

BEFORE THE  
POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20268-0001

---

PREMIUM FORWARDING SERVICE

---

Docket No. MC2007-3

**NOTICE OF THE UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE  
OF FILING WITNESS DAWSON'S RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY  
FROM THE OFFICE OF THE CONSUMER ADVOCATE, OCA/USPS-T3-7  
(September 18, 2007)**

The United States Postal Service hereby provides the response of witness Gregory Dawson to the following interrogatory of the Postal Regulatory Commission's Office of the Consumer Advocate (OCA): OCA/USPS-T3-7, filed on September 6, 2007.

The interrogatory is stated verbatim and followed by the response:

Respectfully submitted,

UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE

By its attorneys:

Daniel J. Foucheaux, Jr.  
Chief Counsel, Ratemaking

---

Kenneth N. Hollies  
Attorney

475 L'Enfant Plaza West, S.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20260-1137  
(202) 268-3083; Fax -3084  
[khollies@usps.gov](mailto:khollies@usps.gov)

RESPONSE OF UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE WITNESS DAWSON  
TO INTERROGATORY OF THE OFFICE OF THE CONSUMER ADVOCATE

**OCA/USPS-T3-7.** The following interrogatory refers to Attachment 1 of your testimony—“Premium Forwarding Service Pricing.” Footnote 10 refers to a “Refund Adjustment” used in calculating the “application” cost coverage of 205.9 percent (Application Price ÷ (Set-up Cost + Refund Adjustment)).

- a. Please provide the “Refund Adjustment” you used and include in your response the derivation of all calculated values, cite all sources used and provide copies of all source documents not previously filed in this docket.
- b. If you did not include a “refund adjustment,” please explain why one was not included in your calculation.

**RESPONSE:**

No refund adjustment was included in my analysis, and the reference to one should not have appeared in the footnote. Although refunds are available to PFS customers who terminate early, that fact has no bearing on PFS costs. An erratum will accordingly correct the text of footnote 10 to Attachment 1.