

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA  
POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, DC 20268-0001

Before Commissioners:

Ruth Y. Goldway, Chairman;  
Robert G. Taub, Vice Chairman;  
Mark Acton;  
Tony Hammond; and  
Nanci E. Langley

Competitive Product Prices  
Priority Mail Contract 48 (MC2013-16)  
Negotiated Service Agreement

Docket No. CP2013-15

NOTICE CONCERNING SATISFACTION OF CONDITIONS OF ORDER NO. 1792

(Issued August 30, 2013)

On August 28, 2013, the Postal Service filed notice that it has agreed to an amendment to the existing Priority Mail Contract 48.<sup>1</sup> The Postal Service includes one attachment to the Notice:

- Attachment A—a redacted copy of the amendment to the existing Priority Mail Contract 48.

The Postal Service also filed the unredacted amendment under seal.

In Order No. 1792, the Commission conditionally approved an amendment to the existing Priority Mail Contract 48 based on the Postal Service's representations that

---

<sup>1</sup> Notice of United States Postal Service of Change in Terms Pursuant to Amendment to Priority Mail Contract 48, August 28, 2013 (Notice).

certain contract terms “should have been removed” and the Postal Service would be filing a further amendment to remove such terms.<sup>2</sup> Specifically, the Commission stated that if the Postal Service filed a “further contract amendment that (1) removes Paragraph I.F from the contract, and (2) revises Paragraph I.G by removing the references to Paragraph I.F and Critical Mail Commercial Plus” then “the condition is satisfied and the Amendment is authorized.” *Id.* at 4.<sup>3</sup>

The Notice and its accompanying amendment appear to satisfy the conditions set forth in Order No. 1792. Accordingly, no further Commission action is necessary. The amendment will be included as part of the record of this proceeding.

By the Commission.

Shoshana M. Grove  
Secretary

---

<sup>2</sup> Order Conditionally Approving Amendment to Priority Mail Contract 48 Negotiated Service Agreement, July 25, 2013 (Order No. 1792).

<sup>3</sup> The Commission also stated that, with respect to the effective date: “the Commission intends for the filing of the prescribed amendment in conjunction with this Order [Order No. 1792] to serve as the ‘necessary’ Commission approval” required by the Amendment’s terms. *Id.* at 4 n.8.