

GCA/USPS-T32-1

Please confirm that under the rate and classification changes proposed in this Docket, a single-piece First-Class letter which (i) weighs one ounce or less, and (ii) is less than 11.5 in. by 6.125 in. by 0.25 in. thick, but (iii) has an aspect ratio less than 1:1.3 or greater than 1:2.5 –

(a) Would be classed as a Flat, and

(b) Would pay a rate of \$0.62.

If you do not confirm, please explain why.

GCA/USPS-T32-2

Please refer to page 17, lines 15 et seq., of your prefiled testimony.

(a) Please identify and provide the "preliminary cost studies" which you state suggest that lightweight flats and parcels may not cover costs.

(b) Please state your understanding of how the authors of the above-mentioned preliminary cost studies would classify (as between "letter" and "flat") the mailpiece described in the introductory portion of GCA/USPS-T32-1?

GCA/USPS-T32-3

Please refer to page 18, lines 5 et seq., of your prefiled testimony.

Would it be consistent with your intention to mitigate the impact of your proposed single-piece First-Class Letters rate design (insofar as it separates letters, flats, and parcels) if

that rate design caused an identifiable type of mail, with distinct cost-causing characteristics, to pay an increase in rates greater than any additional cost it imposes? If your answer is not an unqualified "no," please explain.

GCA/USPS-T32-4

Please state, for Base Year FY 2005 –

(a) The volume of single-piece First-Class Letters pieces paying the non-machinable surcharge; and

(b) The respective volumes, within the category described in part (a), of

(i) letters,

(ii) flats, and

(iii) parcels,

as those shape descriptions are applied currently.