

**BEFORE THE
POSTAL RATE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, DC 20268-0001**

POSTAL RATE AND FEE CHANGES, 2006:

Docket No. R2006-1

**DIRECT
TESTIMONY OF
JOYCE K. COOMBS
ON BEHALF OF THE
UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE**

Table of Contents

1	Table of Contents.....	2
2	Autobiographical Sketch.....	3
3	1. Purpose and Scope of Testimony.....	5
4	2. Changes in Delivery Operations from FSS.....	6
5	2.1 FSS and Office Impact.....	7
6	2.2 FSS and Street Impact.....	8
7	2.3 Work Space and Equipment Impacts from FSS.....	9
8	2.4 Operational Impacts of FSS and Capturing Resulting Savings.....	10
9	2.5 Customer Impacts.....	11
10	3. Other Issues.....	11
11	3.1 Detached Address Labels.....	12
12	3.2 Rigid Flats.....	14

Autobiographical Sketch

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

My name is Joyce K. Coombs. I have not previously provided testimony before the Postal Rate Commission.

I began working for the Postal Service as a temporary clerk in 1967. I have served in numerous field positions including Delivery Supervisor, Station/Branch Manager and Postmaster. I presently serve as an Operations Specialist at USPS Headquarters in Delivery. I have held this position since 1998. As an Operations Specialist, I currently serve as the national program manager for a carrier route adjustment program that utilizes specifically designed algorithms to optimize carrier routes. I also provide operational and technical support to other Headquarters functions and to the field. I have helped develop national policies and guidelines for delivery operations and I have developed and deployed national delivery programs.

Prior to serving in Delivery at Headquarters, I was detailed as an Operations Analyst on several assignments to Headquarters Engineering, Research, and Development. At Engineering, I assisted in developing a computerized city carrier route adjustment program and served for a time as the national co-manager of the program. I also was involved in completing research and testing delivery standards to assist in the possible redesign of delivery.

1 I attended the University of Nevada, Reno and have completed and taught
2 numerous Postal Service training courses including courses pertaining to delivery
3 and city carrier route adjustments. I also served on the United States Board of
4 Civil Service Examiners.

1

2 2. Changes in Delivery Operations from Flat Sequencing Systems (FSS)

3

4 Delivery operations have not significantly changed since the last Rate Case
5 was filed. However, the Postal Service is in the developmental and testing
6 phase of a new strategy for flat-shaped pieces, Flat Sequencing Systems
7 (FSS), which will substantially change the way that flats are processed and
8 handled in the delivery unit. This new system will impact both office and
9 street methods. While the specifics are not fully known at this time, it is
10 envisioned that FSS will impact delivery operations in a similar way to how
11 the Delivery Point Sequencing (DPS) of letters affected delivery operations
12 when it was introduced. This automation of flat-shaped mail will change and
13 impact work processes and space requirements at delivery units, including
14 the shifting of work hours from office to street.

15

16 FSS is currently being developed and tested in Carmel, Indiana. The piloting
17 of this program will help assess and forecast operational costs. It is currently
18 anticipated that the deployment of the first pre-production FSS machine will
19 occur in 2007 with deployment of FSS production machines beginning in
20 2008. A multi-year deployment is planned with offices that will be identified at
21 that time as high value candidates receiving the first machines. Those
22 delivery units identified as high value will be offices with the highest flat
23 volumes and/or the highest flats per delivery ratios.

1

2.1 Flat Sequencing Systems (FSS) and Office Impact

3

4 The current predicted impact of FSS in the office is significant. Substantially
5 less time will be needed in the office by the carriers to case and prepare flat-
6 shaped mail for delivery, since this mail will be processed and sequenced at a
7 mail processing facility. Just as the DPS program reduced carriers' in office
8 workload by reducing the casing of letter mail, FSS will impact carriers by
9 reducing the portion of office time now needed to case flat-shaped mail. This
10 will result in a sizable shift of hours from the office to the street, since flat-
11 shaped mail pieces will not require processing at the carrier's case, and office
12 time will be significantly reduced. One impact will be the addition of delivery
13 points to each carrier route to increase street hours, in order to maintain each
14 carrier route as close as possible to eight hours. This addition of possible
15 deliveries to carriers' routes will be completed through the process of route
16 adjustments, which will lead to fewer carrier route assignments and fewer
17 carriers. It is anticipated that growth management methods, including
18 attrition, will be utilized to resolve the declining numbers of carrier route
19 assignments.

20

21 The introduction of FSS will also impact clerk processes and assignments at
22 offices with FSS. There will be substantially fewer bundles and flats requiring
23 manual sorting in offices with FSS. The time needed for manual casing and

1 dissemination of Standard Mail flats will be reduced considerably by having
2 them processed and sequenced at the mail processing facility. The declining
3 workload will necessitate changes in the clerk complement, and to the daily
4 operating plan and scheduling at offices with FSS. Current management
5 methods are expected to be used to control complement, including attrition
6 and overtime, while also accounting for any independent growth in volume or
7 delivery points.

8
9 It is currently projected that the majority of all Standard Mail flat-shaped
10 pieces will be processed using FSS once it is implemented, with the possible
11 exception of saturation flats¹. Periodicals and First Class Mail flat-shaped
12 pieces will also be processed utilizing FSS, but they will be impacted to a
13 lesser degree because of service standards, particularly for First-Class Mail.

14

15 **2.2 Flat Sequencing Systems (FSS) and Street Impact**

16

17 FSS will reduce the amount of in-office hours that carriers will need to case
18 and sequence flat-shaped mail. The FSS mail bundles will be picked up in
19 the FSS staging area and taken directly to the street just as DPS letters are
20 currently handled. As previously stated, the resulting reduction of in-office
21 hours necessitates the increase of possible deliveries and workload on the
22 street in order to maintain carrier routes as close as possible to eight hours.

¹ Saturation flats are defined as mailings delivered to a minimum of 90 percent of total residential or 75 percent of total active deliveries on a route.

1

2 While FSS will save a considerable amount of in-office time, it will also impact
3 the carrier on the street by introducing an additional bundle on a regular
4 basis. Adding bundles to carriers may impact street performance on some
5 routes because of the carriers' need to retrieve mail from an additional
6 source. This is a matter for future discussion and future resolution since
7 carriers on walking sections of routes are restricted in the number of bundles
8 that they can carry and deliver. Carriers are not constrained when delivering
9 any territories on their route where they do not walk between delivery points.
10 There is no bundle restriction for motorized carriers. Sources of mail are not
11 an issue for Rural carriers because the current procedures generally allow
12 them to case all of their mail together so that they only have one bundle to
13 work from at the point of delivery.

14

15 **2.3 Work Space and Equipment Impacts from FSS**

16

17 FSS will impact facility requirements and workspace allocations in a strategic
18 and important way. A reduced amount of carrier cases and equipment will be
19 required since residual letter-shaped pieces and residual flat-shaped pieces
20 will be the only mail pieces that reach the carrier. Work methods will need to
21 be developed that allow the carriers to prepare the residual letter pieces and
22 residual flat-shaped pieces that are not processed through FSS for street
23 delivery. Casing equipment will be down sized and work space on the floor

1 will be also be significantly impacted. Therefore the need for casing
2 equipment and the associated work space on the floor will be significantly
3 impacted when flat-shaped pieces being spread to the carriers by clerks is no
4 longer part of the distribution process. This will lead to the reduction of
5 equipment requirements and consequently less work space requirements.

6
7 As work space is reduced on the work room floor, a larger staging area will be
8 required to accommodate the FSS work load. It is currently anticipated that
9 the FSS mail will be staged in a similar manner and at a similar location to the
10 DPS letter mail. This will ultimately result in a different delivery unit
11 configuration and may impact the need for future facility space and the
12 number of future facilities.

13

14 **2.4 Operational Impacts of FSS and Capturing the Resulting Savings**

15

16 The introduction of FSS will greatly impact the number of possible deliveries
17 assigned to routes and the allocated street work load of carriers. When in-
18 office time is significantly reduced by automating both letters and flat pieces,
19 workload will shift to the street. It is anticipated that if all else is held equal,
20 this will reduce the number of city carrier route assignments. It will also
21 impact rural carrier assignments by reducing route evaluations to lower
22 categories in some cases and absorbing new growth in others. This will
23 result in long term operational reductions in hiring, new facilities, and vehicle

1 purchases. Strategic planning will be essential in order to take full advantage
2 of these potential savings.

3

4 Other operational impacts will include the arrival of mail at the delivery unit
5 from the processing facility at a more consistent time due to the automation of
6 flat-shaped pieces. These differences in the mail arrival profile may change
7 the reporting time of carriers and clerks and should also result in more
8 consistent delivery times to customers.

9

10 **2.5 Customer Impacts**

11

12 In order to realize the full savings from FSS, mailers will be encouraged to
13 apply the 11-digit Delivery Point Barcoding to the mail piece. Label
14 placement requirements are currently being developed to facilitate carriers'
15 ability to finger flats during delivery.

16

17 **3. Other Issues**

18 There are currently other delivery operational issues that impact costs and
19 service. They include the preparation of Detached Address Label (DAL)
20 cards with flat-size mail pieces, and the presence of pieces currently prepared
21 as flats and described here as "rigid flats".

22

23

1 **3.1 Detached Address Label (DAL) Cards**

2

3 As issues arise from the number of bundles that carriers work from on the street,
4 there may be a need to revise the requirements for DAL cards. Currently a DAL
5 mailing generally includes a flat-shaped host piece or a merchandise sample.

6 The DAL cards are not bar coded and are often not on paper stock that is
7 compatible with automation. This requires many DAL cards to be manually
8 cased and does not support the Postal Service's strategy of eliminating manual
9 casing.

10

11 The need for manual casing could be reduced if DALs were substantially
12 incorporated into the DPS process, but that would raise other operational issues.
13 For example, the preference might be to enter the DAL card at the plants, but still
14 enter the host flats at the delivery units. Once a carrier no longer handles the
15 DALs except as part of a DPS bundle, the relationship between the host piece
16 and the DAL might become so remote that carriers might no longer be guided by
17 the presence or absence of the DAL when placing the host piece in the mailbox.
18 On the other hand, encouraging mailers to address-label their flat-shaped host
19 piece might provide a better opportunity to eliminate the issue of casing DAL
20 cards.

21

22 It is important to remember that the historical rationale for the extension of the
23 DAL option to ECR saturation flats was based on the belief that, without a DAL,

1 carriers would constantly have to case the sequenced flat host pieces manually.
2 Having to case the host flat pieces would be logistically more challenging than
3 simply casing the letter-shaped DAL cards. The critical assumption is that the
4 presence of the DAL requires different handling from what would apply without
5 the DAL. This assumption is not necessarily true in the current delivery
6 operations environment. Experience in today's delivery units suggests that the
7 sequenced flat-shaped pieces will be taken directly to the street in most cases.
8 This tends to validate the belief that the handling of these flat-shaped pieces is
9 unaffected by the presence or absence of a DAL.

10

11 For example, consider a scenario where all of the ECR saturation flats that are
12 currently employing the use of the DAL converted to addressed flat-shaped
13 pieces. There is no reason to believe that eliminating the DAL would change the
14 behavior of those delivery units that are currently taking the flat bundles directly
15 to the street. There are obviously situations that currently exist where flats are
16 not taken directly to the street such as the presence of two sets of saturation flats
17 on the same delivery day. In that case, the two sets of flats would most likely be
18 collated, but the delivery method would not be affected by the absence or
19 presence of a DAL. Moving the address from the DAL to the host flat piece is not
20 likely to change the in-office treatment of a saturation flat mailing that otherwise
21 would have gone directly to the street. This seems to imply that the original
22 justification for the DAL is no longer applicable in today's operating environment.

23

1 DAL cards for items such as phone books mailed in saturation quantities are a
2 separate issue. Under those circumstances, an addressed DAL that can be
3 cased is beneficial to the carrier, who can deliver the phone books without having
4 to handle them as separate parcel deliveries.

5

6 **3.2 Rigid Flats**

7

8 There are currently some mail pieces in the mail stream that do not easily fit
9 into the requirements or classifications for either flats or parcels. These
10 “hybrid” or rigid pieces are also sometimes referred to as flat-rated parcels
11 since they are entered in the mail stream as flats, but are generally processed
12 as parcels. These pieces can be characterized by being less than 5” X 6” or
13 more than 12” X 15” X $\frac{3}{4}$ ” but there can also be other rigid pieces within these
14 dimensions. For rural routes, there are very specific measurements that
15 apply to whether the rigid piece is a flat or a parcel. If the mail piece is more
16 than 18” in length, more than 1 and $\frac{9}{16}$ ” in width, or more than 5” in height,
17 the piece is considered a parcel. Delivery units often request that these rigid
18 pieces not be processed with the flat-shaped mail.

19

20 These pieces are not generally processed through automation for either city
21 or rural routes, since their varying sizes create problems because they are too
22 small, too large, or too thick to be easily handled. These pieces also create a
23 situation that causes additional processing and handling during preparation

1 and delivery by the carriers. Their size and rigidity destabilize the flat-shaped
2 bundles, which mean that they must be removed and handled separately by
3 the carrier on sections of the route that are considered walking.

4

5 Even if they are processed and handled as Small Parcels or SPRs by the
6 processing facility, they are often removed from the parcel stream and placed
7 in a separate flat tub within the parcel hamper at the destination delivery unit,
8 by either the clerk who is distributing the pieces or by the carrier as they sort
9 their parcels. These mail pieces usually result in additional handling at the
10 delivery unit for both the clerk and the carrier.

11

12 If they are processed and included with flat-shaped pieces, the carrier will
13 usually remove them from the flat tub and handle them separately. As noted,
14 their size and/or shape create problems in casing and during delivery by the
15 carrier on the street, due to the destabilization of the flat bundles. On walking
16 sections of a route, the carrier may place them in the satchel and deliver them
17 as he would a small parcel if the size of the rigid mail piece is conducive to
18 fitting in the satchel. If the size or shape of the rigid mail piece is not
19 conducive to delivering at the time letter and flat-shaped mail is delivered, the
20 carrier will handle them as they do large parcel-shaped pieces.

21

22 In either case, the need for additional handling increases the in-office and on
23 the street preparation time and productivity is affected. The impact largely

1 depends on the characteristics of the piece. If a rigid piece exceeds 6" in
2 both length and width, or approximately $\frac{3}{4}$ " in thickness, it is either impossible
3 or impractical to case it in a vertical flats case with normal separations, which
4 measure about 6" in height by 1" wide. If a rigid piece is smaller, the carrier
5 may choose to case it with the flat-shaped pieces for at least some sections
6 of the route. Furthermore, smaller rigid items are likely to fit in most, if not all,
7 mail receptacles on the route while larger rigid items are more likely to require
8 a notice of attempted delivery. The needs of the delivery unit should be
9 considered by the processing facility in order to provide the most cost
10 effective and efficient method for handling these pieces.

11