

BEFORE THE
POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, DC 20268-0001

RATE AND SERVICE CHANGES TO IMPLEMENT)
BASELINE NEGOTIATED SERVICE AGREEMENT) Docket No. MC2007-1
WITH BANK OF AMERICA CORPORATION)

**ERRATA OF BANK OF AMERICA CORP.
TO ANSWER OF BAC WITNESS RICHARD D. JONES
TO GRAYHAIR SOFTWARE INTERROGATORY GHS/BAC-T1-1**

Bank of America Corporation respectfully submits this errata notice for the April 25, 2007, answer of its witness, Richard D. Jones (BAC-T-1) to GrayHair Software, Inc. ("GHS") interrogatory GHS/BAC-T1-1. The answer as filed had an incomplete header. Attached is a corrected version with the complete header.

Respectfully submitted,

Stacey Stone Bennett
Assistant General Counsel
Global Staff Support Functions
BANK OF AMERICA, N.A.
101 South Tryon Street
NC1-002-29-01
Charlotte, NC 28255
(704) 388-6583
stacey.stone_bennett@bankofamerica.com

David M. Levy
Richard E. Young
SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP
1501 K Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20005-1401
(202) 736-8000
dlevy@sidley.com
ryoung@sidley.com

Counsel for Bank of America Corporation

April 27, 2007

DOCKET NO. MC2007-1
RESPONSE OF BANK OF AMERICA CORP. WITNESS RICHARD D. JONES
TO GRAYHAIR SOFTWARE INC. INTERROGATORY
APRIL 25, 2007

GHS/BAC-T1-1. Do you believe that the Postal Service will realize cost savings from the placement of Four State bar codes on Courtesy Reply Mail and Business Reply Mail envelopes by Bank of America, as required by the proposed NSA? If so, please estimate the amount of the savings.

RESPONSE:

I am confident that our commitment to place Four-State Barcodes on our 450 million pieces of Courtesy Reply Mail ("CRM") and Business Reply Mail ("BRM") has the potential to provide significant cost savings to the Postal Service.

My analysts tell me that CRM and BRM mail will provide savings equivalent to those generated by the placement of Four-State Barcodes on MAADC letter mail. I have also been informed that an improved read rate of only half a percent will save the Postal Service over \$1.8 million in the first year of this agreement; that a 1.0 percent improvement will save it almost \$3.8 million in the first year; and that a 1.5 percent improvement will save it almost \$5.7 million in the first year alone. See Exhibit 2 of BAC response to NOI 1.

Because this is a performance-based agreement and no one is certain by how much our read/accept rates will improve, I do not know precisely how much the Service will save. However, I can say that there is no downside financial risk to the Service. Furthermore, we believe that the technology for increasing the readability of Intelligent Mail Barcodes ("IMBs") on BAC's outgoing mail should also produce comparably readable IMBs on CRM, BRM and QBRM envelopes. Therefore, the steps that BAC takes to improve the read/accept rates on the mail for which BAC receives discounts will also improve the read/accept rates on the mail for which BAC does not receive discounts. Thus, the Service's return on this agreement exceeds the level it would be expected to report.