

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA  
POSTAL RATE COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, DC 20268

Rate and Service Changes to Implement  
Functionally Equivalent Negotiated Service  
Agreement With Bank One Corporation

Docket No. MC2004-3

PRESIDING OFFICER'S INFORMATION REQUEST NO. 4

(Issued August 23, 2004)

Proponents are requested to provide responses to the questions set out below to assist in developing a record in this case. To facilitate inclusion of these answers in the record, Proponents are to identify a witness prepared to attest to the accuracy of the answers and be prepared to explain to the extent necessary the basis for the answers at our hearings. Answers are to be provided by September 2, 2004.

1. Refer to USPS-T-1, Appendix A, page 3. Please provide FY 2003 Billing Determinants separately for First-Class operations letters and First-Class marketing letters for (a) Bank One and (b) J.P. Morgan Chase.
2. Refer to USPS-T-1, Appendix A, page 1. While the contingency factor in line 12 appears as 1.03, it is rounded from 1.025. Please explain the rationale for using a contingency factor of 1.025, as opposed to the 1.03 factor used in Docket No. MC2002-2 and R2001-1.
3. Refer to USPS-T-1, Appendix A, page 9. The ECR letter unit costs in lines 14 and 15 (0.080 cents and 0.059 cents, respectively) are derived by applying an inflation adjustment to costs from Docket No. R2001-1 LR-J-58 as originally filed. The Postal Service later revised LR-J-58, changing the cost estimates used to

calculate the figures in lines 14 and 15. Please explain the rationale for using the unrevised costs.

George Omas  
Presiding Officer