

**BEFORE THE  
POSTAL RATE COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, DC 20268-0001**

**Postal Rate and Fee Changes, 2006**

**Docket No. R2006-1**

**DOUGLAS F. CARLSON  
FOLLOW-UP INTERROGATORY TO THE  
UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE  
(DFC/USPS-75)**

**August 2, 2006**

Pursuant to sections 25–27 of the *Rules of Practice*, I hereby submit this follow-up interrogatory to the United States Postal Service.

The instructions accompanying DFC/USPS-T34-1–4 are incorporated herein by reference.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: August 2, 2006

DOUGLAS F. CARLSON

**DFC/USPS-75.** Please refer to the response to DBP/USPS-91.

- a. Please confirm that the primary condition described in the response to DBP/USPS-91(b) that might permit POM section 313.1 to take precedence over other requirements in sections 316 to 321 is the distance of the post office from the processing plant or facility. If you do not confirm, please explain.
- b. Please describe conditions other than distance from the processing plant or facility that might permit POM section 313.1 to take precedence over other requirements in POM sections 316 to 321.
- c. When the processing plant or facility is located a normal distance (e.g., a driving time during relevant hours of 90 minutes or less) from the post office, may local postal officials determine that POM section 313.1 takes precedence over other requirements for collection services specified in POM sections 316 to 321? If the answer is not an unqualified no, please explain, and please provide an approximate distance or driving time between the post office and the processing plant or facility that would allow the answer to be an unqualified no.