an increase from the estimated FY 2019 cost of $2.465 billion.

Uniform Rates

Rates for First-Class Mail must be uniform throughout the United States. 461 To determine the cost of uniform First-Class Mail rates, the Commission estimates the increased contribution that the Postal Service would earn if dropship discounts were allowed for workshared First-Class Mail. Table IV-5 shows the estimated cost of uniform First-Class Mail rates. The estimated cost of uniform First-Class Mail rates decreased from $71 million in FY 2019 to $52 million in FY 2020.

Media Mail/Library Mail rates must be uniform for mail of the same weight and must not vary with the distance transported. ⁴⁶² The Commission estimates the cost of the distance component by assuming that without this requirement, Media Mail/Library Mail would provide the unit contribution of Bound Printed Matter, a proxy that does not have this restriction. The Commission estimates the additional unit contribution by determining the difference between the unit contributions of Bound Printed Matter and Media Mail/Library Mail. Media Mail/Library Mail total volumes are then multiplied by the estimated additional unit contribution to produce an estimate of the total additional contribution if Media Mail/Library
Mail rates were not uniform.

In FY 2020, the estimated cost of providing uniform Media Mail/Library Mail rates was approximately $94 million, a decrease from the estimated FY 2019 cost of $124 million. The decrease in cost between FY 2019 and FY 2020 was due to an increase in the Media Mail/Library Mail unit contribution and a decrease in the unit contribution of Bound Printed Matter in FY 2020.

**Postal Inspection Service**

In the FY 2019 Annual Report, the Commission began including the net cost of the Postal Inspection Service in the estimated cost of the USO as an “other public service or activity” under 39 U.S.C. § 3651(b)(1)(C). The Postal Inspection Service enforces more than 200 federal laws that relate to crimes involving the postal system, its employees, and its customers. The mission of the Postal Inspection Service is "to support and protect the [Postal Service] and its employees, infrastructure, and customers; enforce the laws that defend the nation’s mail system from illegal or dangerous use; and ensure public trust in mail." Law enforcement activities of the Postal Inspection Service involve defending the nation’s mail from illegal or dangerous use by, for example, combatting illegal narcotics, mail fraud, and mail and package theft. The costs of the Postal Inspection Service are partially offset by fines collected and restitution, which are subtracted from the total cost to calculate the net cost.

In FY 2020, the net cost of the Postal Inspection Service was approximately $503 million, an increase from the estimated FY 2019 net cost of $471 million.

**Value of the Postal Monopoly**

The mailbox monopoly is the Postal Service’s exclusive right to deliver to and collect from mailboxes. The letter monopoly is the Postal Service’s exclusive right to carry and deliver most addressed, paper-based correspondence. The combined letter and mailbox monopolies are together referred to as the postal monopoly. The Annual Report includes estimates of both the value of the postal monopoly and the value of the mailbox monopoly alone.

The value of the postal monopoly is an estimate of the profit that the Postal Service would potentially lose if both the mailbox and letter monopolies were lifted and the Postal Service was subject to competition for mail currently covered by the postal monopoly.

The value of the mailbox monopoly is estimated based on contestable mail volumes in Periodicals, select USPS Marketing Mail prepared in carrier route sequence, and Parcel Select. Changes in the volume of contestable mail affect the number of profitable routes the competitor could deliver to and the amount of profit the Postal Service would lose if the competitor captured the contestable mail on those routes.

On October 1, 2019, the Commission initiated a public inquiry in Docket No. PI2020-1 and requested suggestions for modifications and enhancements to the current estimation methodology to account for recent Postal Service data changes as well as for any other aspects of the letter and mailbox monopolies (postal monopoly) estimation methodology. After receiving six sets of initial comments and three sets of additional comments from postal stakeholders, the Commission is currently considering these comments and the most appropriate revisions to the methodology.
The Commission uses the model described in the USO Report to update current estimates. The model assumes that the competitor will “win” or “skim” all of the contestable mail on a route if the revenue it would earn from these mail volumes is greater than the fixed and attributable costs related to the volumes. The model also assumes the competitor would deliver only local and regional mail to focus on the most profitable delivery routes and avoid the need for significant capital to establish a processing and transportation network.

Even with the postal monopoly, competitors still deliver material (e.g., newspapers’ weekly advertising supplements) that might otherwise be sent via the Postal Service. If the mailbox monopoly alone were lifted, competitors could deliver and deposit into mailboxes products that fall outside of the letter monopoly, such as Periodicals, unaddressed saturation mail, catalogs exceeding 24 pages, and letters exceeding 12.5 ounces. The letter monopoly prevents competitors from delivering certain mail that is directed to a specific person or address, such as First-Class Presorted Letters/Postcards and USPS Marketing Mail Letters. If the letter monopoly were also lifted, this restriction would not apply.

The model currently evaluates the competitor’s entry for each route regardless of the extent of route clustering. Focusing on routes in the same cluster or area would reduce the competitor’s fixed costs. Also, because the model assumes that the competitor does not incur mail processing costs, values of the postal and mailbox monopolies do not reflect the cost of sorting to carrier routes, which is necessary to deliver mail presorted to the 5-digit ZIP Code. The model also does not account for mailers’ switching costs or brand loyalty. In addition, bulk parcels, which are Competitive products, are considered contestable mail.

Previous Annual Reports presented only estimates of the postal and mailbox monopolies based on the same assumed mid-range (base case model) values for four key variables: (1) the volumes that an entrant could contest; (2) the entrants’ costs; (3) the entrants’ delivery frequency; and (4) the discount that the entrant offers to entice customers. The base case model for calculating both estimates of the postal and mailbox monopolies assumes that an entrant would offer a 10 percent discount, have a 10 percent cost advantage (be 10 percent more efficient), and skim 100 percent of the contestable mail on profitable routes. The FY 2016 through FY 2020 postal and mailbox monopoly estimates calculated using the base

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Postal Monopoly</td>
<td>3.82</td>
<td>4.72</td>
<td>4.53</td>
<td>5.34</td>
<td>5.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mailbox Monopoly</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>1.24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* FY 2018 through FY 2020 values are not comparable to values for FY 2016 and FY 2017. FY 2018 through FY 2020 values account for First-Class Package Service being moved to the Competitive products category and are adjusted to the respective fiscal year delivered mail volume estimates (as estimated by the City Carrier Cost System (CCCS) and the Rural Mail Count and Rural Carrier Cost System (RCCS)). The development of the postal and mailbox monopolies estimates for FY 2017 and earlier are consistent with the original methodology that included First-Class Presort Parcels with First-Class Presort Letters and First-Class Presort Flats as well as estimated delivered mail volumes were not adjusted to the CCCS and RCCS national estimates.
The estimates of the postal and mailbox monopolies were also developed using the low and high model assumptions that were last calculated in 2008 when the USO Report was issued. In the USO Report, the mid-range values of the base case model were believed to be realistic ranges for the four key model input variables. As compared to the postal monopoly estimates in the USO Report, the largest dollar change in the estimated FY 2020 postal monopoly values are in the “high” model estimates ($7.10 billion in the USO Report versus $8.26 billion in FY 2020) and the base case model estimates ($3.48 billion in the USO Report versus $3.82 billion in FY 2020). Increases in the amount of USPS Marketing Mail 5-digit automation letters entered at destination sectional center facilities since the USO Report was issued contributed to the larger postal monopoly estimates using the base case model and “high” model assumptions in FY 2020.

As compared to the mailbox monopoly estimates in the USO Report, the FY 2020 estimates were lower for all key variable assumption scenarios due to decreases in the total amount of contestable USPS Marketing Mail Enhanced Carrier Route mail since the USO Report was issued.
The Commission’s Office of Public Affairs and Government Relations (PAGR) is a significant resource in support of public outreach and education; complaint processing; media relations; and liaison with the U.S. Congress, the Administration, the Postal Service, and other government agencies. This office informs and advises commissioners and Commission staff on legislative issues and policies related to the Commission and the Postal Service. PAGR staff also coordinate the preparation of both congressional testimony and responses to congressional inquiries concerning Commission policies and activities. PAGR is the primary office assisting the general public.

### Consumer Relations - Comments and Inquiries

#### Inquiries by Source

In Fiscal Year 2021, PAGR received over 14,000 questions, suggestions, comments, and inquiries. The greatest percentage of these were received through the online “Contact PRC” link on the Commission’s website and by phone. The remaining correspondence was submitted by hardcopy mail. This year saw a 120 percent increase in the comments received from the general public in response to proposed operational changes announced by the Postal Service (from 6,435 to 14,149). Sixty-four percent of this contact occurred in the third quarter, which is the same quarter the Postal Service filed its first proposed Nature of Service case (N2021-1) on April 21, 2021.

#### Table V-1: FY 2021 Number of Inquiries Received by Contact

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quarter</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Contact</td>
<td>1,834</td>
<td>1,527</td>
<td>9,009</td>
<td>1,779</td>
<td>14,149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Email</td>
<td>1,618</td>
<td>1,301</td>
<td>8,366</td>
<td>1,567</td>
<td>12,852</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phone</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>370</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>847</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Letters</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>273</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>450</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Commission Order No. 195 directs the Postal Service to respond to rate and service inquiries that the Commission forwards to the USPS Office of the Consumer Advocate within 45 days. The order also requires the Postal Service to file a monthly report summarizing the general nature of inquiries they received. These reports are available on the Commission’s website at www.prc.gov, under the USPS Reports tab.

**Inquiries by Issue**

Consistent with prior reporting, mail that is delayed, misdelivered, and undelivered remains the leading complaint type. There was also a slight increase in inquiries related to collection box schedules and incorrect signage.

**Inquiries by Location**

In FY 2021, a review of the inquiries referred to the Postal Service for escalated resolution revealed that an above average number of service related inquiries originated in the Illinois 1, Georgia, Indiana, Maryland, Florida 2, and New York 1 Districts.

**Table V-2: FY 2021 Number of Inquiries Received by Issue**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Top Issue</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Delayed Mail</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Misdelivered Mail</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carrier not making an initial attempt to deliver packages</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mailbox Requirement</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collection Box – Incorrect Time/Schedule</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missing Packages</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employee Behavior</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carrier not delivering mail everyday</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Misdelivery - Receiving others mail</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retail Lobby Access - Not open 24-hours</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carrier/LPO Suspended Delivery</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table V-3: FY 2021 Number of Inquiries Received by Location**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>District</th>
<th>Number Received</th>
<th>Top Issue</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Illinois 1</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>Collection Box – Incorrect Time/Schedule</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgia</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>Carrier not delivering mail everyday</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>Collection Box – Incorrect Time/Schedule</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maryland</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>Not receiving forwarded mail</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florida 2</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Letters delayed in the mail</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New York 1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Carrier not making an initial attempt to deliver packages</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wisconsin</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Collection Box schedule</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florida 3</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>Carrier not delivering mail everyday</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Carolina</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>LPO requesting residents to move mailbox</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas 1</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>Carrier not making an initial attempt to deliver packages</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The Office of the Secretary and Administration (OSA) ensures that the Commission has the physical, financial, technological, and human capital infrastructure needed to accomplish its mission. The work of this department is directly tied to the Commission’s Strategic Plan, particularly to Goal 3 (provide an optimal internal infrastructure to support management of priorities, workload, and emerging requirements) and Goal 4 (recruit, develop, and retain a diverse, high-performing workforce). OSA operates with a small staff that handles all agency operations, including information technology, financial management and budget, records and data management, and workforce development.

Information Technology

Focusing on high-risk areas of concern, the Commission’s management and Information Technology staff have made significant advancements in the agency’s cybersecurity posture over the past fiscal year, while also managing new threats and challenges to its operations. This past fiscal year, by concentrating resources on improving its security posture, the Commission was able to complete its first Security Assessment and Authorization and take action towards resolving vulnerabilities. The Commission also onboarded a new Chief Administrative Officer (CAO) experienced in cloud technology and its first cybersecurity professional/CISO to support the Commission’s efforts. The Commission continues to collaborate with the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) to identify and address risks, and to improve its cybersecurity posture. IT cybersecurity and management staff have also expanded the education of employees about the importance of safeguarding the Commission’s IT infrastructure, applications, and data.

Throughout FY 2021, the Commission’s IT team worked to ensure the continuity of the Commission’s essential IT functions, supporting the agency’s staff on 100 percent telework. Expanded by new hires, IT staff transitioned the Commission’s internet service provider, firewall, and VPN services – resulting in significant cost reductions to the Commission and increasing visibility on service operations. In addition to these cybersecurity and infrastructure improvements, the Commission began expanding and addressing its treatment of privacy issues for
Despite these advancements, the Commission has been designated “At Risk” by the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) CIO metrics. In March 2021, the Commission was affected by four previously undisclosed Microsoft Exchange vulnerabilities that only pertained to Microsoft customers using an on-premises Exchange solution. Although the Commission was targeted by an Advanced Persistent Threat (APT) actor, ultimately no agency services or infrastructure components were compromised; however, significant resources were expended to resolve this issue. In consultation with the Cyber-Security Infrastructure Security Agency (CISA), the Commission upgraded its IT service operations and transitioned critical services to the cloud.

Financial Management and Budget

The Commission continues to cost-effectively and efficiently work within its budget: the FY 2021 appropriation was $17,000,000. With the addition of prior year balances, the Commission’s total expenditures totaled $17,541,665. Personnel compensation accounted for 81 percent of expenditures; rent accounted for 12 percent of expenditures; and the remaining 7 percent of expenditures was for all other operating expenses including IT, consulting services, and communications. Figure VI-1 below shows the distribution of the Commission’s expenditures for FY 2021.
Records and Data Management

Committed to transparency, accountability, and open government, the Commission endeavors to provide the public with a view into its operations as it regulates the U.S. Postal Service. During FY 2021, the Commission:

- Started a YouTube channel to better facilitate public viewing of its proceedings during the continued pandemic/remote work situation. Two events were streamed and posted: the hearing on the N2021-1 case and a technical conference for N2021-2
- Processed 27 FOIA requests
- Received and published more than 480 comments and statements of position on N2021-1, filed predominantly by members of the mailing public
- Opened 303 new dockets and processed a total of 4,353 filings

Records management and data governance have come to the forefront during this continued remote work environment; as such, the Commission is reconsidering how much space – physical and digital – is needed to accommodate not only its employees, but also all records generated in the course of employees’ Federal work. In accordance with the National Archives and Records Administration requirement that agencies transition to using and retaining only electronic records, OSA staff began updating and overhauling the Commission’s records management policy to better address how the agency handles, stores, and disposes of its documents.

OSA privacy staff also worked on assembling a new policy explicitly defining how the Commission will handle privacy issues relating to its recordkeeping going forward, both for staff and for external customers. This policy and its associated framework for privacy impact assessments, privacy threshold analyses, and system of records notices will be implemented during FY 2022.

Workforce Development

The Commission’s workforce is its primary asset, and Goal 4 of the 2017-2022 Strategic Plan focuses on the recruitment, development, and retention of a diverse, high-performing workforce. The Commission’s workforce goal for FY 2022 is to enhance its human capital planning and alignment, increase essential training and professional development, and improve recruitment strategies. This work will focus on retaining and restoring the Commission’s workforce by filling mission-critical positions, providing essential professional development and training to support the Commission’s important work.

The Commission has maintained its commitment to equal employment opportunity (EEO) in its initiatives to recruit, develop, and retain a skilled, high-achieving, and diverse workforce. To this end, the Commission provides annual training in EEO and the NO FEAR Act and supports a Diversity & Inclusion Committee, which offers various informative essays and announcements throughout the year to bring staff’s attention to the achievements and aspirations of a diverse group of Americans. In FY 2021, women and minorities accounted for 56 percent and 30 percent, respectively, of its workforce, while women filled 44 percent of the agency’s executive positions. Over the course of FY 2021, the Commission had zero EEO complaints (formal and informal) filed.

The Commission prides itself on offering a flexible and safe workplace, and normally provides the ability for employees to participate in its Alternative Work Schedule program and Telework program. However, since the declaration of the COVID-19 pandemic in March 2020, the Commission moved to 100
percent reliance on remote work and electronic systems to perform its mission. This required a shift in focus and support from its physical office space to a fully remote environment. As noted in the Commission’s Federal Employee Viewpoint Survey (FEVS) results, 100 percent of participating Commission employees agreed that during the COVID-19 pandemic, senior leaders demonstrated a commitment to employee health and safety.

The Commission’s 2020 FEVS results indicated that the agency continues to build on over four years of steadily improving results: making progress in the areas of employee engagement, employee satisfaction, and inclusion. The FY 2020 response rate was 94 percent, an eighteen percentage point increase over last year’s FEVS response rate, and fifty percentage points higher than the government-wide average response rate of 44 percent. The Employee Engagement Index score, which evaluated leaders, supervisors, and intrinsic work experiences within the Commission, came in at 88 percent – well above the average of 69 percent among the other very small Federal agencies and the government-wide average of 71 percent. Two of the 36 questions showing a positive increase from the last FEVS results were “In my work unit, differences in performance are recognized in a meaningful way” and “My work gives me a feeling of personal accomplishment.” Commission leadership takes great pride in the fact that employees feel personally rewarded for their hard work. These findings validate the Commission’s determination to provide flexibility, accommodation, and safety in its workplace.
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438. The Rural Mail Count classifies all remunerable activities of rural carriers as either Post Office or street activities. However, some Post Office activities can occur on the street. For example, parcel acceptance on the street is considered a Post Office activity because it can substitute for a customer sending a parcel at a Post Office window.
440. Piggyback costs augment labor cost estimates by adding the costs associated with supervisors and administration, service-wide benefits, along with facility-related and equipment-related costs. See Docket No. PI2021-1, Responses of the United States Postal Service to Questions 1-3 of Chairman’s Information Request No. 1, September 24, 2021, question 3.b. (Docket No. PI2021-1, Response to CHIR No. 1).
441. The Postal Service states that “[t]he ratio of retail transactions per retail revenue dollar declined 68 percent from 0.552 to 0.176 between FY 2007 and FY 2020.” See Docket No. PI2021-1, Response to CHIR No. 1, question 2.b.iii.
442. USO Report at 139.
444. The Commission found it equitable to offer one PO Box at no charge to any customer the Postal Service determined ineligible for carrier delivery. Docket No. MC96-3, Opinion and Recommended Decision, April 2, 1997, at 62.
448. See Docket No. RM2020-1, Order on Analytical Principles Used in Periodic Reporting (Proposal Nine), August 17, 2020 (Order No. 5637), Appendix B, at 4; Docket No. RM2020-1, Responses of the United States Postal Service to Questions 1-16 of Chairman’s Information Request No. 3, June 10,
39 U.S.C. § 3651(b)(1)(B). The Postal Service provides free postage for blind and disabled persons and for overseas voting. Id. §§ 3403-3406. The Postal Service receives appropriated funds reimbursing it for providing free postage. Id. § 2401(c). For this reason, the cost of providing free postage is not included in the USO Cost.

FY 2018 ACD at 39.

In this Annual Report, attributable cost means incremental cost. See Order No. 3506 at 125. The attributable cost for years before FY 2016 reflects the accepted methodology for those years and has not been recalculated. 39 U.S.C. § 3622(c)(2) defines attributable cost as the “direct and indirect postal costs attributable to each class or type of mail service through reliably identified causal relationships plus that portion of all other costs of the Postal Service reasonably assignable to such class or type[.]” In Order No. 3506, the Commission revised the methodology for determining attributable cost to include inframarginal costs, developed as part of the estimation of incremental costs. Before that order, attributable cost only included the sum of volume-variable costs, which rise as volume increases and fall as volume decreases, and product-specific fixed costs, which are costs caused by a specific product but do not vary with volume. See Docket No. ACR2016, Financial Analysis of United States Postal Service Financial Results and 10-K Statement Fiscal Year 2016, March 31, 2017, at 37.

Periodicals is a preferred class of mail and receives several statutory discounts such as a 5 percent discount for nonprofit and classroom publications. These losses were initially called “Losses on Market Dominant Products” in past Annual Reports. The Commission later clarified that the USO Cost only includes Periodicals Losses. Postal Regulatory Commission, Annual Report to the President and Congress Fiscal Year 2012, January 3, 2013, at 37 n.3. Losses on other unprofitable Market Dominant products are not included because those products are in classes that were profitable overall. USO Report at 134. In FY 2020, the losses from Media Mail/Library Mail and BPM Parcels exceeded the contribution of the other profitable products in Package Services, making the class unprofitable as a whole with a net contribution of negative $67.1 million. FY 2020 Financial Analysis, Appendix A. The methodology for estimating the cost of uniform rates for Media Mail/Library Mail captures this loss as part of the cost of that element of the USO. To avoid double counting, the loss is not listed separately here.

FY 2020 ACD at 21-22. The Periodicals class is comprised of two products: In-County and Outside County. In-County is typically used by newspapers with smaller weekly circulations for distribution within the county of publication. Outside County consists of publications with a wide variety of circulation sizes, distribution patterns, and frequency. Revised FY 2020 Financial Analysis at 67. Both In-County and Outside County Periodicals average unit attributable costs increased and were particularly large in FY 2020. Id. at 69.

See Order No. 5763. The final rules are described in Chapter III in the “Rulemakings Amending Commission Regulations – Statutory Review of Market Dominant Rate System” section above.

Order No. 5763 at 159-60, 190-91. Order No. 5763 revised 39 C.F.R. part 3030 to create a new subpart for Non-compensatory Classes or Products. Id. at 370; Id. Attachment at 38-39.

FY 2020 ACD at 2.


The Postal Service provided updated Rural Mail Count data for FYs 2020 and 2019. See Docket No. PI2020-1, Response to CHIR No. 6, question 1; Docket No. PI2020-1, Library Reference USPS-
Uniform First-Class Mail Rates costs for FY 2020 and FY 2019 methodologies use elasticities based on data that include the respective fiscal year and do not use the forecasting model volumes.


460. The methodology for calculating the current cost of Six-Day Delivery differs from the methodology applied in the USO Report in 2008 because it reflects refined and more comprehensive costs based on the Commission’s findings in its Advisory Opinion on Elimination of Saturday Delivery. See Docket No. N2010-1 Advisory Opinion; Postal Regulatory Commission, Annual Report to the President and Congress Fiscal Year 2011, December 21, 2011, at 41.


463. The increase in the unit contribution of Media Mail/Library Mail in FY 2020 is due primarily to the decrease (9.1 percent) in the unit attributable cost for Media Mail/Library Mail in FY 2020. See Revised FY 2020 Financial Analysis at 71-72; Appendix A. The FY 2020 decrease in the unit contribution of BPM was due to an increase in the unit attributable cost which was not fully offset by the slight increase in the unit revenue. Id.


471. Contestable mail is certain mail that is dropshipped to the processing facility or delivery unit closest to its destination.

472. See Order No. 5260. This proceeding is described in Chapter III in the “Public Inquiries – Value of Postal and Mailbox Monopolies” section above.

473. See Docket No. PI2020-1, Comments of the Association for Postal Commerce, November 1, 2019; Docket No. PI2020-1, Comments of the Greeting Card Association, November 1, 2019; Docket No. PI2020-1, Comments of the National Postal Policy Council, November 1, 2019; Docket No. PI2020-1, Comments of the Public Representative, November 1, 2019; Docket No. PI2020-1, Initial Comments of United Parcel Service, Inc. on Notice and Order Providing an Opportunity to Comment, November 1, 2019; Docket No. PI2020-1, Initial Comments of the United States Postal Service, November 1, 2019; Docket No. PI2020-1, Comments of the Public Representative, March 26, 2021; Docket No. PI2020-1, Second Comments of United Parcel Service, Inc., with Respect to Postal Monopoly Valuation Methodology, March 26, 2021; Docket No. PI2020-1, Second Comments of the United States Postal Service, March 26, 2021.

474. See USO Report at 143-52.

475. The Commission would need route-level, geographic-specific data to account for clustering. Further improvements could be made by assuming the competitor would design routes to more efficiently deliver the contestable mail. However, this would require information about volume delivered to each stop that is not currently available.
Although the model assumes a 10 percent discount would be necessary to entice customers to switch, brand loyalty, inertia, the need to prove quality, and other factors affect the pace at which customers would switch from the Postal Service to a competitor. The base case model assumes a competitor would capture 100 percent of the contestable mail on routes that are skimmed. See USO Report at 149. However, some customers may not switch to a competitor even if a discount was offered.

The base case model entrant delivery frequency differs for the postal and mailbox monopoly estimates calculation, i.e., assumed entrant delivery frequency for the postal monopoly estimate is 3 days a week and 1 day a week for the mailbox monopoly estimate.

Subtracting the value of the mailbox monopoly from the value of the postal monopoly does not yield the value of the letter monopoly because there is an overlap in the contestable mail and a different frequency of delivery by the competitor. Without access to mailboxes, it is unlikely that the competitor could successfully capture mail directed to a specific person or address because those mailpieces are delivered to and collected from mailboxes. Therefore, a separate estimate of the value of the letter monopoly alone (retaining the mailbox monopoly) is not provided.

See USO Report at 144, Table 5 notes 2, 5; 143-46.

The FY 2020 estimates were calculated accounting for First-Class Package Service being moved to the Competitive products category and are adjusted to the respective fiscal year national estimates as estimated by the City Carrier Cost System and the Rural Mail Count and Rural Carrier Cost System.


See USO Report at 144.

Compare USO Report Table 5 at 144, with Table IV-7.