

ORIGINAL

005857

BEFORE THE  
POSTAL RATE COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, DC 20268-0001

RECEIVED  
Nov 14 11 56 AM '96

POSTAL RATE COMMISSION  
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

SPECIAL SERVICES REFORM, 1996

Docket No. MC96-3

DOUGLAS F. CARLSON  
NOTICE OF INTENT TO CONDUCT ORAL CROSS-EXAMINATION  
CONCERNING STATUS REPORT ON IMPLEMENTATION  
OF PROPOSED NEW POST-OFFICE-BOX FEE SCHEDULE

November 11, 1996

I, Douglas F. Carlson, hereby provide notice of my intent to conduct a light amount of oral cross-examination of appropriate Postal Service witnesses on November 25, 1996, concerning Status Report of United States Postal Service on Implementation of Special Services Reform Proposals.

Since the status report includes a revised definition of a nonresident boxholder, I expect to ask questions about fairness and equity, costs imposed by nonresident boxholders, and the value that nonresident boxholders derive from their boxes.<sup>1</sup> I also will ask technical questions about the status report.

DOCKET  
NOV 14 1996  
*mt*

<sup>1</sup>Presiding Officer's Ruling No. MC96-3/25 incorrectly stated that I had withdrawn my request filed November 1, 1996, to conduct cross-examination on these issues. POR No. MC96-3/25 at 1, fn. 2. In the Postal Service's response to my motion to be excused from oral cross-examination, the Postal Service indicated correctly that I would forgo oral cross-examination of the implementation witness if the witness were able to answer only technical questions related to the status report, rather than questions on the interaction of the status report with the testimony of earlier witnesses. Response of United States Postal Service to Motion of Douglas F. Carlson to be Excused from Oral Cross-Examination at 1, fn. 3. Since the Commission apparently will allow the

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: November 11, 1996



---

DOUGLAS F. CARLSON

---

---

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that I have this day served the foregoing document upon the required participants of record in accordance with section 12 of the Rules of Practice and sections 3(B)(3) and 3(C) of the Special Rules of Practice.



---

DOUGLAS F. CARLSON

November 11, 1996  
Emeryville, California

---

broader scope of questioning, my original request should not be considered to have been withdrawn. POR No. MC96-3/25 at 1, fn. 2. This notice of intent to conduct oral cross-examination, therefore, merely confirms my original request.