ORIGINAL

RECEIVED

ļ

BEFORE THE POSTAL RATE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20268-0001

Oct 29 11 42 AM '96

POSTAL RATE COMMISSION OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

Docket No. MC96-3

Special Services Fees and Classifications

REVISED ANSWER OF THE OFFICE OF THE CONSUMER ADVOCATE TO INTERROGATORY OF UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE WITNESS: JAMES F. CALLOW (USPS/OCA-T300-6) (OCTOBER 29, 1996)

The Office of the Consumer Advocate hereby submits a revised answer to interrogatory USPS/OCA-T300-6, initially filed on October 25, 1996. Please note that, in the third complete sentence of the response, "203" has been changed to "205." The revised response is attached.

Respectfully submitted,

Draifuse Iles A.

SHELLEY S. DREIFUSS Attorney Office of the Consumer Advocate



Revised 10-29-96

REVISED ANSWER OF OCA WITNESS JAMES F. CALLOW TO INTERROGATORY USPS/OCA-T300-6

USPS/OCA-T300-6. Please refer to your testimony at page 11, lines 12-13.

- (a) Please provide any evidence you have to support your assertion that potential boxholders in post offices where all size 1 boxes are in use may still be willing to use a size 2 or size 3 box.
- (b) Do you believe that the potential boxholder would not care about the facts that size 2 boxes are at least 45 percent more expensive than size 1 boxes, and size 3 boxes are over 150 percent more expensive than size 1 boxes.

A. (a)-(b) See USPS-T-4, Table 11. Note first that this problem exists at only 10 percent (not 38 percent) of post offices. USPS-T-4, Table 6. A potential boxholder facing this problem would care. However, the average fee for the lowest-priced CMRA boxes is between 205 and 503 percent more expensive than a size 1 box. Compared to paying even higher fees for box service at a CMRA, a post office box size 2 or 3 would be attractive.

DECLARATION

I, James F. Callow, declare under penalty of perjury that the revised answer to interrogatory USPS/OCA-T300-6 of the United States Postal Service is true and correct, to the best of my knowledge, information and belief.

Executed October 29, 1996

James F Calling

I hereby certify that I have this date served the foregoing document upon all participants of record in this proceeding in accordance with section 3.B(3) of the special rules of practice.

Shelley S. Dreifuss SHELLEY S. DREIFUSS

Attorney

20268-0001 Washington, DC October 29, 1996

··· · · •