

**BEFORE THE
POSTAL RATE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20268-0001**

**Complaint of Time
Warner Inc. et al.
Concerning Periodicals Rates**

) **Docket No. C2004-1**

**ERRATA TO
SECOND INTERROGATORIES AND
REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS
OF AMERICAN BUSINESS MEDIA
TO TIME WARNER INC., ET AL. WITNESS MITCHELL
ABM/TW et al.-T1-4-57, 61, 67, 76
(June 1, 2004)**

American Business Media hereby submits minor corrections to certain of the interrogatories submitted to witness Robert Mitchell on May 28th.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ David R. Straus
David R. Straus
Attorney for American Business Media

Law Offices of:

Thompson Coburn LLP
1909 K Street, NW
Suite 600
Washington, DC 20006-1167
(202) 585-6921

June 1, 2004

ERRATA TO
SECOND INTERROGATORIES AND
REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS
OF AMERICAN BUSINESS MEDIA
TO TIME WARNER INC., ET AL. WITNESS MITCHELL
ABM/TW et al-T1-4-57, 61, 67, 76

Original:

ABM/TW et al.-T1-57. Please confirm that, based upon your statement at page 11, lines 19-22, that pound rates play a “substantially lesser role” than they did prior to reorganization, and the contribution to “inefficiency” of a flat editorial rate has also declined substantially. If you cannot confirm, please explain why.

Corrected:

ABM/TW et al.-T1-57 (corrected). Please confirm that, based upon your statement at page 11, lines 19-22, that pound rates play a “substantially lesser role” than they did prior to reorganization, the contribution to “inefficiency” of a flat editorial rate has also declined substantially. If you cannot confirm, please explain why.

Original:

ABM/TW et al.-T1-61. (a) Please state the basis for your assumption at page 17, footnote 8, that postage costs “are included in printer’s [sic: printers’] bids.” (b) did you ask witness Schick, from QuadGraphics, or any other printer if this assumptions correct? (c) If so, what was the response?

Corrected:

ABM/TW et al.-T1-61 (corrected). (a) Please state the basis for your assumption at page 17, footnote 8, that postage costs “are included in printer’s [sic: printers’] bids.” (b) did you ask witness Schick, from QuadGraphics, or any other printer if this assumption is correct? (c) If so, what was the response?

Original:

ABM/TW et al.-T1-67. What mailers now pay the institutional costs that periodicals mailers would pay if their rates were not retrained to reflect their ECSI value?

Corrected:

ABM/TW et al.-T1-67. What mailers now pay the institutional costs that periodicals mailers would pay if their rates were not restrained to reflect their ECSI value?

Original:

ABM/TW et al.-T1-76. You state at page 28, lines 8-11, that you are not suggesting that all mailers can make the changes that would enable them to avoid large rate increases if the proposed rates were adopted. Please identify the type of mailer that would not be able to make such changes.

Corrected:

ABM/TW et al.-T1-76. You state at page 28, lines 8-11, that you are not suggesting that all mailers can make the changes that would enable them to avoid large rate increases if the proposed rates were adopted. Please identify the types of mailer that would not be able to make such changes.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 1st day of June, 2004, a copy of the foregoing document was served in accordance with Section 12 of the Commission's Rules of Practice.

/s/ David R. Straus
David R. Straus
Attorney for American Business Media

Law Offices of:

Thompson Coburn LLP
1909 K Street, NW
Suite 600
Washington, DC 20006-1167
(202) 585-6921